

Prevalence of Post-Acute COVID-19 Symptoms Twelve Months after Hospitalisation in Participants Retained in Follow-up: Analyses Stratified by Gender from a Large Prospective Cohort

Jade Ghosn, Delphine Bachelet, Marine Livrozet, Minerva Cervantes-Gonzalez, Julien Poissy, François Goehringer, Charlotte Salmon Gandonniere, Mylène Maillet, Firouzé Bani-Sadr, Guillaume Martin-Blondel,

et al.

► To cite this version:

Jade Ghosn, Delphine Bachelet, Marine Livrozet, Minerva Cervantes-Gonzalez, Julien Poissy, et al.. Prevalence of Post-Acute COVID-19 Symptoms Twelve Months after Hospitalisation in Participants Retained in Follow-up: Analyses Stratified by Gender from a Large Prospective Cohort. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2023, 29 (2), pp.254.e7-254.e13. 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.08.028 . hal-03801797

HAL Id: hal-03801797 https://u-picardie.hal.science/hal-03801797

Submitted on 21 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Prevalence of post-acute COVID-19 symptoms twelve months after hospitalisation in
 participants retained in follow-up: analyses stratified by gender from a large prospective
 cohort

4

5 Jade GHOSN, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Delphine BACHELET, PhD^{3,4}, Marine LIVROZET, MD⁵, Minerva 6 CERVANTES-GONZALEZ, MD, PhD^{1,2,4}, POISSY Julien, MD, PhD⁶, François 7 GOEHRINGER⁷, Charlotte SALMON GANDONNIERE, MD, PhD⁸, Mylène MAILLET⁹, 8 Firouzé BANI-SADR, MD, PhD¹⁰, Guillaume MARTIN-BLONDEL, MD, PhD¹¹, Pierre 9 TATTEVIN, MD, PhD¹², LAUNAY Odile, MD, PhD¹³, Laure SURGERS, MD, PhD¹⁴, 10 Emmanuel DUDOIGNON, MD¹⁵, Geoffroy LIEGEON, MD¹⁶, David ZUCMAN MD, PhD¹⁷, 11 12 Cédric JOSEPH, MD¹⁸, Eric SENNEVILLE, MD, PhD¹⁹, Cécile YELNIK, MD,²⁰, Pierre-Marie ROGER, MD, PhD²¹, Karine FAURE, MD, PhD²², Marie GOUSSEFF, MD²³, André 13 CABIE²⁴, Xavier DUVAL, MD, PhD^{1,3}, Catherine CHIROUZE, MD, PhD²⁵, Cédric 14 LAOUENAN, MD, PhD^{1,3,4}, for the French COVID cohort study group and the French COVID 15

16 17

¹ Université Paris Cité, INSERM, IAME UMR 1137, Paris, France.

² AP-HP.Nord, Hôpital Bichat, Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Paris, France.

- ³ INSERM, Centre d'Investigation clinique 1425, Hôpital Bichat, Paris, France.
- ⁴ AP-HP.Nord, Hôpital Bichat, Department of Epidemiology Biostatistics and Clinical
 Research, Paris, France.
- ⁵ Université Paris Cité, INSERM, PARCC, Paris, France and CIC1418 and DMU CARTE, AP-
- 24 HP, Hôpital Européen Georges-Pompidou, Paris, France.

⁶ Université de Lille, Inserm U1285, CHU Lille, Pôle de réanimation, CNRS, UMR 8576 -

- 26 UGSF Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle, F-59000, Lille, France.
- ⁷ Department of Infectious Diseases, CHRU-Nancy, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France.
- ⁸ Médecine Intensive Réanimation, INSERM CIC 1415, CRICS-TriGGERSep network, CHRU
- de Tours, Tours, France.

cohort investigators group*

- ⁹ Infectious Diseases, Annecy Genevois Hospital Centre, Epagny Metz-Tessy, Rhône-Alpes,
- 31 France.
- 32 ¹⁰ CHU Reims, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et TFranceles, Reims, France

