

Associations between osteoporosis and drug exposure: A post-marketing study of the World Health Organization pharmacovigilance database (VigiBase (R))

Benjamin Batteux, Youssef Bennis, Sandra Bodeau, Kamel Masmoudi, Anne-Sophie Hurtel-Lemaire, Saïd Kamel, Valerie Gras-Champel, Sophie

Liabeuf

▶ To cite this version:

Benjamin Batteux, Youssef Bennis, Sandra Bodeau, Kamel Masmoudi, Anne-Sophie Hurtel-Lemaire, et al.. Associations between osteoporosis and drug exposure: A post-marketing study of the World Health Organization pharmacovigilance database (VigiBase (R)). BONE, 2021, 153, 10.1016/j.bone.2021.116137. hal-03564790

HAL Id: hal-03564790 https://u-picardie.hal.science/hal-03564790v1

Submitted on 22 Aug 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S8756328221003021 Manuscript_4cfc96aa6ec8d0e62c8c80b7c325d704

1 Associations between osteoporosis and drug exposure: a post-marketing

2 study of the World Health Organization pharmacovigilance database

3 (VigiBase[®])

- 4
- 5 Benjamin Batteux^{1,2,3,4*} MD, Youssef Bennis^{1,3} PharmD PhD, Sandra Bodeau^{1,3} PharmD PhD, Kamel
- 6 Masmoudi¹ MD, Anne-Sophie Hurtel-Lemaire¹ PharmD PhD, Said Kamel^{3,5} PharmD PhD, Valérie Gras-
- 7 Champel^{1,3} PharmD PhD , Sophie Liabeuf^{1,3} PharmD PhD
- 8 ¹Department of Pharmacology, Amiens University Medical Center, F-80054 Amiens, France
- 9 ²Department of Rheumatology, Saint-Quentin Medical Center, F-02321 Saint-Quentin, France
- 10 ³MP3CV Laboratory, EA7517, Jules Verne University of Picardie, F-80054 Amiens, France
- 11 ⁴RECIF, Amiens-Picardie University Medical Center, F-80054 Amiens, France
- 12 ⁵Biochemistry Laboratory, Amiens University Medical Center, F-80000 Amiens, France
- 13
- 14 *<u>Corresponding author</u>: Dr Benjamin Batteux, Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Amiens
- 15 University Medical Center, Rue du Professeur Christian Cabrol, F-80000 Amiens, France.
- 16 ORCID ID 0000-0001-9466-7110
- 17 Tel.: +33-322-087-995; Fax: +33-322-087-990; E-mail: batteux.benjamin@chu-amiens.fr
- 18
- 19 KEYWORDS
- 20 Osteoporosis
- 21 Drug
- Pharmacology
- 23 Pharmacoepidemiology
- Pharmacovigilance
- 25

26 WORD COUNT: 4837 / TABLE COUNT: 2 / FIGURE COUNT: 3

27 HIGHLIGHTS

28

29 What is already known about the subject?

• Several drugs are known to induce osteoporosis and/or increase the fracture risk.

Pharmacovigilance and pharmacoepidemiologic studies are crucial for the safety assessment of
 marketed drugs.

33

34 What this study adds?

New disproportionality signals with a pharmacologically plausible mechanism in bone fragility
 were found for drugs used in the fields of neurology (selective 5-HT₁ agonists, levodopa and
 memantine), hematology (romiplostim), pulmonology (macitentan), ophthalmology (ranibizumab),
 and rheumatology (tofacitinib).

39 ABSTRACT

Background: Bone remodeling is a complex process, and many conditions (including drug exposure)
lead to osteoporosis. Here, we sought to detect new disproportionality signals for drugs associated
with osteoporosis.

Methods: We performed a disproportionality analysis of the World Health Organization's VigiBase[®]
pharmacovigilance database through April 12, 2020. The frequency of reports on osteoporosis for all
identified drug classes was compared with that for all other drugs and quoted as the reporting odds
ratio (ROR) [95% confidence interval (CI)].

47 **Results**: Of the 7,594,968 cases spontaneously recorded to VigiBase[®], 4,758 concerned osteoporosis. 48 New disproportionality signals with a pharmacologically plausible mechanism were found for drugs 49 used in neurology (levodopa (ROR [95%CI]: 10.18 [4.33-25.10]), selective serotonin agonists (4.22 50 [2.34–7.00]) and memantine (4.10 [1.56–8.93])), hematology (romiplostim (4.93 [1.15–21.10])), 51 pulmonology (macitentan (3.02 [1.84–4.90])), ophthalmology (ranibizumab (3.31 [1.00–10.51])) and 52 rheumatology (tofacitinib (3.65 [3.00–4.40])). The robustness of these new results is supported by 53 the significant RORs for the vast majority of drugs already known to induce osteoporosis and/or 54 increase the fracture risk, namely glucocorticoids, gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs, anti-55 aromatases, androgen receptor blockers, thyroid hormones, proton pump inhibitors, thiazolidinediones, vitamin K antagonists, loop diuretics, protease inhibitors, nucleoside and 56 57 nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and enzyme-inducing antiepileptics including barbiturates 58 and derivatives, hydantoin derivatives, carboxamide derivatives and fatty acid derivatives.

59 **Conclusion:** We established up a comprehensive list of drugs potentially associated with 60 osteoporosis and highlighted those with pharmacologically plausible mechanisms leading to bone 61 fragility. Our results might pave the way for additional exploration of these mechanisms.

62 ABBREVIATIONS

63	5-HT	5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin)
64	ADR	adverse drug reaction
65	AI	aromatase inhibitor
66	ATC	Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical
67	BMD	bone mineral density
68	CI	confidence interval
69	COX	cyclooxygenase
70	DMARD	disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug
71	ET-1	endothelin-1
72	GnRH	gonadotropin-releasing hormone
73	HCQ	hydroxychloroquine
74	ICSR	individual case safety report
75	IL	interleukin
76	IRD	inflammatory rheumatic disease
77	JAK	Janus kinase
78	MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
79	Mpl	myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene
80	MS	multiple sclerosis
81	MTX	methotrexate
82	NFκB	nuclear factor-kappa B
83	NMDA	N-methyl-D-aspartate
84	NNRT	nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase
85	OB	osteoblast
86	OC	osteoclast
87	PDE-5	phosphodiesterase-5

88	PG	prostaglandin
89	PI	protease inhibitor
90	PPI	proton pump inhibitor
91	RA	rheumatoid arthritis
92	RANKL	receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand
93	ROR	reporting odds ratio
94	SD	standard deviation
95	sGC	soluble guanylate cyclase
96	SMQ	standardized MedDRA query
97	SSRI	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor
98	STAT	signal transducers and activators of transcription
99	ТРО	thrombopoietin
100	TTR	transthyretin
101	VEGF	endothelial growth factor
102	VKA	vitamin K antagonist
103	WHO	World Health Organization

104 INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD),
microarchitectural deterioration of the bone tissue, and a consequential increase in bone fragility [1].
Bone fractures (the main complication of osteoporosis) have a major impact on health and quality of
life and constitute a significant economic burden [2].

The conditions or factors that lead to osteoporosis and/or an elevated fracture risk include age, sex, race, smoking, alcohol consumption, body weight, poor nutrition or any cause of malabsorption, low calcium and vitamin D intakes, chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases (IRDs, particularly rheumatoid arthritis (RA)), other inflammatory diseases, endocrine disorders, bone marrow disorders, immobilization, a history of fractures, and the fall risk [3].

114 Furthermore, several drugs are known to induce osteoporosis and/or increase the fracture risk: 115 systemic, inhaled and topical glucocorticoids [4], some hormone preparations (gonadotropin-116 releasing hormone (GnRH) analogs [5], aromatase inhibitors (Als) [6], androgen receptor blockers [7], 117 and thyroid hormones [8]), proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) [9], thiazolidinediones [10], vitamin K 118 antagonists (VKAs) [11], loop diuretics [12], protease inhibitors (PIs), nucleoside and nucleotide 119 reverse transcriptase (NNRT) inhibitors [13,14], opioids [15,16], antiepileptics [17], antipsychotics 120 [18,19], benzodiazepines [20], antidepressants (mainly selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors 121 (SSRIs)) [21], vitamin A [22], and heparin during pregnancy [23].

122 Although phase III studies are very robust tools for assess drug effectiveness, they are less able to 123 evaluate adverse drug reactions (ADRs) because of the small sample sizes, highly selected 124 populations, and short follow-up periods [24]. Hence, post-marketing pharmacoepidemiologic 125 studies are important for detecting rare or long-term ADRs, such as osteoporosis in long-term users 126 of PPIs [9] or VKAs [11]. Disproportionality analysis lies at the interface between pharmacovigilance 127 and pharmacoepidemiology. It is usually based on the assessment of spontaneous individual case 128 safety reports (ICSRs) and can detect early disproportionality signals for specific ADRs, which must 129 then be confirmed by more specific pharmacoepidemiologic studies [25]. For example, a

disproportionality signal (defined as reports of events that are significantly disproportionate, i.e. an observed/expected ratio exceeding a specific threshold [26]) was detected for rofecoxib and thrombotic ADRs as early as the end of 2001 [27]. The drug was withdrawn from the market for this reason in September 2004.

Pharmacovigilance and pharmacoepidemiologic studies have identified a broad range of drug classes associated with an osteoporotic risk. The safety of recently marketed drugs must be assessed regularly. Dardonville et al. recently analyzed drug-induced osteoporosis in the French and Spanish pharmacovigilance databases but did not perform a disproportionality analysis that might have detected new disproportionality signals [28].

Consequently, we analyzed a global pharmacovigilance database in order to (i) provide an overview of drugs already known to induce osteoporosis and/or increase the fracture risk, and (ii) detect new disproportionality signals for osteoporosis and discuss potential mechanisms underlying bone fragility.

143

144 METHODS

145

146 **2.1. Data source**

147 ICSRs were collected from VigiBase® - the world's largest pharmacovigilance database, curated by 148 the World Health Organization (WHO) [29]. Each ICSR includes anonymous administrative data (the 149 country, and the reporter's qualification), patient information (age and sex), drug information (the 150 international non-proprietary name or the trade name, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) 151 classification, indication, start date, withdrawal date, dosage, and administration route), and 152 information on the suspected ADR (coded according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory 153 Activities (MedDRA)) [30]. There are five levels in the MedDRA hierarchy, ranging from very general 154 to very specific. MedDRA also includes standardized MedDRA queries (SMQs), which are collections 155 of MedDRA terms consistent with a description of a clinical syndrome associated with an ADR and drug exposure [31]. As such, SMQs are useful for wide-ranging searches. There are two types of SMQ: the narrow SMQ contains fewer MedDRA terms than the broad SMQ and is therefore more specific. If a drug is considered to be at least probably responsible for the ADR, it is defined as "suspect" or "interacting" in the ICSR. If not, it is defined as "concomitant." Probability scales are based on a variety of temporal, semiologic, and/or bibliographic criteria [32]. Full information on ICSRs is given on the Uppsala Monitoring Centre's website [26].

162

163 2.2. Study design

164 Using the narrow SMQ "Osteoporosis/osteopenia" (including the MedDRA preferred terms "Bone 165 density decreased", "Bone formation decreased", "Bone loss", "Bone marrow oedema syndrome", 166 "Osteopenia", "Osteoporosis", "Osteoporosis postmenopausal", "Osteoporotic fracture", 167 "Resorption bone increased", "Senile osteoporosis"), we selected ICSRs recorded in VigiBase 168 between January 1, 1967, and April 12, 2020. In order to analyze only the best-documented ICSRs 169 (particular with regard to the nature of adverse drug reactions and the suspected or concomitant 170 medications), we selected ICSRs filed by physicians, pharmacists, or other health professionals. 171 Lastly, we analyzed only ICSRs for which the sex and age (18 or over) were reported. We then 172 analyzed drugs reported as being "suspect" in least in three ICSRs [33]. Given the large number of 173 suspect drugs at the 5th level of the ATC classification, we pooled the active substances at the 3rd 174 level (the pharmacological subgroup) or the 4th level (the chemical subgroup) corresponding to the 175 mechanism of action. In order to avoid the question of poor drug effectiveness, we excluded ICSRs 176 for which the suspected, interacting, or concomitant drugs were indicated in osteoporosis, i.e. 177 bisphosphonates (ATC M05BA and M05BB), denosumab (ATC M05BX04), burosumab (ATC 178 M05BX05), romosozumab (ATC M05BX06), teriparatide (ATC H05AA02), abaloparatide (ATC 179 H05AA04), strontium renalate (ATC M05BX03 and M05BX53), selective estrogen receptor 180 modulators (ATC GX03XC), estrogen replacement therapy (ATC G03AA, G03AB, G03C, G03EA, G03EB,

181 G03F, L02AA), calcitonin preparations (ATC H05BA), calcium (ATC A12A), and vitamin D and its
182 analogs (ATC A11CC).

183

184

185 2.3. Statistical analysis

186 In our descriptive analysis, categorical variables were expressed as the number (percentage), and 187 continuous variables were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or, as appropriate, the 188 median (range).

189 Several types of disproportionality analysis are available in the literature. Here, we chose to calculate 190 reporting odds ratio (ROR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) as a gauge of the association between 191 a suspected drug and osteoporosis in the ICSRs filtered over the study period. This case/non-case 192 approach is the best way to deal with the limitations of a database of spontaneous reports and to 193 interpret the results optimally [34]. The ROR calculation method has been described elsewhere [25]. 194 Briefly, ROR = (a/c)/(b/d), where (a) is the number of osteoporosis ADRs with the suspected drug, (b) 195 is the number of osteoporosis ADRs with all other drugs, (c) is the number of ADRs other than 196 osteoporosis with the suspected drug, and (d) is the number of ADRs other than osteoporosis with all 197 other drugs. If the ROR and the lower boundary of its 95%CI are above than 1, the ADR of interest is 198 reported more frequently with the drug of interest than with all other drugs. It has been suggested 199 that an ROR above 4 corresponds to a "large" effect size [25].

Given that many conditions can lead to osteoporosis, we expected to see false-positive RORs confounded by the disease itself and "disease spill-over" (i.e. aspects of the treated disease coded as an ADR, namely osteoporosis). In this case, the disproportionality signal would reflect the risk associated with the indication and not with the drug itself. Thus, we minimized this indication bias by stratifying the RORs by therapeutic area; we defined the comparator as the suspected drug's therapeutic or pharmacological class, rather than all other drugs [35]. The therapeutic areas 206 considered in the analyses are summarized in **Supplementary Table S1**. The RORs were adjusted for207 age and sex, using logistic regressions.

Bias due to competition between events might mask interesting RORs and can be observed when the suspected drug is strongly associated with another ADR [36,37]. This bias can be minimized by removing the competitor event [37]. We therefore performed sensitivity analyses when RORs were not significant for drugs already known to be associated with osteoporosis by removing the most frequently reported ADR for each drug concerned from the analysis. Overall, this case was encountered only for antithrombotic agents, where the SMQ "Haemorrhages" was removed from the analysis for this drug class.

We performed sensitivity analyses by applying the above-mentioned method to (i) the broad SMQ "osteoporosis/osteopenia", which includes ADRs related to fractures, a decrease in BMD, and changes in markers of bone turnover (such as elevated urine levels of collagen cross-linked Ntelopeptide and elevated serum levels of collagen C-telopeptide), and (ii) the MedDRA terms related to fractures. The MedDRA terms related to the broad and narrow SMQs and to fractures are given in

220 Supplementary Table S2.

All analyses were performed using R software (version 3.6.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing,
Vienna, Austria).

223

224 **RESULTS**

225 3.1. Study population

After the exclusion of drugs indicated in osteoporosis, 4,758 of the 7,594,968 ICSRs in adult patients
of known age and sex reported by physicians, pharmacists or other health professionals to VigiBase[®]
between January 1, 1967, and April 12, 2020, matched the narrow SMQ "osteoporosis/osteopenia".
There was a single suspect drug in 2,798 (58.8%) of the ICSRs. The mean ± SD age was 57.1 ± 15.6.
The cases predominantly occurred in females (65.9%). The characteristics of the study population are
summarized in Table 1.

234

235 3.2.1. Drugs already known to induce osteoporosis and/or increase the fracture risk

236 The adjusted RORs (aRORs) [95%CI] were significant for PPIs (12.12 [6.79-24.21]), thiazolidinediones 237 (1.80 [1.11–2.82], heparins (3.69 [2.75–4.92]), high-ceiling diuretics (2.37 [1.19–4.84]), systemic, 238 inhaled and topical corticosteroids (14.14 [13.17–15.18], 2.55 [2.02–3.24] and 13.06 [12.17–14.00], 239 respectively), antiandrogens (7.76 [3.78–14.84]), thyroid hormones (2.44 [1.64–3.46]), Pls (1.75 240 [1.38–2.18]), NNRT inhibitors (2.78 [2.37–3.25]), GnRH analogs (4.21 [3.25–5.45]), Als (4.21 [3.25– 241 4.45]), and enzyme-inducing antiepileptics, including barbiturates and derivatives (7.35 [5.05-242 10.43]), hydantoin derivatives (7.89 [1.32–2.64]), carboxamide derivatives (1.93 [1.42–2.59]) and 243 fatty acid derivatives (2.06 [1.50–2.79]). The RORs are represented graphically in Figures 1 and 2.

244 These results were consistent with sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Figures S1-S42), with the 245 exception of the following drugs. The aROR for heparin was not significant in the broad SMQ (0.69 246 [0.58–0.81], Supplementary Figure S5) but was significant in the fractures subset (3.69 [2.72–5.00], 247 Supplementary Figure S6)), The aROR for thyroid hormones was not significant in the broad SMQ 248 (0.83 [0.62–1.08], Supplementary Figure S17) but was significant in the fractures subset (2.65 [1.75– 249 3.81], Supplementary Figure S18)). The aROR for opioids was significant in the broad SMQ (1.67 250 [1.47-1.89], Supplementary Figure S29) and not in the fractures subset (1.21 [0.83-1.71], 251 Supplementary Figure S30)). The aROR for benzodiazepine-related hypnotics and sedatives was 252 significant in the broad SMQ (1.34 [1.12–1.60], Supplementary Figure S29) but not in the fractures 253 subset (0.55 [0.22-1.14], Supplementary Figure S30)). The aROR for retinoids in treatment of 254 psoriasis was significant in the fractures subset (6.16 [1.44–42.22], Supplementary Figure S12).

For VKAs, the aROR was significant after removing the SMQ "Haemorrhages" from the analysis (1.68 [1.52–1.86], **Figure 3**) but not before (0.37 [0.31–0.44]; **Supplementary Figures S4-S6**). The RORs were not significant for platelet aggregation inhibitors, even the SMQ "Haemorrhages" had been removed from the analysis.

- 260 *3.2.2. New disproportionality signals*
- 261 In group A of the ATC classification, the aROR [95%CI] was significant for imiglucerase (4.87 [1.24–
 20.33], Figure 1).
- In group B of the ATC classification, the aRORs was significant for romiplostim (4.93 [1.15–21.10]),
 Figure 1).
- In group C of the ATC classification, the aRORs were significant for antihypertensives for pulmonary
 arterial hypertension (particularly macitentan (3.02 [1.84–4.90]) and riociguat (2.83 [1.25–5.59],
 Figure 1).
- In group G of the ATC classification, the aROR was significant for sildenafil (2.84 [1.29–5.63]), Figure
 1).
- In group L of the ATC classification, the aRORs were significant for interferon beta-1a (9.49 [6.66–
 13.73], Figure 1), mycophenolic acid (2.53 [1.99–3.16]), sirolimus (2.12 [1.02–3.85]), leflunomide
 (4.84 [3.84–6.03]), abatacept (5.06 [4.08–6.20]), belimumab (3.17 (1.25–6.46]), tofacitinib (3.65
 [3.00–4.40]), tocilizumab (6.16 [5.08–7.41]), calcineurin inhibitors (1.43 [1.11–1.80]), and
 azathioprine (2.26 [1.69–2.96]), Figure 2).
- In group M of the ATC classification system, the aRORs were significant for nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (1.25 [1.11–1.40], particularly for cyclooxygenase inhibitors (coxibs: 10.28 [8.06–
 13.19], Figure 2).
- In group N of the ATC classification, the aRORs were significant for selective serotonin (5-HT) agonists
 (4.22 [2.34–7.00], dopaminergic agents particularly dopa and its derivatives (10.18 [4.33–25.10]),
 memantine (4.10 [1.56–8.93], sodium oxybate (4.79 [2.75–7.66] and amifampridine (43.60 [13.51–
 104.15], Figure 2).
- In group P of the ATC classification, the aROR was significant for hydroxychloroquine (7.91 [6.70–
 9.26], Figure 2).

284 In group R of the ATC classification system, the aRORs were significant for omalizumab (2.38 [1.67–

285 3.29]) and mepolizumab (2.94 [1.51–5.12], Figure 2).

286 In group S of the ATC classification, the aROR was significant for ranizumab (3.31 [1.00–10.51], Figure
287 2).

In group V of the ATC classification, the RORs were significant for iron-chelating agents (4.20 [2.58–
6.39]) and radium Ra 223 dichloride (5.13 [1.27–13.36], Figure 2).

290 All these results were consistent with those of the sensitivity analyses, with the exception of 291 imiglucerase (3.75 [0.86–16.24], Supplementary Figure S3), romiplostim (2.43 [0.33–12.68], 292 Supplementary Figure S6), and radium Ra 223 dichloride (4.05 [0.67–12.55], Supplementary Figure 293 **S42**) in the fractures subset, and for riociguat (1.09 [0.82–1.42], Supplementary Figure S8), 294 mycophenolic acid (0.67 [0.57-0.78], Supplementary Figure S23), sirolimus (0.87 [0.58-1.24], 295 Supplementary Figure S23), belimumab (1.59 [0.95–2.49], Supplementary Figure S23), calcineurin 296 inhibitors (0.57 [0.49–0.65], Supplementary Figure S23), azathioprine (0.80 [0.66–0.92], 297 Supplementary Figure S23), and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (0.72 [0.67-0.77], 298 Supplementary Figure S26) in the broad SMQ.

299

300 DISCUSSION

301 Our results are in line with the literature data and provide a comprehensive overview of drugs 302 associated with osteoporosis and the risk of fracture. Furthermore, we report on new 303 disproportionality signals for (i) drugs previously linked via clinical studies to a lower BMD and/or a 304 higher fracture risk, (ii) drugs whose pharmacological properties provide a rationale for a potential 305 effect on bone metabolism, and (iii) drugs that do not belong to either of the previous categories.

306

307 **4.1.** Drugs with previously published clinical data on a potential association with osteoporosis

308 The aRORs were significant for the vast majority of drugs already known to induce osteoporosis 309 and/or increase the fracture risk, namely systemic, inhaled and topical glucocorticoids, GnRH 310 analogs, Als, androgen receptor blockers, thyroid hormones, PPIs, thiazolidinediones, VKAs, loop 311 diuretics, PIs, NNRT inhibitors and enzyme-inducing antiepileptics; however, the aRORs were also 312 significant for opioids and benzodiazepines in the broad SMQ [4-21]. When considering vitamin A 313 and its derivatives, the aROR was significant only for retinoids for the treatment of psoriasis in the 314 fractures subset but not in the broad or narrow SMQs. Their use in clinical studies is associated with 315 a lower BMD and a higher fracture risk [22,38] through osteoblast (OB) inhibition, osteoclast (OC) 316 differentiation, and an antagonism of vitamin D's action [39-41]. Vitamin A and its derivatives are 317 scattered across the ATC classification system (with vitamin A [A11CA01], retinoids for treatment of 318 psoriasis [D05BB], topical retinoids for acne [D10AD], systemic retinoids for acne [D10BA], retinoids 319 for cancer treatment [L01XF], and retinol eye drops [S01XA02]); this prevented us from calculating 320 the overall ROR for this drug class.