- ¹¹CHU de Toulouse, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales & Institut Toulousain des
- 34 Maladies Infectieuses et Inflammatoires (Infinit) INSERM UMR1291 CNRS UFrance,
- 35 Toulouse, France.
- ¹² Hôpital Pontchaillou, Maladies Infectieuses et Réanimation, CHU Rennes, France.
- 37 ¹³ Université Paris Cité, CIC Cochin-Pasteur; AP-HP, Hôpital Cochin; INSERM CIC1417,
- 38 Paris, France.
- ¹⁴ AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Infectious and Tropical Diseases Department, Paris, France
- 40 ¹⁵ AP-HP.Nord, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Service d'anesthésie-réanimation-CTB, DMU
- 41 PARABOL, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France
- 42 ¹⁶ AP-HP.Nord, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales,
- 43 Université de Paris, Paris, France
- 44 ¹⁷ Service de Médecine Interne, Foch Hospital, Suresnes, France.
- 45 ¹⁸ CHU Amiens-Picardie, Service des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales, and EA 4294, AGIR,
- 46 Jules Verne Picardy University, Amiens, France.
- 47 ¹⁹ Department of Infectious Diseases, Tourcoing Hospital, France.
- 48 ²⁰ CHU Lille, Département de Médecine Interne et Immunologie Clinique, France.
- 49 ²¹ Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Guadeloupe, UMR 1058 Pathogenesis and Control of
- 50 Chronic and Emerging Infections, Guadeloupe, France.
- 51 ²² Service de Maladies Infectieuses, CHU, 59045 Lille, France.
- 52 ²³ Service de Médecine Interne, Maladies Infectieuses et Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier
- 53 Bretagne Atlantique, Vannes, France.
- ²⁴ Université des Antilles, CHU de Martinique, Fort-de-France, Martinique, France.
- ²⁵ CHU Besançon, Service de Maladie Infectieuses et Tropicales, Besançon, France.
- 56
- 57 Corresponding authors: Cédric LAOUENAN
- 58 e-mail: cedric.laouenan@inserm.fr
- 59
- 60 *Membership of the French COVID cohort study and the French COVID cohort investigators
- 61 groups is provided in the Supplementary Material.
- 62
- 63 Word count: abstract 272 words ; text 2597 words.

64 Abstract

65

66 **Objectives**

Persistent post-acute COVID-19 symptom (PACS) have been reported up to 6-months (M6)
after hospital discharge. Here we assessed, in the longitudinal prospective national French
COVID cohort, symptoms that persisted 12-months (M12) after admission for COVID-19.

70

71 Methods

Hospitalized patients with a virologically-confirmed COVID-19 were enrolled. Follow-up was planned until M12 post-admission. Associations between persistence of \geq 3 PACS at M12 and

74 clinical characteristics at admission were assessed through logistic regression according to

75 gender.

76

77 Results

We focused on participants enrolled between January 24th and July 15th 2020, in order to 78 79 allow M12 follow-up. M12 data were available for 737 participants. Median age was 61 80 years, 475 (64%) were men and 242/647 (37%) were admitted to ICU during the acute phase. 81 At M12, 194/710 (27%) of participants had \geq 3 persistent PACS, mostly fatigue, dyspnea and 82 joint pain. Among those who had a professional occupation before the acute phase 91/339 (27%) were still on sick leave at M12. Presence of \geq 3 persistent PACS was associated with 83 84 female gender, both anxiety and depression, impaired health-related quality of life (HRQL) and mMRC scale <57. Compared to men, women more often reported presence of >3 persistent 85 86 PACS (98/253, 39% vs 96/457, 21%), depression and anxiety (18/152, 12% vs 17/268, 6% and 87 33/156, 21% vs 26/264, 10%, respectively), impaired physical HRQL (76/141, 54% vs 88 120/261, 46%). Women had less often returned to work than men (77/116, 66% vs 171/223, 89 77%).

90

91 Conclusions

92 A fourth of individuals admitted to hospital for COVID-19 still had \geq 3 persistent PACS at M12

93 post-discharge. Women reported more often \geq 3 persistent PACS, suffered more from anxiety

94 and depression, and had less often returned to work than men.

95 Introduction

96 Clinical presentation of SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from asymptomatic cases to severe 97 distress respiratory syndrome. When symptomatic, the acute phase commonly features cough, 98 dyspnea, flu-like symptoms, myalgia, joint pain, gastro-intestinal symptoms and 99 anosmia/ageusia (1). Several studies have reported the persistence of COVID-related symptoms 100 following acute phase. In 2021, WHO has developed a clinical definition of post-COVID 101 condition(2). According this definition, the proportion of patients experiencing at least one 102 persistent post-acute COVID-19 symptom (PACS) reaches 66% at two months, 53% at 103 four months and 32% at seven months post-infection in outpatients (3–5), and rises up to 62 to 68% at six months post-infection in patients hospitalized during the acute phase (6,7). 104 105 It was shown that ICU stay (with or without COVID-19) was associated with worse long-106 term outcome (8).

107 Few data are available after 12 months post-infection with design heterogeneity (7,9–11). 108 In the Chinese cohort with a 12-month follow-up as well as in the study performed in 109 France with a six-month follow-up (6,7), female gender was associated with the persistence 110 of PACS. Furthermore, it is known that, at the same age, women report poorer health than 111 men in subjective health assessments, generally and in the COVID-19 specific setting (12– 15). Therefore, to add relevant evidence to the current literature we report results stratified 112 113 by gender from a large national multicentre cohort where COVID-19 patients were 114 followed prospectively from hospital admission up to 12 months regardless development 115 of PACS or not.

116

117 **Patients and methods**

118 Study oversight and data collection

The design of this national multicentre prospective cohort (French COVID Cohort) has
been described elsewhere (16). Briefly, hospitalized patients with a virologically confirmed
COVID-19 were enrolled in the cohort (registered in clinicaltrials.gov NCT04262921); ethics
approval was obtained from the French Ethic Committee CPP-Ile-de-France-VI (ID-RCB:
2020-A00256-33). Patients were co-included in the European H2020 ORCHESTRA
project.

125 Follow-up was planned with a physician's visit at month (M)3, M6 and M12 after hospital

admission. Comorbidities were assessed according to the 4C Mortality Score (17).

We asked every center to check the French register of deceased persons
(<u>https://arbre.app/en/insee</u>) in order to have the vital status (causes of death was not available)
of those who did not attend follow-up visits.

130

131 Study definitions and outcomes

At each visit, the following ten COVID-19 symptoms were collected (fatigue, dyspnea at
rest, joint pain, myalgia, headache, rhinorrhoea, cough, sore throat, ageusia and anosmia).
In addition, a physical exam and a 6-minute walking test (6MWT) were performed.

135 At M12 visit, a measure of the functional independence using the modified Rankin scale 136 (mRS) (0 indicates no symptoms, 5 severe disability) and an assessment of muscle strength 137 of each limb using the modified Medical Muscle Research council Scale (mMRC) (score 138 from 0 to 60) were also performed (18). Patients were also interviewed on health-related 139 quality of life (HRQL) with the 12-items Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) and on their 140 psychological distress (Health Anxiety Depression Scale, HADS). For SF-12, an individual 141 was defined as having an impaired physical (or mental) HRQL if his Physical (or Mental) 142 Component Summary (was lower than the 25th percentile of the distribution in the general 143 French population of the same age and gender. HADS is divided into anxiety (HADS-A) 144 and depression subscale (HADS-D). Each HADS item was scored on a 4-point Likert scale 145 with higher scores indicating more severe anxiety/depression. Scores ≥ 11 indicated 146 abnormal levels.

147

148 **Statistical analysis**

All analyses were stratified by gender. Associations between presence of PACS at M12 (defined by the presence of \geq 3 of the ten COVID-19 symptoms) and baseline characteristics were assessed through bivariate logistic regressions. The final multivariate models were developed by starting with a model that included all covariates with <10% of missing values and p<0.20 and then excluding variables that did not improve the overall fit as measured by the -2log likelihood ratio test.

Prevalence of symptoms are given with their 95% CI (exact Clopper-Pearson method). For patients who have both evaluation at M6 and M12, we compared the proportion of each symptom through McNemar paired tests. We compared the baseline characteristics between alive patients who attended the M12 visit to the eligible patients who did not (excluding deceased patients) using a chi-square test. We computed the observed proportion of \geq 3 PACS and its 95% CI according to each combination of the risk factors found in the multivariate model 161 to impute patients without M12 visit. Finally, separately in women and men, as a sensitivity

- analysis, we obtained three estimations of the proportion of patients with \geq 3 persistent PACS
- 163 on the overall population of eligible patients for the M12 visit using three imputations: the mean
- 164 proportion and proportions from the lower and the upper bound of the 95%CI. All tests were
- 165 2-sided and analyses were performed with R software.
- 166

167 **Results**

We focused on participants enrolled between January 24th and July 15th 2020, in order to allow for a 12-month follow-up. Out of the 3426 participants enrolled during this period, 391 died (11%) during initial hospitalization, 67 died (2%) between hospital discharge and M12. By September 2021, M12 data were available for 737 patients. The baseline and M12 characteristics for the 737 patients (262 women and 475 men), are summarized in **Table 1**.