We found a significant ROR for radium 223 dichloride, which is indicated in metastatic prostate cancer. This calcium-mimetic radioisotope was recently shown to induce osteoporosis with a high risk of vertebral fractures, independently of androgen deprivation therapy and abiratone therapy [42]. This radioisotope binds selectively to hydroxyapatite in areas of increased bone remodeling; the short-range emission of high-energy alpha particles probably leads to bone fragility [43].

Testosterone-5-alpha reductase inhibitors (used in prostate cancer) prevent the conversation of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone. Low concentrations of dihydrotestosterone predispose men to a risk of osteoporosis [44] because this hormone is approximately ten times more potent than its precursor testosterone, and is the preferred ligand for androgen receptor transactivation [45]. Nevertheless, observational studies have yielded inconsistent findings [46–48].

Methotrexate (MTX, indicated in several chronic IRDs at low doses but also used to treat cancer at very high doses) appears to induce osteoporosis and increase the fracture risk in a dose-dependent manner. At high doses, MTX induces osteoporosis and fractures regardless of corticosteroid use [49– 51] in a way that has not yet been not fully elucidated – possibly through increased urinary calcium

excretion [52]. At low doses in IRDs, MTX use is not associated with lower BMD or a higher fracturerisk [53–57].

In vivo, calcineurin inhibitors (indicated in patients undergoing organ transplantation) cause bone
 loss and increase the fracture risk [58] due to increased bone resorption - notably because of
 changes in T-cell cytokine production and altered vitamin D metabolism, with secondary
 hyperparathyroidism [59].

Azathioprine inhibits purine synthesis and also leads to autophagy [60]. A recent *in vivo* study found that the use of this drug is associated with a deterioration in the bone's trabecular microarchitecture, which might induce the autophagy pathway [60]. Azathioprine's detrimental effects on bone have already been described *in vivo* [61], and the results of an observational study showed an elevated fracture risk [62].

346 The involvement of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in bone remodeling appears to be 347 complex and depends on the type of cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibited, sex, and hormone status. 348 Prostaglandin (PG)E2 and prostacyclin have complex roles in bone remodeling cycle; they may 349 stimulate bone resorption (particularly PGE2) as well as bone formation [63–65]. The literature data 350 are contradictory. In a systematic review, aspirin (which inhibits COX-1 at low doses and both COX-1 351 and COX-2 at high doses) is associated with a decrease in the fracture risk and an increase in BMD in 352 both men and women [66]. In a multicenter cohort study, COX-2-specific inhibitors are associated 353 with a lower BMD in men and with a higher BMD in postmenopausal women not using estrogen 354 replacement therapy [67]. In a large cohort study, the combination of coxibs with low-dose aspirin 355 was associated with an increase in BMD in both men and women [68]. Nevertheless, we found a 356 significant aROR only for coxibs.

357

4.2. Drugs with a pharmacological rationale for a potential bone effect but that have not yet been
 investigated in clinical studies

Romiplostim – indicated in immune thrombocytopenia – activates c- myeloproliferative leukemia
virus oncogene (c-Mpl); this is the receptor for thrombopoietin (TPO) present in megakaryocytes and
OCs, which are derived from the same hematopoietic precursor [69]. *In vitro*, TPO increases OC
formation and differentiation through the mitogen-activated protein kinases, Janus kinases
(JAKs)/signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT), and nuclear factor-kappa B (NFκB)
signaling pathways by activating c-Mpl [70]; this mechanism might explain our results.

We found a significant aRORs for macitentan - an endothelin-1 (ET-1) receptor antagonist indicated in pulmonary arterial hypertension. This finding is consistent with *in vitro* studies showing that exogenous ET-1 stimulates OB proliferation/differentiation and type I collagen production and inhibits osteoclastic resorption [71–73]. Moreover, ET-1 levels in a clinical study were positively correlated with BMD at the lumbar spine in non-osteoporotic women [74]. However, the drug class's possible direct effect on bone was not evaluated.

It is well known that Parkinson's disease is associated with bone loss through immobilization or hypokinesia. However, dopaminergic depression alters the sympathetic innervation of bone, which is fundamental for bone homeostasis [75,76]. Furthermore, the breakdown of L-dopa by catechol-Omethyltransferase results in greater homocysteine formation [77]. In turn, high level of homocysteine lead to osteoporosis and fractures - possibly through impaired collagen cross-linking [78]. This has been confirmed in mice but not in humans, where dopamine replacement treatment with levodopa further reduces the bone mass and raises the serum level of homocysteine [76].

The use of SSRIs appears to be associated with a reduction in BMD and an elevated risk of fracture [79]. SSRIs increase serum serotonin concentrations, and it has been suggested that serum 5-HT downregulates osteoblast proliferation, bone formation, and bone mass via the 5-HT_{1B} receptor [80– 82]. On the same lines, selective 5-HT₁ agonists (some of which are indicated in migraine) bind to 5-HT_{1B/D} receptors, which might impair bone formation. Nevertheless, no clinical data on this topic have been published.

The N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist memantine is indicated in dementia. Mechanical loading (which contributes to the normal bone remodeling process [83]) increases the expression of NMDA receptors on OBs and OCs [84]. Accordingly, experiments *in vitro* and in an animal model showed that the downregulation of NMDA receptor expression decreased osteogenesis [85].

Ranibizumab – indicated in age-related macular degeneration – is a vascular endothelial growth
 factor (VEGF)-A inhibitor that has an important role in angiogenesis and osteogenesis [86]. A recent
 study showed that enhancing VEGF-A expression promotes osteogenesis in the context of
 postmenopausal osteoporosis [87]. Hence, ranibizumab might impair bone homeostasis.

Tofacitinib – indicated in RA – is a JAK1/JAK3 inhibitor. *In vivo*, JAK1 inhibition can lead to an osteoporotic bone phenotype [88] and JAK3 inhibition *via* the inactivation of signal transducers and activation of transcription 3 (STAT3) in OBs [89]. Moreover, STAT3 deficiency activates osteoclastogenesis [90]. Nevertheless, no clinical data on this topic are available.

398 The drugs with a pharmacologically plausible mechanism of osteoporosis induction are summarized399 in Table 2.

400

401 4.3. Drugs with disproportionality signals identified in the present study but that are not in line 402 with the literature data

403 It is well known that Gaucher's disease (glycocerebrosidase deficiency) induces osteoporosis through 404 the accumulation of glucosylceramide. The enzyme replacement therapy imiglucerase is associated 405 with dose-related, long-term increases in BMD [91]. The significant ROR for imiglucerase might be 406 related to drug ineffectiveness, rather than a direct effect on bone.

For certain vasodilator agents (namely the phosphodiesterase-5 (PDE-5) inhibitors sildenafil and tadalafil and the soluble guanylate cyclase (sCG) agonist riociguat, we also found significant RORs – a finding that contrasts with the literature data. In animal models, PDE-5 inhibitors prevent cGMP degradation and thus stimulate cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG) 1/2, leading to an increase in

BMD via OB proliferation and differentiation [92]. The sGCs (Gucy1a2 and Gucy1a3) are expressed in mouse skeletal tissue, and the sGC agonists' effects appear to be driven by osteoblasts. *In vivo*, the sGC agonist cinaciguat enhanced osteoblast proliferation and differentiation and reversed trabecular bone loss in ovariectomized mice [92].

415 We found significant RORs for disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) used to treat 416 chronic IRDs: leflunomide, TNF inhibitors, tocilizumab, abatacept, rituximab, and 417 hydroxychloroquine. In the present study population, the use of co-medications (e.g. glucocorticoids) 418 not addressed in the analysis or an indication bias (despite stratification by therapeutic area) might 419 explain these discrepancies. All these DMARDs are known to be associated with a reduction in the 420 osteoporosis risk through a decrease in systemic inflammation. In vitro and in an animal model, 421 leflunomide suppresses receptor activator of NFKB ligand (RANKL)-activated intracellular signaling 422 and OC differentiation, and this effect is independent of its suppressive effect on T cells [93]. 423 However, a clinical study found that patients with RA exposed to leflunomide for an average of 2.4 424 years did not have a significant change in BMD [94]. It is well known that interleukin (IL)-6 induces 425 osteoporosis by activating osteoclastogenesis [95]. Biologic DMARDs targeting TNF and IL-6 inhibit 426 the expression of RANKL on synovial cells and T cells, which leads to the subsequent suppression of 427 osteoclast maturation and bone damage through RANKL; however, biologic DMARDs are not known 428 to affect bone metabolism and osteoporosis [96]. The use of the IL-6 inhibitor tocilizumab is 429 associated with lower levels of bone-resorbing markers and higher levels of bone formation markers 430 [97,98]. Nevertheless, the use of tocilizumab in RA patients appears to be associated with a bone 431 mass gain only in individuals who are positive for anti-citrullinated protein antibodies [99]. Abatacept 432 (a fusion protein containing the FC region of IgG1 and the extracellular domain of cytotoxic T-433 lymphocyte-associated protein-4) inhibits the differentiation and maturation of OCs [100]. A clinical 434 study found that patients treated with abatacept had an greater BMD at the lumbar spine than 435 patients treated with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors or tocilizumab [101]. Few literature data on the 436 anti-B-cell (anti-CD20) rituximab are available. The use of this agent appears to be associated with a

reduction in levels of the bone resorption marker deoxypyridinoline [102], although the results of a
clinical study were not conclusive [103]. Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) was shown to reduce OC
differentiation *in vitro* and levels of β-carboxy-terminal collagen *in vivo* [104]. One clinical study
confirmed these preclinical results: HCQ use was associated with higher BMD in patients with
systemic lupus erythematosus [105]. However, a recent study did not show an association between
HCQ and the fracture risk in postmenopausal women with RA [106].

In mice, interferon beta – indicated in multiple sclerosis (MS) – appears to have beneficial effects on bone by interfering with the RANKL-induced expression of c-Fos and thus inhibition of osteoclastogenesis [107]. In one clinical study, the use of interferon beta by patients with MS was associated with lower osteoclastogenesis in response to RANKL [108]. In another clinical study, however, its use was associated with lower BMD in men with MS and no change in BMD in women with MS [109].

Omalizumab – indicated in allergic asthma, sinonasal polyposis, and chronic spontaneous urticaria – binds IgE specifically, whereas mepolizumab – indicated in severe eosinophilic refractory asthma – binds IL-5 specifically. These actions results in lower histamine levels and lower leukotriene and proinflammatory mediator levels *via* inhibition of mast cell degranulation and eosinophils, respectively [110,111]. In theory, these mechanisms of action should prevent bone loss [112]; again, no clinical data are available.

455 As mentioned above, iron accumulation harms bone [113,114]. Accordingly, a clinical study found 456 that iron-chelating agents can restore iron-induced bone damage [115].

457

458 4.4. Drugs with neither clinical data or nor a pharmacological rationale for a potential association
459 with osteoporosis
460 Mycophenolic acid and sirolimus inhibit inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase and IL-2 and

461 other cytokine receptor-dependent signal transduction mechanisms *via* an action on mTOR. The two

462 drugs inhibit T and B lymphocyte proliferation and do not appear to harm bone in kidney transplant463 patients [116].

Amifampridine – indicated in MS and Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome, respectively – are broadspectrum voltage-dependent potassium channel blockers that enhance synaptic transmission. No clinical or preclinical data are available.