173

174 Global population

175 At M12 visit, 194/710 (27%, 95%CI: 24-31%) participants had ≥3 persistent PACS. Fatigue 176 (327/705, 46%, 95%CI: 43-50%), dyspnea (235/704, 33%, 95%CI: 30-37%) and joint pain (146/703, 21%, 95%CI: 17-24%) were the 3 most frequently reported symptoms 177 178 individually or in combination. Women reported myalgia frequently in addition to latter 3 179 symptoms (eFigure 1). Pulmonary auscultation was reported as "normal" in 507/634 180 patients (87%, 95% CI: 83-89%). In those with abnormal pulmonary auscultation, persistent 181 crackles were reported in 19/74 (26%) and wheezing in 10/74 (14%) cases, respectively. 182 The median percentage of predicted value of the 6MWT was 88% (IQR: 74;100) for the 183 163 patients who did this test. Of note, this was lower in the 61 patients who reported 184 dyspnea compared to those who did not (85% [IQR: 71;99] vs. 95% [IQR: 76;101], 185 p=0.04). When focusing on dyspnea at rest, persistent dyspnea at M12 was reported in 187/578 186 (32%) of the subset of individuals with no pulmonary chronic condition. Globally, the 187 presence of \geq 3 persistent PACS was associated with female gender (data not shown because 188 all analysis were presented by gender), both anxiety and depression, impaired HROL 189 (physical and mental), mRS ≥ 2 (Supplementary Table 1). Anxiety at M12 was associated 190 with female gender (OR=2.46, 95%CI: 1.41-4.32), not getting back to work (OR=2.72, 191 95%CI: 1.17-6.27) and dyspnea (OR=3.49, 95%CI: 1.98-6.27) (Supplementary Table 2). 192 Six hundred and sixty-three patients attended both M6 and M12 visits. Between the two 193 visits, there was no global evolution of the frequency of the ten PACS except for

- rhinorrhoea and cough that were more often reported at M12 in women only (Figure 1).
 Some patients reported an onset of symptoms at M12 compared to M6: 95/339 (28%,
 95%CI: 33-46%) patients who did not have fatigue at M6 reported fatigue at M12, 101/425
 (24%, 95%CI: 20-28%) for dyspnea and 81/490 (17%, 95%CI: 13-20%) for join pain.
- 198

199 *Results according to gender*

- 200 Compared to men, women more often reported the presence of \geq 3 persistent PACS (98/253, 201 39%, 95%CI: 33-45% vs. 96/455, 21%, 95%CI: 17-25%), depression and anxiety 202 (respectively, 18/152, 12%, 95% CI: 7-18% vs. 17/268, 6%, 95% CI: 4-10% and 33/156, 203 21%, 95%CI: 15-28% vs. 26/264, 10%, 95%CI: 7-14%), an altered physical HRQL 204 (76/141, 54% vs. 120/261, 46%, 95% CI: 40-52%), and a mRS ≥ 2 (respectively, 45/170, 205 26%, 95%CI: 20-34% vs. 59/310, 19%, 95%CI: 15-24%). For those who previously had 206 an occupation, women were more often on sick leave than men (39/116, 34%, 95% CI: 25-207 43% vs. 52/223, 23%, 95%CI: 18-29%).
- 208 In women, factors associated with the presence of \geq 3 persistent PACS at M12 were age <65
- 209 years (aOR=1.8, 95%CI: 1.0-3.2) and having \geq 3 symptoms at admission during the acute
- 210 phase (aOR=2.2, 95%CI: 1.3-3.9). For men, only hospitalization in ICU and use of oxygen
- during the acute phase were significant factors (respectively OR=3.1, 95%CI: 1.4-7.9 and
- 212 OR=2.7, 95%CI: 1.2-7.0) (**Table 2**).
- 213 The observed proportions of \geq 3 persistent PACS at M12 for each of the combinations of
- risk factors are reported in **efigure 2**. In women, these proportions ranged between 22%
- 215 with no risk factor (age ≥ 65 years, <3 symptoms at admission) to 53% in those with both
- risk factors. In men, these proportions ranged between 10% with no risk factor (no oxygen,
- no invasive ventilation, no ICU stay) to 23% in those with both risk factors.
- 218

Comparison between eligible participants who attended M12 visit and those who did not, and sensitivity analysis on all eligible participants

- Comparing the 737 patients who attended the M12 visit to the 2231 eligible patients who did
 not, significant differences were found for admitted/transferred to ICU. Patients who attended
 the M12 visit had been more often admitted/transferred to ICU (242/654, 37% versus 581/1937,
- 224 30%; p<0.001) (**Table 3**).
- In the sensitivity analysis, we obtained three estimations of the proportion of \geq 3 persistent
- 226 PACS among all eligible patients for the M12 visit. In women, the mean proportion was
- 227 39% (95%CI: 36-41), the imputed proportion from the lower bound of the 95%CI was

33%, and the imputed proportion from the upper bound of the 95%CI was 46%. In men,
these proportions were 21% (95%CI: 19-23), 17% and 25%, respectively.