Tafamidis – indicated in transthyretin (TTR) amyloidosis – binds the TTR tetramer and prevents it from dissociating into monomers. Only one clinical study has shown that TTR levels were positively correlated with BMD but only in patients without amyloidosis; in this context, TTR is a nutritional marker [117]. Bone effects of TTR accumulation in the subset of patients with amyloidosis were not investigated.

The mechanism of action of sodium oxybate – indicated in narcolepsy with cataplexy or excessive daytime sleepiness – is not well understood [118]. Hence, no hypothesis can be made as to its possible harmful bone effects.

475

476 **4.5. Study limitations and strengths**

477 Our study had several limitations, most of which are inherent to all pharmacovigilance and case/non-478 case designs [25]. Firstly, we were not able to provide the absolute frequency of drugs associated 479 with osteoporosis - notably because of underreporting. However, widespread underreporting would 480 not affect the results of a disproportionality analysis [25]. Secondly, we did not have information on 481 the severity of the diseases for which the studied drugs were administered; this might have created 482 false positives in the ROR calculation. As a result, several RORs might be falsely significant even after 483 stratification by therapeutic area: certain drugs used to treat IRDs, the imiglucerase used in 484 Gaucher's disease. The significant ROR in this example probably reflects certain aspects of the 485 disease itself. Nevertheless, many of the contrasting literature data comes from preclinical studies 486 and have not always been confirmed in clinical studies. On the same lines, our analyses did not 487 address the concomitant use of certain medications (e.g. glucocorticoids in patients with IRDs) or 488 previous medication use that was not documented in the database, which could have led to falsely 489 significant RORs. Thirdly, our analyses took account of age and sex but not other conditions leading 490 to osteoporosis (due to lack of data), which could result in confounding bias. Fourthly, event 491 competition bias (as seen with VKAs) might have prevented us from detecting other 492 disproportionality signals masked by other ADRs strongly associated with the drugs of interest. 493 Fifthly, we cannot assert that fracture events in ICSRs are all low-energy osteoporotic fractures.

In contrast, our study has several major strengths, due to its case/non-case design [25]. We studied the world's largest pharmacovigilance database, which reflects routine medication use. The case/non-case design is a validated means of investigating disproportionality between reports and drugs [25]. A recent study showed that in most cases, the ADR risk obtained in meta-analyses is correlated with the ROR from the disproportionality analysis [119]. In the present study, the RORs for the vast majority of drugs already known to induce osteoporosis or increase the fracture risk were significant, which testifies to the robustness of our results.

501

502 CONCLUSION

503 Our results are consistent with the literature data on drugs already known to induce osteoporosis or 504 increase the fracture risk: glucocorticoids, GnRH analogs, Als, androgen receptor blockers, thyroid 505 hormones, PPIs, thiazolidinediones, VKAs, loop diuretics, PIs, NNRT inhibitors, opioids, enzyme-506 inducing antiepileptics, benzodiazepines, heparin, radium 223 dichloride, and high-dose MTX. We 507 found new disproportionality signals with a pharmacologically plausible mechanism (requiring 508 confirmation in clinical studies) in the fields of neurology (selective 5-HT₁ agonists, levodopa and 509 memantine), hematology (romiplostim), pulmonology (macitentan), ophthalmology (ranibizumab), 510 and rheumatology (tofacitinib).

511 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND STATEMENTS

512 **Funding statement**

- 513 This research did not receive any specific funding from agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-
- 514 profit sectors.
- 515 Conflict of interest disclosure
- 516 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 517 Ethics approval statement
- 518 Not applicable
- 519 **Patient consent statement**
- 520 Not applicable
- 521 Data availability statement
- 522 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding
- 523 author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

524 Acknowledgements

525 The authors acknowledge the Uppsala Monitoring Centre (UMC), which provided and gave 526 permission to use the data analyzed in this present study. The authors are also grateful to the 527 National Pharmacovigilance Centers that contributed data.

528 Authors' contributions

529 BB and SL were the major contributors to the study conception and design and acquisition, analysis, 530 and interpretation of the data; they drafted the article and revised it critically for important 531 intellectual content. VGC, KM, SB, ASHL, SK and YB were major contributors to the analysis and 532 interpretation of the data and revised the article critically for important intellectual content. All 533 authors read and approved the final manuscript.

534 Disclaimer

- 535 The interpretation of data in the present study represents the opinions of the authors only and not
- 536 those of the WHO or ANSM (*Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé*,
- 537 France).

538 **REFERENCES**

- 539 [1] Consensus development conference: diagnosis, prophylaxis, and treatment of
 540 osteoporosis, Am J Med. 94 (1993) 646–650. https://doi.org/10.1016/0002541 9343(93)90218-e.
- 542[2]J.E. Compston, M.R. McClung, W.D. Leslie, Osteoporosis, The Lancet. 393 (2019) 364–543376. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32112-3.
- 544 [3] G.C. Kasturi, D.X. Cifu, R.A. Adler, A Review of Osteoporosis: Part I. Impact,
 545 Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Unique Role of the Physiatrist, PM&R. 1 (2009) 254–
 546 260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2008.12.005.
- 547 [4]G. Adami, K.G. Saag, Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis update, Curr Opin548Rheumatol. 31 (2019) 388–393. https://doi.org/10.1097/BOR.000000000000608.
- 549 [5] M.R. Smith, W.C. Lee, J. Brandman, Q. Wang, M. Botteman, C.L. Pashos,
 550 Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists and Fracture Risk: A Claims-Based Cohort
 551 Study of Men With Nonmetastatic Prostate Cancer, JCO. 23 (2005) 7897–7903.
 552 https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2004.00.6908.
- L. Folkestad, N.H. Bjarnason, J.K. Bjerregaard, K. Brixen, The effect of aromatase
 inhibitors on bone metabolism, Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 104 (2009) 3–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-7843.2008.00337.x.
- L.G. Taylor, S.E. Canfield, X.L. Du, Review of major adverse effects of androgendeprivation therapy in men with prostate cancer, Cancer. 115 (2009) 2388–2399.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.24283.
- I. Sugitani, Y. Fujimoto, Effect of postoperative thyrotropin suppressive therapy on
 bone mineral density in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma: a prospective
 controlled study, Surgery. 150 (2011) 1250–1257.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2011.09.013.
- 563 [9] S.L. Gray, A.Z. LaCroix, J. Larson, J. Robbins, J.A. Cauley, J.E. Manson, Z. Chen, Proton 564 pump inhibitor use, hip fracture, and change in bone mineral density in
- 565postmenopausal women: results from the Women's Health Initiative, Arch. Intern.566Med. 170 (2010) 765–771. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.94.
- 567 [10] A. Grey, Thiazolidinedione-induced skeletal fragility--mechanisms and implications,
 568 Diabetes Obes Metab. 11 (2009) 275–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463569 1326.2008.00931.x.
- 570 [11] Z. Rezaieyazdi, H. Falsoleiman, M. Khajehdaluee, M. Saghafi, E. Mokhtari-Amirmajdi,
 571 Reduced bone density in patients on long-term warfarin, Int J Rheum Dis. 12 (2009)
 572 130–135. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-185X.2009.01395.x.
- 573 [12] L. Rejnmark, P. Vestergaard, L. Mosekilde, Fracture risk in patients treated with loop
 574 diuretics, Journal of Internal Medicine. 259 (2006) 117–124.
 575 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2005.01585.x.
- 576 [13] G. Pan, X. Wu, M.A. McKenna, X. Feng, T.R. Nagy, J.M. McDonald, AZT enhances
 577 osteoclastogenesis and bone loss, AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses. 20 (2004) 608–620.
 578 https://doi.org/10.1089/0889222041217482.
- 579 [14] R.G. Jain, J.M. Lenhard, Select HIV protease inhibitors alter bone and fat metabolism
 580 ex vivo, J. Biol. Chem. 277 (2002) 19247–19250.
- 581 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200069200.

- 582 [15] P. Vestergaard, L. Rejnmark, L. Mosekilde, Fracture risk associated with the use of
 583 morphine and opiates, J. Intern. Med. 260 (2006) 76–87.
 584 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2006.01667.x.
- 585 [16] F. Gotthardt, C. Huber, C. Thierfelder, L. Grize, M. Kraenzlin, C. Scheidegger, C. Meier,
 586 Bone mineral density and its determinants in men with opioid dependence, J. Bone
 587 Miner. Metab. 35 (2017) 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-015-0732-9.
- 588 [17] K. Beerhorst, I.Y. Tan, M. De Krom, P. Verschuure, A.P. Aldenkamp, Antiepileptic drugs
 and high prevalence of low bone mineral density in a group of inpatients with chronic
 epilepsy, Acta Neurol. Scand. 128 (2013) 273–280.
 bttps://doi.org/10.1111/org.12118
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12118.
- J.M. Bolton, L.E. Targownik, S. Leung, J. Sareen, W.D. Leslie, Risk of low bone mineral density associated with psychotropic medications and mental disorders in postmenopausal women, J Clin Psychopharmacol. 31 (2011) 56–60.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e3182075587.
- 596 [19] B. Takkouche, A. Montes-Martínez, S.S. Gill, M. Etminan, Psychotropic medications
 597 and the risk of fracture: a meta-analysis, Drug Saf. 30 (2007) 171–184.
 598 https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-200730020-00006.
- T.N. Poly, M.M. Islam, H.-C. Yang, Y.-C.J. Li, Association between benzodiazepines use
 and risk of hip fracture in the elderly people: A meta-analysis of observational studies,
 Joint Bone Spine. 87 (2020) 241–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2019.11.003.
- R. Rizzoli, C. Cooper, J.-Y. Reginster, B. Abrahamsen, J.D. Adachi, M.L. Brandi, O.
 Bruyère, J. Compston, P. Ducy, S. Ferrari, N.C. Harvey, J.A. Kanis, G. Karsenty, A.
 Laslop, V. Rabenda, P. Vestergaard, Antidepressant medications and osteoporosis,
 Bone. 51 (2012) 606–613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2012.05.018.
- L.S. Lim, L.J. Harnack, D. Lazovich, A.R. Folsom, Vitamin A intake and the risk of hip
 fracture in postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health Study, Osteoporos Int.
 15 (2004) 552–559. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-003-1577-y.
- L.A. Barbour, S.D. Kick, J.F. Steiner, M.E. LoVerde, L.N. Heddleston, J.L. Lear, A.E.
 Baron, P.L. Bartón, A prospective study of heparin-induced osteoporosis in pregnancy
 using bone densitometry, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 170 (1994)
 862–869. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9378(94)70299-3.
- 613 [24] C.A. Umscheid, D.J. Margolis, C.E. Grossman, Key Concepts of Clinical Trials: A
 614 Narrative Review, Postgrad Med. 123 (2011) 194–204.
 615 https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2011.09.2475.
- 616 [25] J.-L. Montastruc, A. Sommet, H. Bagheri, M. Lapeyre-Mestre, Benefits and strengths of
 617 the disproportionality analysis for identification of adverse drug reactions in a
 618 pharmacovigilance database, Br J Clin Pharmacol. 72 (2011) 905–908.
- 619 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2125.2011.04037.x.
- 620 [26] Vigibase, (n.d.). https://www.who-umc.org/vigibase/vigibase/.
- [27] A. Sommet, S. Grolleau, H. Bagheri, M. Lapeyre-Mestre, J.L. Montastruc, French
 Network of Regional Pharmacovigilance Centres, Was the thrombotic risk of rofecoxib
 predictable from the French Pharmacovigilance Database before 30 September 2004?,
 Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 64 (2008) 829–834. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-008-04973.
- 626 [28] Q. Dardonville, E. Salguiero, V. Rousseau, L. Chebane, J.L. Faillie, S. Gautier, J.L.
- 627 Montastruc, A. Carvajal, H. Bagheri, Drug-induced osteoporosis/osteomalacia: analysis

628 in the French and Spanish pharmacovigilance databases, Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 75 629 (2019) 1705–1711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-019-02743-9. 630 [29] M. Lindquist, VigiBase, the WHO Global ICSR Database System: Basic Facts, Drug 631 Information Journal. 42 (2008) 409-419. 632 https://doi.org/10.1177/009286150804200501. E.G. Brown, L. Wood, S. Wood, The Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities 633 [30] 634 (MedDRA), Drug-Safety. 20 (1999) 109-117. https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-635 199920020-00002. 636 International Conference of Harmonization (ICH). Introductory guide for standardised [31] 637 MedDRA queries (SMQs) Version 21.0. https:// 638 www.meddra.org/sites/default/files/guidance/file/smq intguide 21 0 english.pdf. 639 2018., (n.d.). 640 https://admin.new.meddra.org/sites/default/files/guidance/file/intguide 21 0 englis 641 h.pdf (accessed February 21, 2021). C.A. Naranjo, U. Busto, E.M. Sellers, P. Sandor, I. Ruiz, E.A. Roberts, E. Janecek, C. 642 [32] 643 Domecq, D.J. Greenblatt, A method for estimating the probability of adverse drug 644 reactions, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 30 (1981) 239-245. 645 E. Roux, F. Thiessard, A. Fourrier, B. Bégaud, P. Tubert-Bitter, Evaluation of statistical [33] 646 association measures for the automatic signal generation in pharmacovigilance, IEEE 647 Trans Inf Technol Biomed. 9 (2005) 518-527. 648 https://doi.org/10.1109/titb.2005.855566a. 649 [34] K.J. Rothman, S. Lanes, S.T. Sacks, The reporting odds ratio and its advantages over 650 the proportional reporting ratio, Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 13 (2004) 519–523. 651 https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1001. 652 B. Grundmark, L. Holmberg, H. Garmo, B. Zethelius, Reducing the noise in signal [35] 653 detection of adverse drug reactions by standardizing the background: a pilot study on 654 analyses of proportional reporting ratios-by-therapeutic area, Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 70 655 (2014) 627–635. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-014-1658-1. 656 [36] A. Pariente, P. Avillach, F. Salvo, F. Thiessard, G. Miremont-Salamé, A. Fourrier-Reglat, 657 F. Haramburu, B. Bégaud, N. Moore, Association Française des Centres Régionaux de 658 Pharmacovigilance (CRPV), Effect of Competition Bias in Safety Signal Generation, 659 Drug Saf. 35 (2012) 855–864. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03261981. 660 [37] F. Salvo, F. Leborgne, F. Thiessard, N. Moore, B. Bégaud, A. Pariente, A Potential 661 Event-Competition Bias in Safety Signal Detection: Results from a Spontaneous 662 Reporting Research Database in France, Drug Saf. 36 (2013) 565–572. 663 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-013-0063-5. 664 [38] H. Melhus, Excessive Dietary Intake of Vitamin A Is Associated with Reduced Bone 665 Mineral Density and Increased Risk for Hip Fracture, Ann Intern Med. 129 (1998) 770. 666 https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-129-10-199811150-00003. 667 [39] A. Togari, M. Kondo, M. Arai, S. Matsumoto, Effects of retinoic acid on bone formation 668 and resorption in cultured mouse calvaria, Gen. Pharmacol. 22 (1991) 287–292. 669 B.A. Scheven, N.J. Hamilton, Retinoic acid and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 stimulate [40] 670 osteoclast formation by different mechanisms, Bone. 11 (1990) 53-59. 671 [41] C.M. Rohde, M. Manatt, M. Clagett-Dame, H.F. DeLuca, Vitamin A antagonizes the 672 action of vitamin D in rats, J. Nutr. 129 (1999) 2246-2250. 673 https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/129.12.2246.

674 [42] G. Mazziotti, M. Rodari, F. Gelardi, G. Tosi, P.A. Zucali, G. Pepe, A. Chiti, Morphometric
675 vertebral fractures in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer undergoing
676 treatment with radium-223: a longitudinal study in the real-life clinical practice,
677 Endocrine. 69 (2020) 204–211. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-020-02277-6.

- 678 [43] Ø.S. Bruland, S. Nilsson, D.R. Fisher, R.H. Larsen, High-linear energy transfer irradiation
 679 targeted to skeletal metastases by the alpha-emitter 223Ra: adjuvant or alternative to
 680 conventional modalities?, Clin Cancer Res. 12 (2006) 6250s-6257s.
 681 https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0841.
- [44] R. Ilangovan, S. Sittadjody, M. Balaganesh, R. Sivakumar, B. Ravi Sankar, K.
 Balasubramanian, S. Srinivasan, C. Subramanian, D.M. Thompson, L. Queimado, N.
 Srinivasan, Dihydrotestosterone is a determinant of calcaneal bone mineral density in
 men, J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 117 (2009) 132–138.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2009.08.004.
- [45] T. Saartok, E. Dahlberg, J.A. Gustafsson, Relative binding affinity of anabolicandrogenic steroids: comparison of the binding to the androgen receptors in skeletal
 muscle and in prostate, as well as to sex hormone-binding globulin, Endocrinology.
 114 (1984) 2100–2106. https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-114-6-2100.
- [46] D. Robinson, H. Garmo, P. Stattin, K. Michaëlsson, Risk of Fractures and Falls during
 and after 5-α Reductase Inhibitor Use: A Nationwide Cohort Study, PLoS One. 10
 (2015) e0140598. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140598.
- [47] P. Vestergaard, L. Rejnmark, L. Mosekilde, Risk of fractures associated with treatment
 for benign prostate hyperplasia in men, Osteoporos Int. 22 (2011) 731–737.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-010-1320-4.
- [48] W.-L. Lin, Y.-W. Hsieh, C.-L. Lin, F.-C. Sung, C.-H. Wu, C.-H. Kao, A population-based
 nested case-control study: the use of 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors and the increased
 risk of osteoporosis diagnosis in patients with benign prostate hyperplasia, Clin
 Endocrinol (Oxf). 82 (2015) 503–508. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.12599.
- [49] S.C. Kaste, D. Jones-Wallace, S.R. Rose, J.M. Boyett, R.H. Lustig, G.K. Rivera, C.H. Pui,
 M.M. Hudson, Bone mineral decrements in survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic
 leukemia: frequency of occurrence and risk factors for their development, Leukemia.
 15 (2001) 728–734. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2402078.
- [50] S. Stanisavljevic, A.L. Babcock, Fractures in children treated with methotrexate for
 leukemia, Clin Orthop Relat Res. (1977) 139–144.
- M. Nesbit, W. Krivit, R. Heyn, H. Sharp, Acute and chronic effects of methotrexate on
 hepatic, pulmonary, and skeletal systems, Cancer. 37 (1976) 1048–1057.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(197602)37:2+<1048::aid-
 cncr2820370811>3.0.co;2-v.
- [52] H.B. Nevinny, M.J. Krant, E.W. Moore, METABOLIC STUDIES OF THE EFFECTS OF
 METHOTREXATE, Metabolism. 14 (1965) 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0026 0495(65)80035-x.
- 714 [53] O. di Munno, M. Mazzantini, L. Sinigaglia, G. Bianchi, G. Minisola, M. Muratore, R. la
 715 Corte, L. di Matteo, B. Canesi, M. Caminiti, M. Broggini, S. Adami, Effect of low dose
 716 methotrexate on bone density in women with rheumatoid arthritis: results from a
 717 multicenter cross-sectional study, J Rheumatol. 31 (2004) 1305–1309.
- [54] K. Arai, T. Hanyu, H. Sugitani, T. Murai, J. Fujisawa, K. Nakazono, N. Kondo, N. Endo,
 Risk factors for vertebral fracture in menopausal or postmenopausal Japanese women

720 with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional and longitudinal study, J Bone Miner 721 Metab. 24 (2006) 118–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-005-0657-9. 722 [55] A.B. Cranney, R.J. McKendry, G.A. Wells, D.S. Ooi, N.D. Kanigsberg, G.R. Kraag, C.D. 723 Smith, The effect of low dose methotrexate on bone density, J Rheumatol. 28 (2001) 724 2395-2399. 725 [56] N.J. Minaur, D. Kounali, S. Vedi, J.E. Compston, J.N. Beresford, A.K. Bhalla, 726 Methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. II. In vivo effects on bone 727 mineral density, Rheumatology (Oxford). 41 (2002) 741-749. 728 https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/41.7.741. 729 M.L. Bianchi, R. Cimaz, E. Galbiati, F. Corona, R. Cherubini, M. Bardare, Bone mass [57] 730 change during methotrexate treatment in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, 731 Osteoporos Int. 10 (1999) 20–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001980050189. 732 C.A.M. Kulak, V.Z.C. Borba, J. Kulak Júnior, E. Shane, Transplantation osteoporosis, Arq [58] 733 Bras Endocrinol Metabol. 50 (2006) 783–792. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-734 27302006000400023. 735 [59] C. Movsowitz, S. Epstein, F. Ismail, M. Fallon, S. Thomas, Cyclosporin A in the 736 oophorectomized rat: unexpected severe bone resorption, J Bone Miner Res. 4 (1989) 737 393–398. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.5650040314. 738 S. Morgan, K.M. Hooper, E.M. Milne, C. Farquharson, C. Stevens, K.A. Staines, [60] 739 Azathioprine Has a Deleterious Effect on the Bone Health of Mice with DSS-Induced 740 Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Int J Mol Sci. 20 (2019) 6085. 741 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20236085. 742 [61] U. Cegieła, I. Kaczmarczyk-Sedlak, M. Pytlik, J. Folwarczna, B. Nowińska, J. Fronczek-743 Sokół, Alendronate prevents development of the skeletal changes induced by 744 azathioprine in rats, Acta Pol Pharm. 70 (2013) 309–315. 745 [62] P. Vestergaard, L. Rejnmark, L. Mosekilde, Methotrexate, azathioprine, cyclosporine, 746 and risk of fracture, Calcif Tissue Int. 79 (2006) 69-75. 747 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-006-0060-0. 748 [63] W.S. Jee, Y.F. Ma, The in vivo anabolic actions of prostaglandins in bone, Bone. 21 749 (1997) 297-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/s8756-3282(97)00147-6. 750 O.M. Faye-Petersen, W.H. Johnson, W.A. Carlo, G.L. Hedlund, A.D. Pacifico, H.C. Blair, [64] 751 Prostaglandin E1-induced hyperostosis: clinicopathologic correlations and possible 752 pathogenetic mechanisms, Pediatr Pathol Lab Med. 16 (1996) 489–507. 753 https://doi.org/10.1080/15513819609168686. 754 L.G. Raisz, C.C. Pilbeam, P.M. Fall, Prostaglandins: mechanisms of action and [65] 755 regulation of production in bone, Osteoporos Int. 3 Suppl 1 (1993) 136–140. 756 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01621888. 757 [66] A.L. Barker, S.-E. Soh, K.M. Sanders, J. Pasco, S. Khosla, P.R. Ebeling, S.A. Ward, G. Peeters, J. Talevski, R.G. Cumming, E. Seeman, J.J. McNeil, Aspirin and fracture risk: a 758 759 systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis of observational studies, BMJ Open. 760 10 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026876. 761 J.B. Richards, L. Joseph, K. Schwartzman, N. Kreiger, A. Tenenhouse, D. Goltzman, [67] 762 Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study Group, The effect of cyclooxygenase-2 763 inhibitors on bone mineral density: results from the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study, Osteoporos Int. 17 (2006) 1410–1419. 764 765 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-006-0142-x.