230

231 **Discussion**

232 Epidemiology and natural history of PACS are poorly understood. PACS subtypes are 233 widely distributed and cover exercise intolerance, pain syndromes, cognition, mood and 234 sleep disorders, and dysautonomia (19). In this large national prospective cohort of patients 235 hospitalized for confirmed COVID-19 during the acute phase, with 12-month follow-up 236 after hospital discharge, a fourth of the participants reported the presence of ≥ 3 persistent 237 PACS. The prevalence of PACS in our cohort is probably overestimated given the high 238 proportion of participants not retained in follow-up, and given the fact that those still 239 attending follow-up visits might be more prone to complain from PACS than those who 240 did not attend. In addition, there was no change between M6 and M12 globally but in a 241 same individual, some symptoms that were not reported at M6 could arise at M12. As these 242 signs are very unspecific, it is disputable whether they are linked with COVID-19. For 243 example, the 28% of people with fatigue at M12 among those who did not at M6 may not be 244 related to acute infection one-year-ago. Furthermore, 20% of participants stated that they had 245 not regained full independence at M12. These symptoms had disabling consequences since 246 a fourth of those who had a professional occupation before COVID-19 was still on sick 247 leave at M12.

248 It has been previously shown that women reported symptoms more frequently than men, 249 generally and in the COVID-19 setting (12–15), therefore, we chose to stratify our analyses 250 according to gender. Indeed, factors associated with the presence of PACS at M12 were 251 different according to gender. In men, admission/transfer to ICU and oxygen therapy were 252 associated with the presence of \geq 3PACS at M12, suggesting a potential role of the initial 253 severity of the disease in the persistence of symptoms. This could also suggest a role of the 254 antiviral adaptive response, or of the innate immune response. However, in women, the 255 persistence of \geq 3 PACS at M12 was associated with having \geq 3 symptoms at admission and 256 with younger age. Also, women reported more often anxiety and depression than men. 257 Recently, it has been shown that cognitive complaints at one month after a hospitalization for 258 COVID-19 were associated with psychological distress, independently of objective 259 neuropsychological status (20). We show that women are more likely to present to health care 260 clinics with symptoms post discharge. Increase presentation is associated with severity of initial

presentation and the presence of anxiety which may be associated with increased health seeking behavior at M12 in this population. Our results at M6 were in keeping with those reported in a Chinese cohort of hospitalized COVID-19 patients; however, the proportion of individuals with ≥ 1 symptom and the proportion of those still on sick leave at M12 were lower in the Chinese cohort than in ours (7). Of note, median age in the Chinese cohort was 59 years versus 61 in ours, and the proportion of women was higher in the Chinese cohort (47%) than in ours (34%).

267 In addition, if 88% of participants were indeed back to work at M12 visit in the Chinese cohort,

it is important to emphasize that 24% did not return to pre-COVID-19 level of work (7).

Interestingly, our results favorably compared with those reported in Dutch ICU patients at M12
post admission (8).

271 The proportion of patients still complaining from PACS at M6 post COVID-19 (6) was 272 higher than that reported in matched patients who had influenza (21). The pathophysiology underlying these persistent or fluctuant PACS long after the acute phase is still unknown. 273 274 Chronic inflammation, initial cytokine storm, residual virus in lungs post recovery, 275 activation of the complement system, microthrombi and macrothrombi formation have 276 been suggested as potential causes for these persistent symptoms (22,23). In our series, 277 21% of participants had a mRS >2, and the percentage of predicted value of the 6MWT 278 was lower in the 61 patients who reported dyspnea compared to those who did not. CRP, 279 however, was low in all participants, but this marker might not be a good marker of 280 prolonged/chronic inflammation. Also, no samples for identification of residual viral 281 persistence were obtained. Indeed, a few studies reported detection of viral proteins and 282 RNA in various tissues, by *in situ* methods, months after infection (24,25). Chronic distress 283 can also be associated with chronic inflammation (26).

284 Our study had several limitations. First, the severity of PACS was not assessed. Indeed, in 285 our cohort at M6, when focusing on self-reported symptoms (and not symptoms reported 286 by the physician), the proportion of reported symptoms was roughly the same but most 287 symptoms were grade 1 (27). Second, is the potential bias in patients who attended M12 288 follow-up, such patients being more prone to be more symptomatic and thus, continue to 289 seek medical care, than those who have completely recovered. Indeed, patients who did not 290 attend the M12 visit had been less admitted/transferred to ICU than those who did attend, 291 these characteristics being less frequently associated with persistent PACS far from the 292 acute episode. This limitation might explain in part the differences between our results and 293 those of the Chinese cohort in which the number of participants attending M6 and M12 294 visits was similar, whereas the number of those attending M12 visit in our cohort was not

295 only lower than expected regarding the total number of eligible patients, but also lower 296 than those who attended M6 visit. We performed a sensitivity analysis by computing the 297 observed proportion of \geq 3 PACSat M12 according to each combination of the risk factors found 298 in the multivariate model to impute patients without M12 visit. However, this approach, which 299 takes into account the differences on the distribution of risk factors, assumes that there is 300 no specific selection bias, i.e., it assumes that patients without visit behave as those with a 301 visit according to the combination of risk factors. Of note, scheduling follow-up hospital 302 visits in this time of saturation of the healthcare system was challenging. Third, we did not 303 have the health status (HRQL, anxiety and depression) of patients before acute infection. 304 Finally, the impact of vaccines, treatment and less virulent strains (such as Omicron 305 variant) is unknown.

306 In conclusion, longitudinal follow-up of individuals with severe COVID-19 is warranted 307 to precisely determine the nature and frequency of persistent PACS, with self-reported 308 online or telephone assessments to reduce the number of patients lost to follow-up, with

309 additional questionnaires to address somatic symptom disorders, and to better understand

310 the pathophysiology underlying this long-term persistence.

311 **Conflict of Interest**

Authors report no conflict of interest except JG who reports personal fees from Merck, grants and personal fees from ViiV healthcare, grants and personal fees from Gilead Sciences, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Janssen, outside the submitted work.

316 Funding

The French COVID cohort is funded by the REACTing (REsearch & ACtion emergING infectious diseases) consortium, by a grant of the French Ministry of Health (PHRC n°20-0424), and by the ORCHESTRA project which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement N°101016167. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

324

325 Acknowledgment

Author Contributions: Dr Laouénan had full access to all of the data in the study and take
 responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

328 Group Information: The members of the French COVID cohort study and investigators329 groups are provided in Supplementary Material.

Additional Information: The study included a scientific advisory board composed of
 Dominique COSTAGLIOLA, Astrid VABRET, Hervé RAOUL and Laurence WEISS.

332 **References**

- Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, et al. Features of 20133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: prospective observational cohort study. BMJ. 22 mai 2020;369:m1985.
- A clinical case definition of post COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus, 6 October
 2021 [Internet]. [cité 8 févr 2022]. Disponible sur: https://www.who.int/publications-detail redirect/WHO-2019-nCoV-Post_COVID-19_condition-Clinical_case_definition-2021.1
- Petersen MS, Kristiansen MF, Hanusson KD, Danielsen ME, Á Steig B, Gaini S, et al. Long
 COVID in the Faroe Islands: A Longitudinal Study Among Nonhospitalized Patients. Clin
 Infect Dis. 6 déc 2021;73(11):e4058-63.
- Nehme M, Braillard O, Chappuis F, Courvoisier DS, Guessous I, CoviCare Study Team.
 Prevalence of Symptoms More Than Seven Months After Diagnosis of Symptomatic
 COVID-19 in an Outpatient Setting. Ann Intern Med. sept 2021;174(9):1252-60.
- Carvalho-Schneider C, Laurent E, Lemaignen A, Beaufils E, Bourbao-Tournois C, Laribi
 S, et al. Follow-up of adults with noncritical COVID-19 two months after symptom onset.
 Clin Microbiol Infect. févr 2021;27(2):258-63.
- 6. Ghosn J, Piroth L, Epaulard O, Le Turnier P, Mentré F, Bachelet D, et al. Persistent
 COVID-19 symptoms are highly prevalent 6 months after hospitalization: results from a
 large prospective cohort. Clin Microbiol Infect. juill 2021;27(7):1041.e1-1041.e4.
- 352 7. Huang L, Yao Q, Gu X, Wang Q, Ren L, Wang Y, et al. 1-year outcomes in hospital
 353 survivors with COVID-19: a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet. 28 août
 354 2021;398(10302):747-58.
- Heesakkers H, van der Hoeven JG, Corsten S, Janssen I, Ewalds E, Simons KS, et al.
 Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With 1-Year Survival Following Intensive Care Unit Treatment for COVID-19. JAMA. 8 févr 2022;327(6):559-65.
- 358
 9. Kim Y, Bitna-Ha, Kim SW, Chang HH, Kwon KT, Bae S, et al. Post-acute COVID-19
 359 syndrome in patients after 12 months from COVID-19 infection in Korea. BMC Infectious
 360 Diseases. 27 janv 2022;22(1):93.
- 10. Rivera-Izquierdo M, Láinez-Ramos-Bossini AJ, de Alba IGF, Ortiz-González-Serna R,
 Serrano-Ortiz Á, Fernández-Martínez NF, et al. Long COVID 12 months after discharge:
 persistent symptoms in patients hospitalised due to COVID-19 and patients hospitalised
 due to other causes-a multicentre cohort study. BMC Med. 23 févr 2022;20(1):92.
- 365 11. Seeßle J, Waterboer T, Hippchen T, Simon J, Kirchner M, Lim A, et al. Persistent
 366 Symptoms in Adult Patients 1 Year After Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A
 367 Prospective Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 9 avr 2022;74(7):1191-8.
- 368 12. Otten D, Tibubos AN, Schomerus G, Brähler E, Binder H, Kruse J, et al. Similarities and
 369 Differences of Mental Health in Women and Men: A Systematic Review of Findings in
 370 Three Large German Cohorts. Front Public Health. 2021;9:553071.

- 13. Tibubos AN, Otten D, Zöller D, Binder H, Wild PS, Fleischer T, et al. Bidimensional
 structure and measurement equivalence of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9: sex-sensitive
 assessment of depressive symptoms in three representative German cohort studies. BMC
 Psychiatry. 5 mai 2021;21(1):238.
- 375 14. Schou TM, Joca S, Wegener G, Bay-Richter C. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric sequelae
 376 of COVID-19 A systematic review. Brain Behav Immun. oct 2021;97:328-48.
- 377 15. Wang Y, Kala MP, Jafar TH. Factors associated with psychological distress during the
 378 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on the predominantly general population:
 379 A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2020;15(12):e0244630.
- 16. Yazdanpanah Y, French COVID cohort investigators and study group. Impact on disease
 mortality of clinical, biological, and virological characteristics at hospital admission and
 overtime in COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol. avr 2021;93(4):2149-59.
- 17. Knight SR, Ho A, Pius R, Buchan I, Carson G, Drake TM, et al. Risk stratification of
 patients admitted to hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical
 Characterisation Protocol: development and validation of the 4C Mortality Score. BMJ. 9
 sept 2020;370:m3339.
- 18. Turan Z, Topaloglu M, Ozyemisci Taskiran O. Medical Research Council-sumscore: a tool
 for evaluating muscle weakness in patients with post-intensive care syndrome. Crit Care.
 18 sept 2020;24(1):562.
- 390 19. Balcom EF, Nath A, Power C. Acute and chronic neurological disorders in COVID-19:
 391 potential mechanisms of disease. Brain. 31 déc 2021;144(12):3576-88.
- 392 20. Gouraud C, Bottemanne H, Lahlou-Laforêt K, Blanchard A, Günther S, Batti SE, et al.
 393 Association Between Psychological Distress, Cognitive Complaints, and
 394 Neuropsychological Status After a Severe COVID-19 Episode: A Cross-Sectional Study.
 395 Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:725861.
- Taquet M, Dercon Q, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Husain M, Harrison PJ. Incidence, co occurrence, and evolution of long-COVID features: A 6-month retrospective cohort study
 of 273,618 survivors of COVID-19. PLoS Med. sept 2021;18(9):e1003773.
- 399 22. Hirschenberger M, Hunszinger V, Sparrer KMJ. Implications of Innate Immunity in Post 400 Acute Sequelae of Non-Persistent Viral Infections. Cells. 19 août 2021;10(8):2134.
- 401 23. Ramakrishnan RK, Kashour T, Hamid Q, Halwani R, Tleyjeh IM. Unraveling the Mystery
 402 Surrounding Post-Acute Sequelae of COVID-19. Front Immunol. 2021;12:686029.
- 403 24. Gaebler C, Wang Z, Lorenzi JCC, Muecksch F, Finkin S, Tokuyama M, et al. Evolution of
 404 antibody immunity to SARS-CoV-2. Nature. mars 2021;591(7851):639-44.
- 25. Cheung CCL, Goh D, Lim X, Tien TZ, Lim JCT, Lee JN, et al. Residual SARS-CoV-2
 viral antigens detected in GI and hepatic tissues from five recovered patients with COVID19. Gut. janv 2022;71(1):226-9.
- 408 26. Henningsen P, Zipfel S, Herzog W. Management of functional somatic syndromes. Lancet.
 409 17 mars 2007;369(9565):946-55.

- 410 27. Eloy P, Tardivon C, Martin-Blondel G, Isnard M, Turnier PL, Marechal ML, et al. Severity
- 411 of self-reported symptoms and psychological burden 6-months after hospital admission for
- 412 COVID-19: a prospective cohort study. Int J Infect Dis. 11 sept 2021;112:247-53.