- [68] L.D. Carbone, F.A. Tylavsky, J.A. Cauley, T.B. Harris, T.F. Lang, D.C. Bauer, K.D. Barrow,
 S.B. Kritchevsky, Association Between Bone Mineral Density and the Use of
 Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Aspirin: Impact of Cyclooxygenase
 Selectivity, Journal of Bone and Mineral Research. 18 (2003) 1795–1802.
 https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2003.18.10.1795.
- T.E. Meijome, J.T. Baughman, R.A. Hooker, Y.-H. Cheng, W.A. Ciovacco, S.M.
 Balamohan, T.L. Srinivasan, B.R. Chitteti, P.P. Eleniste, M.C. Horowitz, E.F. Srour, A.
 Bruzzaniti, R.K. Fuchs, M.A. Kacena, C-Mpl Is Expressed on Osteoblasts and
 Osteoclasts and Is Important in Regulating Skeletal Homeostasis, J Cell Biochem. 117
 (2016) 959–969. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.25380.
- 776 [70] M. Bethel, C.L.T. Barnes, A.F. Taylor, Y.-H. Cheng, B.R. Chitteti, M.C. Horowitz, A.
 777 Bruzzaniti, E.F. Srour, M.A. Kacena, A Novel Role for Thrombopoietin in Regulating
 778 Osteoclast Development in Humans and Mice, J Cell Physiol. 230 (2015) 2142–2151.
 779 https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24943.
- [71] H.P. von Schroeder, C.J. Veillette, J. Payandeh, A. Qureshi, J.N.M. Heersche,
 Endothelin-1 promotes osteoprogenitor proliferation and differentiation in fetal rat
 calvarial cell cultures, Bone. 33 (2003) 673–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/s87563282(03)00215-1.
- 784 [72] Y. Takuwa, Y. Ohue, N. Takuwa, K. Yamashita, Endothelin-1 activates phospholipase C
 785 and mobilizes Ca2+ from extra- and intracellular pools in osteoblastic cells, Am J
 786 Physiol. 257 (1989) E797-803. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1989.257.6.E797.
- 787 [73] C.H. Kasperk, I. Börcsök, H.U. Schairer, U. Schneider, P.P. Nawroth, F.U. Niethard, R.
 788 Ziegler, Endothelin-1 is a potent regulator of human bone cell metabolism in vitro,
 789 Calcif Tissue Int. 60 (1997) 368–374. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002239900245.
- [74] I. Gulhan, L. Kebapcilar, A. Alacacioglu, S. Bilgili, T. Kume, B. Aytac, R. Gunaydin,
 Postmenopausal women with osteoporosis may be associated with high endothelin-1,
 Gynecological Endocrinology. 25 (2009) 674–678.
- 793 https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590903015429.
- 794 [75] A. Kondo, A. Togari, In vivo stimulation of sympathetic nervous system modulates
 795 osteoblastic activity in mouse calvaria, Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 285 (2003)
 796 E661-667. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00026.2003.
- [76] K. Handa, S. Kiyohara, T. Yamakawa, K. Ishikawa, M. Hosonuma, N. Sakai, A. Karakawa,
 M. Chatani, M. Tsuji, K. Inagaki, Y. Kiuchi, M. Takami, T. Negishi-Koga, Bone loss
 caused by dopaminergic degeneration and levodopa treatment in Parkinson's disease
 model mice, Sci Rep. 9 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50336-4.
- [77] R.B. Postuma, A.E. Lang, Homocysteine and levodopa: should Parkinson disease
 patients receive preventative therapy?, Neurology. 63 (2004) 886–891.
 https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000137886.74175.5a.
- [78] J.B.J. van Meurs, R.A.M. Dhonukshe-Rutten, S.M.F. Pluijm, M. van der Klift, R. de
 Jonge, J. Lindemans, L.C.P.G.M. de Groot, A. Hofman, J.C.M. Witteman, J.P.T.M. van
 Leeuwen, M.M.B. Breteler, P. Lips, H.A.P. Pols, A.G. Uitterlinden, Homocysteine levels
 and the risk of osteoporotic fracture, N Engl J Med. 350 (2004) 2033–2041.
 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032546.
- P. Vestergaard, L. Rejnmark, L. Mosekilde, Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and
 other antidepressants and risk of fracture, Calcif Tissue Int. 82 (2008) 92–101.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-007-9099-9.

- [80] V.K. Yadav, J.-H. Ryu, N. Suda, K.F. Tanaka, J.A. Gingrich, G. Schütz, F.H. Glorieux, C.Y.
 Chiang, J.D. Zajac, K.L. Insogna, J.J. Mann, R. Hen, P. Ducy, G. Karsenty, Lrp5 controls
 bone formation by inhibiting serotonin synthesis in the duodenum, Cell. 135 (2008)
 825–837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.059.
- 816 [81] S.Q. Dai, L.P. Yu, X. Shi, H. Wu, P. Shao, G.Y. Yin, Y.Z. Wei, Serotonin regulates
 817 osteoblast proliferation and function in vitro, Braz J Med Biol Res. 47 (2014) 759–765.
 818 https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20143565.
- 819 [82] B. Lavoie, J.B. Lian, G.M. Mawe, Regulation of Bone Metabolism by Serotonin, Adv Exp
 820 Med Biol. 1033 (2017) 35–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66653-2_3.
- [83] R.B. Martin, Toward a unifying theory of bone remodeling, Bone. 26 (2000) 1–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s8756-3282(99)00241-0.
- [84] R.W. Cowan, E.P. Seidlitz, G. Singh, Glutamate Signaling in Healthy and Diseased Bone,
 Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 3 (2012). https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2012.00089.
- 825 [85] M.-L. Ho, T.-N. Tsai, J.-K. Chang, T.-S. Shao, Y.-R. Jeng, C. Hsu, Down-regulation of N826 methyl D-aspartate receptor in rat-modeled disuse osteopenia, Osteoporos Int. 16
 827 (2005) 1780–1788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-005-1928-y.
- 828[86]K. Hu, B.R. Olsen, The roles of vascular endothelial growth factor in bone repair and829regeneration, Bone. 91 (2016) 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.06.013.
- [87] Z. Wang, X. Ge, Y. Wang, Y. Liang, H. Shi, T. Zhao, Mechanism of dexmedetomidine
 regulating osteogenesis-angiogenesis coupling through the miR-361-5p/VEGFA axis in
 postmenopausal osteoporosis, Life Sci. (2021) 119273.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2021.119273.
- 834 [88] X.-D. Chen, P. Xiao, S.-F. Lei, Y.-Z. Liu, Y.-F. Guo, F.-Y. Deng, L.-J. Tan, X.-Z. Zhu, F.-R.
 835 Chen, R.R. Recker, H.-W. Deng, Gene expression profiling in monocytes and SNP
- association suggest the importance of the STAT1 gene for osteoporosis in both
 Chinese and Caucasians, J Bone Miner Res. 25 (2010) 339–355.
- 838 https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.090724.
- [89] H. Zhou, A.B. Newnum, J.R. Martin, P. Li, M.T. Nelson, A. Moh, X.-Y. Fu, H. Yokota, J. Li,
 Osteoblast/osteocyte-specific inactivation of Stat3 decreases load-driven bone
 formation and accumulates reactive oxygen species, Bone. 49 (2011) 404–411.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2011.04.020.
- [90] Z. Zhang, T. Welte, N. Troiano, S.E. Maher, X.-Y. Fu, A.L.M. Bothwell, Osteoporosis with
 increased osteoclastogenesis in hematopoietic cell-specific STAT3-deficient mice,
 Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 328 (2005) 800–807.
 bttps://doi.org/10.1016/ji.bbrg.2005.01.010
- 846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.01.019.
- [91] R.J. Wenstrup, K.A. Kacena, P. Kaplan, G.M. Pastores, A. Prakash-Cheng, A. Zimran,
 T.N. Hangartner, Effect of Enzyme Replacement Therapy With Imiglucerase on BMD in
 Type 1 Gaucher Disease, Journal of Bone and Mineral Research. 22 (2007) 119–126.
 https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.061004.
- 851 [92] S.-M. Kim, T. Yuen, J. Iqbal, M.R. Rubin, M. Zaidi, The NO-cGMP-PKG pathway in
 852 skeletal remodeling, Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1487 (2021) 21–30.
 853 https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14486.
- [93] M. Urushibara, H. Takayanagi, T. Koga, S. Kim, M. Isobe, Y. Morishita, T. Nakagawa, M.
 Löeffler, T. Kodama, H. Kurosawa, T. Taniguchi, The antirheumatic drug leflunomide
 inhibits osteoclastogenesis by interfering with receptor activator of NF-kappa B ligandstimulated induction of nuclear factor of activated T cells c1, Arthritis Rheum. 50
- 858 (2004) 794–804. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.20206.

- [94] A. Pfeil, J. Lippold, T. Eidner, G. Lehmann, P. Oelzner, D.M. Renz, A. Hansch, G. Wolf, G.
 Hein, W.A. Kaiser, J. Böttcher, Effects of leflunomide and methotrexate in rheumatoid
 arthritis detected by digital X-ray radiogrammetry and computer-aided joint space
 analysis, Rheumatol Int. 29 (2009) 287–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-0080682-9.
- 864 [95] T. Wang, C. He, TNF-α and IL-6: The Link between Immune and Bone System, Curr
 865 Drug Targets. 21 (2020) 213–227.
- 866 https://doi.org/10.2174/1389450120666190821161259.
- 867 [96] Y. Tanaka, Managing Osteoporosis and Joint Damage in Patients with Rheumatoid
 868 Arthritis: An Overview, J Clin Med. 10 (2021) 1241.
 869 https://doi.org/10.2200/jom10061241
- 869 https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10061241.
- P. Garnero, E. Thompson, T. Woodworth, J.S. Smolen, Rapid and sustained
 improvement in bone and cartilage turnover markers with the anti-interleukin-6
 receptor inhibitor tocilizumab plus methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis patients with
 an inadequate response to methotrexate: results from a substudy of the multicenter
 double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of tocilizumab in inadequate responders to
 methotrexate alone, Arthritis Rheum. 62 (2010) 33–43.
- 876 https://doi.org/10.1002/art.25053.
- M.A. Karsdal, G. Schett, P. Emery, O. Harari, I. Byrjalsen, A. Kenwright, A.C. BayJensen, A. Platt, IL-6 receptor inhibition positively modulates bone balance in
 rheumatoid arthritis patients with an inadequate response to anti-tumor necrosis
 factor therapy: biochemical marker analysis of bone metabolism in the tocilizumab
 RADIATE study (NCT00106522), Semin Arthritis Rheum. 42 (2012) 131–139.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2012.01.004.
- 883 [99] A.M. Dubrovsky, M.J. Lim, N.E. Lane, Osteoporosis in Rheumatic Diseases: Anti884 rheumatic Drugs and the Skeleton, Calcif Tissue Int. 102 (2018) 607–618.
 885 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-018-0401-9.
- [100] R. Axmann, S. Herman, M. Zaiss, S. Franz, K. Polzer, J. Zwerina, M. Herrmann, J.
 Smolen, G. Schett, CTLA-4 directly inhibits osteoclast formation, Ann Rheum Dis. 67
 (2008) 1603–1609. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2007.080713.
- [101] M. Tada, K. Inui, Y. Sugioka, K. Mamoto, T. Okano, T. Koike, H. Nakamura, FRI0062
 Influence of Biologic Agents on Bone Mineral Density and Bone Mineral Markers in
 Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Data from the Airtight Study, Annals of the
 Rheumatic Diseases. 74 (2015) 441–442. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2015eular.2214.
- [102] G. Hein, T. Eidner, P. Oelzner, M. Rose, A. Wilke, G. Wolf, S. Franke, Influence of
 Rituximab on markers of bone remodeling in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a
 prospective open-label pilot study, Rheumatol Int. 31 (2011) 269–272.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-010-1560-9.
- [103] G. Wheater, M. Elshahaly, K. Naraghi, S.P. Tuck, H.K. Datta, J.M. van Laar, Changes in
 bone density and bone turnover in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with
 rituximab, results from an exploratory, prospective study, PLoS One. 13 (2018)
 e0201527. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201527.
- [104] T. Both, M.C. Zillikens, M. Schreuders-Koedam, M. Vis, W.-K. Lam, A.E.A.M. Weel,
 J.P.T.M. van Leeuwen, P.M. van Hagen, B.C.J. van der Eerden, P.L.A. van Daele,
 Hydroxychloroquine affects bone resorption both in vitro and in vivo, J Cell Physiol.
 233 (2018) 1424–1433. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26028.

- 906 [105] S. Lakshminarayanan, S. Walsh, M. Mohanraj, N. Rothfield, Factors associated with
 907 low bone mineral density in female patients with systemic lupus erythematosus, J
 908 Rheumatol. 28 (2001) 102–108.
- [106] L. Carbone, S. Vasan, R. Elam, S. Gupta, O. Tolaymat, C. Crandall, J. Wactawski-Wende,
 K.C. Johnson, The Association of Methotrexate, Sulfasalazine, and Hydroxychloroquine
 Use With Fracture in Postmenopausal Women With Rheumatoid Arthritis: Findings
- 912 From the Women's Health Initiative, JBMR Plus. 4 (2020) e10393.
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm4.10393.
- 914 [107] H. Takayanagi, S. Kim, K. Matsuo, H. Suzuki, T. Suzuki, K. Sato, T. Yokochi, H. Oda, K.
 915 Nakamura, N. Ida, E.F. Wagner, T. Taniguchi, RANKL maintains bone homeostasis
 916 through c-Fos-dependent induction of interferon-beta, Nature. 416 (2002) 744–749.
 917 https://doi.org/10.1038/416744a.
- 918 [108] B. Weinstock-Guttman, J. Hong, R. Santos, M. Tamaño-Blanco, D. Badgett, K. Patrick,
 919 M. Baier, J. Feichter, E. Gallagher, N. Garg, M. Ramanathan, Interferon-beta
 920 modulates bone-associated cytokines and osteoclast precursor activity in multiple
 921 sclerosis patients, Mult Scler. 12 (2006) 541–550.
- 922 https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458506070605.
- 923 [109] J.L. Pérez Castrillón, M. Cano-del Pozo, S. Sanz-Izquierdo, J. Velayos-Jiménez, W. Dib 924 Wobakin, [Bone mineral density in patients with multiple sclerosis: the effects of
 925 interferon], Rev Neurol. 36 (2003) 901–903.
- 926 [110] A.P. Kaplan, A.M. Giménez-Arnau, S.S. Saini, Mechanisms of action that contribute to
 927 efficacy of omalizumab in chronic spontaneous urticaria, Allergy. 72 (2017) 519–533.
 928 https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13083.
- [111] L. Fala, Nucala (Mepolizumab): First IL-5 Antagonist Monoclonal Antibody FDA
 Approved for Maintenance Treatment of Patients with Severe Asthma, Am Health
 Drug Benefits. 9 (2016) 106–110.
- 932 [112] M.M. Sirufo, M. Suppa, L. Ginaldi, M. De Martinis, Does Allergy Break Bones?
 933 Osteoporosis and Its Connection to Allergy, Int J Mol Sci. 21 (2020).
 934 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21030712.
- [113] F. Rossi, S. Perrotta, G. Bellini, L. Luongo, C. Tortora, D. Siniscalco, M. Francese, M.
 Torella, B. Nobili, V.D. Marzo, S. Maione, Iron overload causes osteoporosis in
 thalassemia major patients through interaction with transient receptor potential
 vanilloid type 1 (TRPV1) channels, 1. 99 (2014) 1876–1884.
- 939 https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2014.104463.
- 940 [114] L. Valenti, M. Varenna, A.L. Fracanzani, V. Rossi, S. Fargion, L. Sinigaglia, Association
 941 between iron overload and osteoporosis in patients with hereditary
- 942 hemochromatosis, Osteoporos Int. 20 (2009) 549–555.
- 943 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-008-0701-4.
- 944 [115] M. Poggi, F. Sorrentino, P. Pugliese, M.P. Smacchia, C. Daniele, F. Equitani, F. Terlizzi,
 945 M.R. Guitarrini, S. Monti, L. Maffei, A. Losardo, M. Pasin, V. Toscano, Longitudinal
 946 changes of endocrine and bone disease in adults with β-thalassemia major receiving
 947 different iron chelators over 5 years, Ann Hematol. 95 (2016) 757–763.
 948 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-016-2633-y.
- [116] R. Westenfeld, G. Schlieper, M. Wöltje, A. Gawlik, V. Brandenburg, P. Rutkowski, J.
 Floege, W. Jahnen-Dechent, M. Ketteler, Impact of sirolimus, tacrolimus and
 myconhonolate motoril on estocolastogonesis, implications for post transplantation
- 951 mycophenolate mofetil on osteoclastogenesis--implications for post-transplantation

- bone disease, Nephrol Dial Transplant. 26 (2011) 4115–4123.
- 953 https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfr214.
- [117] C. Chupeerach, T. Harnroongroj, B. Phonrat, A. Tungtrongchitr, F.J. Schweigert, R.
 Tungtrongchitr, S. Preutthipan, Decreased retinol transport proteins in Thai postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 42
 (2011) 1515–1520.
- 958 [118] W. van den Brink, G. Addolorato, H.-J. Aubin, A. Benyamina, F. Caputo, M. Dematteis,
 959 A. Gual, O.-M. Lesch, K. Mann, I. Maremmani, D. Nutt, F. Paille, P. Perney, J. Rehm, M.
 960 Reynaud, N. Simon, B. Söderpalm, W.H. Sommer, H. Walter, R. Spanagel, Efficacy and
 961 safety of sodium oxybate in alcohol-dependent patients with a very high drinking risk
 962 level, Addict Biol. 23 (2018) 969–986. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12645.
- 963 [119] C. Khouri, C. Petit, M. Tod, M. Lepelley, B. Revol, M. Roustit, J.-L. Cracowski, Adverse
 964 drug reaction risks obtained from meta-analyses and pharmacovigilance
- 965 disproportionality analyses are correlated in most cases, J Clin Epidemiol. 134 (2021)
 966 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.01.015.
- 967

968 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

- Figure 1: Significant RORs for osteoporosis (narrow SMQ) with drugs in the ATC groups A, B, C, D, G,
 H, J and L.
- 971 *ROR calculation performed for the corresponding therapeutic area
- 972 ** ROR calculation not stratified by therapeutic area (the corresponding drugs are almost the only
- 973 ones in their therapeutic area)
- 974 ATC, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; CI, confidence interval; ROR, reporting odds ratio.
- 975 Figure 2: Significant RORs for osteoporosis (narrow SMQ) with drugs in the ATC groups L, M, N, P, R, S
- 976 and V
- 977 *ROR calculation performed in the corresponding therapeutic area
- 878 ** ROR calculation not stratified by therapeutic area (the corresponding drugs are almost the only979 ones in their therapeutic area)
- 980 ATC, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; CI, confidence interval; ROR, reporting odds ratio.
- 981 **Figure 3**: RORs for osteoporosis (narrow SMQ) with antithrombotic agents after exclusion of the SMQ
- 982 "Haemorrhages"
- 983 ATC, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; CI, confidence interval; MAO, monoamine oxidase; ROR,
- 984 reporting odds ratio; SMQ, standardized MedDRA query;

crude ROR adjusted ROR

ATC A (ALIMENTARY TRACT AND METABOLISM)	N		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
A02 - DRUGS FOR ACID RELATED DISORDERS* A02BC - Proton pump inhibitors	108		11.40	[4.13 – 21.20]	12.12	[6.79 – 24.01]
A10 - DRUGS USED IN DIABETES* A10BG - Thiazolidinediones	25	t =	1.71	[1.07 – 2.72]	1.80	[1.11 – 2.82]
A16AB -ENZYMES FOR ALIMENTARY TRACT AND METABOLISM* A16AB02 - Imiglucerase	5	.	6.45	[1.73 – 24.10]	4.87	[1.24 – 20.33]
ATC B (BLOOD AND BLOOD FORMING ORGANS)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
B01 - ANTITHROMBOTIC AGENTS* B01AB - Heparin group antithrombotic drugs	79	÷	4.31	[3.23 – 5.75]	3.69	[2.75 – 4.92]
B02 - ANTIHEMORRHAGICS* B02BX - Other systemic hemostatics in ATC B02BX04 - Romiplostim	4		6	[1.5 – 24]	4.93	[1.15 – 21.10]
ATC C (CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
C02 - ANTIHYPERTENSIVES* C02KX - Antihypertensives for pulmonary arterial hypertension C02KX04 - Macitentan C02KX05 - Riociguat	63 28 8		7.18 3.80 3.47	[2.61 – 19.72] [2.34 – 6.18] [1.66 – 7.27]	6.06 3.02 2.83	[2.50 – 19.96] [1.84 – 4.90] [1.25 – 5.59]
C03 - DIURETICS* C03CA - sulfonamides, high-ceiling diuretics	19	\$	1.92	[0.96 – 3.83]	2.37	[1.19 – 4.84]
ATC D (DERMATOLOGICALS)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
D07 - CORTICOSTEROIDS, DERMATOLOGICAL PREPARATIONS**	996	*	12.91	[12.04 – 13.85]	13.06	[12.17 – 14.00]
ATC G (GENITO URINARY SYSTEM AND SEX HORMONES)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
G03 - SEX HORMONES AND MODULATORS OF THE GENITAL SYSTEM* G03HA - Antiandrogens	13		8.71	[4.92 – 15.43]	7.76	[3.78 – 14.84]
G04 - UROLOGICALS* G04BE - Drugs used in erectile dysfunction G04BE03 - Sildenafil G04CB - Testosterone-5-alpha reductase inhibitors	19 9 12	€_ 	2.18 2.47 6.93	[1.25 – 3.83] [1.20 – 5.06] [3.64 – 13.19]	2.44 2.84 13.60	[1.36 – 4.25] [1.29 – 5.63] [6.05 – 30.00]
ATC H (SYSTEMIC HORMONAL PREPARATIONS)	N		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
H02 - CORTICOID FOR SYSTEMIC USE** H02AB - Glucocorticoids, Systemic	957	+	14.01	[13.05 – 15.04]	14.14	[13.17 – 15.18]
H03 - THYROID THERAPY** H03AA - Thyroid hormones	28	+	2.68	[1.85 – 3.89]	2.44	[1.64 – 3.46]
ATC J (ANTIINFECTIVES FOR SYSTEMIC USE)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
J05 - ANTIVIRALS FOR SYSTEMIC USE* J05AE - Protease inhibitors, direct acting antivirals J05AF - Nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors J05AR - Antivirals for treatment of HIV infections, combinations	86 265 418	\$ * **	1.66 2.28 3.70	[1.33 – 2.09] [1.96 – 2.66] [3.2 – 4.28]	1.75 2.78 5.18	[1.38 – 2.18] [2.37 – 3.25] [4.43 – 6.06]
ATC L (ANTINEOPLASTIC AND IMMUNOMODULATING AGENTS)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
L01 - ANTINEOPLASTIC AGENTS* L01BA - Folic acid analogs L01BA01 - Methotrexate L01XC - Monoclonal antibodies L01XC02 - Rituximab	185 71	<i>≠</i> ŧ	6.65 3.28	[5.57 – 7.95] [2.56 – 4.21]	6.34 3.40	[5.28 – 7.58] [2.62 – 4.33]
L02 - ENDOCRINE THERAPY* L02AE - Gonadotropin releasing hormone analogues L02BG - Aromatase inhibitors	82 205	*	1.13 3.72	[0.88 – 1.44] [3.07 – 4.52]	1.38 4.21	[1.06 – 1.77] [3.25 – 5.45]
L03 - IMMUNOSTIMULANTS* L03AB - Interferons L03AB07 - Interferon beta-1a	135 104		6.18 9.12	[3.63 – 10.54] [6.45 – 12.91]	6.81 9.49	[4.12 – 12.14] [6.66 – 13.73]