413

Journal Proposi

Table 1. Characteristics at hospital admission and clinical symptoms at 12 months follow-up

415	of 737 patients enrolled in the French COVID cohort
-----	-----------------------------------------------------

Characteristics	Missing	All N=737	Women N=262	Men N=475
At hospital admission				
Age - Median [IQR] – years	0	61 [52; 70]	60 [51; 70]	61 [52; 70]
Age <65 years - no/total no (%)	0	437/737 (59)	155/262 (59)	282/475 (59)
Comorbidities - no/total no (%)				
Chronic cardiac disease (not hypertension)	58	108/679 (16)	31/248 (12)	77/431 (18)
Hypertension	72	258/665 (39)	86/243 (35)	172/422 (41)
Chronic kidney disease	55	55/682 (8)	11/248 (4)	44/434 (10)
Malignant neoplasm	57	46/680 (7)	15/248 (6)	31/432 (7)
Moderate or severe liver disease	70	7/667 (1)	1/244 (0)	6/423 (1)
Obesity (clinician definition)	71	139/666 (21)	63/240 (26)	76/426 (18)
Chronic pulmonary disease (not asthma)	55	78/682 (11)	22/248 (9)	56/434 (13)
Diabetes (type 1 and 2)	67	129/670 (19)	43/245 (18)	86/425 (20)
No of comorbidities - no/total no (%) ^a	54			
0		188/683 (28)	72/249 (29)	116/434 (27)
1		202/683 (30)	78/249 (31)	124/434 (29)
>2		293/683 (43)	99/249 (40)	194/434 (45)
Symptoms - no/total no (%) ^b	82			
None		39/655 (6)	19/241 (8)	20/414 (5)
1-2		250/655 (38)	86/241 (36)	164/414 (40)
≥3		366/655 (56)	136/241 (56)	230/414 (56)
Management during hospitalisation				
ICU during acute phase	90	242/647 (37)	63/234 (27)	179/412 (43)
Oxygen therapy - no/total no (%)	105	482/632 (76)	165/234 (71)	317/398 (80)
Non-invasive ventilation (e.g. BIPAP, CPAP) - no/total no (%)	115	126/622 (20)	43/233 (18)	83/389 (21)
Pharmacological treatment during acute COVID-19 - no/total no (%)				
Antiviral agent	104	178/633 (28)	56/234 (24)	122/399 (31)
Hydroxychloroquine	129	106/608 (17)	37/222 (17)	69/386 (18)
Immunomodulator (for example anti-IL6)	146	17/591 (3)	2/219 (1)	15/372 (4)
Corticosteroids	98	142/639 (22)	48/238 (20)	94/401 (23)
Length of hospital stay - Median [IQR] - d	77	9 [5; 17]	8 [5; 13]	11 [6; 19]
M12 follow-up after discharge				E / - J
Days from symptom onset to M12 visit - Median [IQR] – d	55	391 [374; 419]	391 [374; 415]	392 [373; 420]
Days from discharge to M12 visit - Median [IQR] – d	56	370 [352; 398]	371 [355; 395]	368 [350; 400]
Six-minute walk test (6MWT) done at M12 visit - no/total no (%)	195	264/542 (49)	75/189 (40)	187/351 (53)
Distance walked in % - Median [IQR]	570	88 [74; 100]	85 [75; 100]	94 [74; 100]

Medical Research Council Scale <48 at				
M12 visit - no/total no (%)	253	8/484 (2)	3/168 (2)	5/316 (2)
Simplified Modified Rankin Scale at M12				
visit - no/total no (%)	257			
0 - No symptoms		242/480 (50)	76/170 (45)	166/310 (54)
1 - No significant disability		134/480 (28)	49/170 (29)	85/310 (27)
2 - Slight disability		79/480 (16)	34/170 (20)	45/310 (15)
3 - Moderate disability		22/480 (5)	10/170 (6)	12/310 (4)
4 - Moderately severe disability		2/480 (0)	1/170 (1)	1/310 (0)
5 - Severe disability		1/480 (0)	0/170 (0)	1/310 (0)
HADS - no/total no (%)	317			
Anxiety score ≥11		59/420 (14)	33/156 (21)	26/264 (10)
Depression score ≥11		35/420 (8)	18/152 (12)	17/268 (6)
SF-12 - no/total no (%)	335			
Impaired physical HRQL		196/402 (49)	76/141 (54)	120/261 (46)
Impaired mental HRQL		126/402 (31)	45/141 (32)	81/261 (31)
If applicable, back to work at M12