ATC L (ANTINEOPLASTIC AND IMMUNOMODULATING AGENTS)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
L04 - IMMUNOSUPPRESSANTS* L04AA - Selective immunosuppressants L04AA06 - Mycophenolic acid L04AA10 - Sirolimus L04AA13 - Leflunomide L04AA24 - Abatacept L04AA26 - Belimumab L04AA29 - Tofacitinib L04AA2 - Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-) inhibitors L04AC - Interleukin inhibitors L04AC - Interleukin inhibitors	80 9 82 98 6 119 586 124	\$ ≠ + + + +	1.94 1.64 5.49 6.20 3.10 4.35 1.50 7.07	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.55 - 2.44 \\ [0.85 - 3.17] \\ [4.38 - 6.87] \\ [5.04 - 7.64] \\ [1.38 - 6.88] \\ [3.60 - 5.27] \\ [1.30 - 1.68] \\ [5.86 - 8.52] \\ \end{bmatrix} $	2.53 2.12 4.84 5.06 3.17 3.65 1.53 6.16	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1.99 - 3.16 \\ 1.02 - 3.85 \\ 3.84 - 6.03 \\ 1.25 - 6.46 \\ 3.00 - 4.40 \\ 1.36 - 1.72 \\ \end{bmatrix} $
L04AD - Calcineurin inhibitors L04AX - Other immunosuppressants in ATC L04AX01 - Azathioprine	72 52	•	1.13 1.81	[0.89 – 1.44] [1.37 – 2.40]	1.43 2.26	[1.11 – 1.80] [1.69 – 2.96]
ATC M (MUSCULO-SKELETAL SYSTEM)	N		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
M01A - ANTIINFLAMMATORY AND ANTIRHEUMATIC PRODUCTS, NON-STEROIDS** M01AH - Coxibs*	307 209		1.25 12.42	[1.11 – 1.40] [9.77 – 15.8]	1.25 10.28	[1.11 – 1.40] [8.06 – 13.19]
ATC N (NERVOUS SYSTEM)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
N02 - ANALGESICS* N02C - ANTIMIGRAINE PREPARATIONS N02CC - Selective serotonin (5HT1) agonists, antimigraine drugs	14	-	4.39	[2.57 – 7.53]	4.22	[2.34 – 7.00]
N03 - ANTIEPICEPTICS* N03AA - Barbiturates and derivatives N03AF - Barbiturates N03AF - Carboxamide derivatives N03AG - Fatty acid derivatives N03AX - Other antiepileptics in ATC	34 39 56 52	==== * * *	7.28 1.84 1.93 2.00	[5.06 - 10.48] [1.30 - 2.59] [1.43 - 2.60] [1.48 - 2.73]	7.35 1.89 1.93 2.06	[5.05 - 10.43] [1.32 - 2.64] [1.42 - 2.59] [1.50 - 2.79]
N03AX16 - Pregabalin	43	•	1.40	[1.00 – 1.94]	1.41	[1.00 – 1.96]
N04 - ANTI-PARKINSON DRUGS* N04BA - Dopa and dopa derivatives	20	- 	4.82	[2.36 – 9.86]	10.18	[4.33 – 25.10]
N06 - PSYCHOANALEPTICS* N06D - ANTI-DEMENTIA DRUGS N06DX - Other anti-dementia drugs in ATC N06DX01 - Memantine	6		3.81	[1.68 – 8.68]	4.10	[1.56 – 8.93]
N07X - OTHER NERVOUS SYSTEM DRUGS** N07XX - Other nervous system drugs in ATC N07XX04 - Oxybate sodium N07XX05 - Amifampridine	15 4	→	4.56 44.66	[2.74 – 7.57] [16.53 – 120.67]	4.79 43.60	[2.75 – 7.66] [13.51 – 104.15]
ATC P (ANTIPARASITIC PRODUCTS, INSECTICIDES AND REPELLENTS)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
P01B - ANTIMALARIALS** P01BA02 - Hydroxychloroquine	153	*	8.46	[7.20 – 9.95]	7.91	[6.70 – 9.26]
ATC R (RESPIRATORY SYSTEM)	Ν		cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
R03 - DRUGS FOR OBSTRUCTIVE AIRWAY DISEASES* R03BA - Glucocorticoid inhalants for obstructive airway disease R03DX - Other systemic drugs for obstructive airway diseases in ATC R03DX05 - Omalizumab P03DV06 - Monoitivements	167 41	* *	2.55	[2.01 - 3.22] [1.74 - 3.37]	2.55	[2.02 - 3.24] [1.67 - 3.29]
		-	2.30	[1:03 - 3:40]	2.34	[1:01 = 0:12]
S01LA04 - Ranibizumab	6		3.97	[1.38 – 11.45]	3.31	[1.00 – 10.51]
ATC V (VARIOUS)						
V03AC - Iron chelating agents**	19		4.10	[2.61 – 6.44]	4.20	[2.58 – 6.39]
V10XX - Various therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals V10XX03 - Radium Ra 223 dichloride**	3		4.59	[1.48 – 14.26]	5.13	[1.27 – 13.36]

📕 crude ROR 📕 adjusted ROR

B01 - ANTITHROMBOTIC AGENTS* B01AA - Vitamin K antagonists 31	ATC B01 (SMQ narrow)	Ν	cROR	95% CI	aROR	95% CI
B01AC22 - Prasugrel 0 0 0 B01AC23 - Cilostazol 2 0.52 [0.13 - 2.11] 0.69 [0.11 - 2.1] B01AC24 - Ticagrelor 1 0.23 [0.03 - 1.67] 0.34 [0.02 - 1.5] B01AC27 - Selexipag 8 2.34 [1.15 - 4.76] 1.78 [0.80 - 3.4] B01AE - Direct factor Xa inhibitors 2 0.57 [0.33 - 0.83] 0.76 [0.42 - 1.2]	ATC B01 (SMQ narrow) B01 - ANTITHROMBOTIC AGENTS* B01AA - Vitamin K antagonists B01AB - Heparin group antithrombotic drugs B01AC - Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. heparin B01AC04 - Clopidogrel B01AC05 - Ticlopidine B01AC05 - Acetylsalicylic acid B01AC06 - Acetylsalicylic acid B01AC09 - Epoprostenol B01AC11 - Iloprost B01AC13 - Abciximab B01AC19 - Beraprost B01AC19 - Treprostinil	N 31 74 35 7 0 19 4 2 0 1 6	2.69 4.42 0.29 0.49 0 0.35 0.95 0.78 0 1.84 0.96	95% CI [1.81 - 3.98] [3.23 - 6.05] [0.20 - 0.42] [0.22 - 0.98] [0.22 - 0.57] [0.35 - 2.57] [0.19 - 3.16] [0.26 - 13.2] [0.42 - 2.17]	3.04 4.21 0.24 0.64 0 0.31 0.59 0.65 0 1.84 0.66	95% CI [2.01 - 4.45] [3.07 - 5.77] [0.16 - 0.35] [0.27 - 1.28] [0.19 - 0.50] [0.18 - 1.39] [0.11 - 2.05] [0.10 - 8.23] [0.26 - 1.38]
B01AC22 - Prasugrel 0 0 0 B01AC23 - Cilostazol 2 0.52 [0.13 - 2.11] 0.69 [0.11 - 2.1 B01AC24 - Ticagrelor 1 0.23 [0.03 - 1.67] 0.34 [0.02 - 1.5 B01AC27 - Selexipag 8 2.34 [1.15 - 4.76] 1.78 [0.80 - 3.4 B01AE - Direct thrombin inhibitors 2 0.20 [0.05 - 0.83] 0.30 [0.05 - 0.2 B01AF - Direct factor Xa inhibitors 14 0.57 [0.33 - 0.98] 0.76 [0.42 - 1.2	B01AC19 - Beraprost B01AC21 - Treprostinil	1 6	1.84 0.96	[0.26 – 13.2] [0.42 – 2.17]	1.84 0.66	[0.10 - 8.23] [0.26 - 1.38]
	B01AC22 - Prasugrel B01AC23 - Cilostazol B01AC24 - Ticagrelor B01AC27 - Selexipag B01AE - Direct thrombin inhibitors B01AF - Direct factor Xa inhibitors	0 2 1 8 2 14	0 0.52 0.23 2.34 0.20 0.57	$ \begin{bmatrix} 0.13 - 2.11 \\ 0.03 - 1.67 \\ 1.15 - 4.76 \\ 0.05 - 0.83 \\ 0.33 - 0.98 \end{bmatrix} $	0 0.69 0.34 1.78 0.30 0.76	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.11 - 2.16 \\ [0.02 - 1.53] \\ [0.80 - 3.40] \\ [0.05 - 0.94] \\ [0.42 - 1.28] \end{bmatrix}$

Characteristics	Broad SMQ « Osteoporosis » n = 4,758				
Age (years) ± SD	51.1 ± 15.6				
Sex, n (%)					
Male	1,621 (34.1)				
Female	3,137 (65.9)				
Reporter qualification, n (%)					
Physicians	2,933 (61.6)				
Pharmacists	383 (8,0)				
Other health professionals	1,059 (30.4)				
Continent, n (%)					
North America	2,184 (45.9)				
Europe	1,785 (37.5)				
Asia	645 (13.6)				
Other regions ¹	144 (3.0)				
Seriousness of ADRs ² , n (%)					
Death	157 (3.3)				
Life-threatening event	62 (1.3)				
Disability/incapacity	542 (11.4)				
Hospitalization (caused or prolonged)	2,679 (56.3)				
Others	2,684 (56.4)				

Table 1: Characteristics of ICSRs

¹including Oceania, Africa and South America

²ADRs of a unique ICSR can have a different seriousness

ADR, adverse drug reaction; ICSRs, individual case safety reports; SMQ, standardized medical dictionary for regulatory activities queries;

Table 2: Indications and mechanisms of action of molecules having a pharmacological plausibility to induce osteoporosis

Molecules	Indications	Mechanisms of action	Pharmacological plausibility				
Selective 5-HT ₁ agonists	Migraine	Selective 5-HT1 agonists	Binding to 5-HT _{1B} OB receptors → ↓ OB proliferation [80–82]				
L-dopa	Parkinson disease	Dopamine substitution	Breakdown of L-dopa by COMT $\rightarrow \uparrow$ Hcy $\rightarrow \downarrow$ collagen cross-linking [78]				
Memantine	Dementia	NMDA receptor antagonist	Down-regulation of NMDA receptors $\rightarrow \downarrow$ osteogenesis [85]				
Romiplostim	ITP	TPO receptor activation (c-Mpl)	Activation of MAPK, JAK/STAT and NF κ B \rightarrow \uparrow OC formation and differentiation [70]				
Macitentan	Pulmonary arterial ET-1 receptors antagonists		 ET-1 antagonism → ↓ OB proliferation and differentiation → ↓ type I collagen production → ↑ OC resorption [71–73] 				
Ranibizumab	Age-related macular degeneration	VEGF-A inhibitor	↓ VEGF-A → ↓ osteogenesis [86,87]				
Tofacitinib	Rheumatoid arthritis	JAK1/JAK3 inhibitor	JAK1 inhibition \rightarrow osteoporotic bone phenotype [88] JAK1/JAK3 inhibition $\rightarrow \downarrow$ STAT3 \rightarrow osteoclastogenesis [90]				

c-Mpl, myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene; COMT, catechol-O-methyltransferase; CRBN, cereblon; ET-1, endothelin-1; Hcy, homocystein; ITP, immune thrombocytopenic purpura; JAK, Janus kinases; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinases; NFĸB, nuclear factor-kappa B; NMDA, N-methyl-D-aspartate; OB, osteoblast; OC, osteoclast; sGC, soluble guanylate cyclase; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor;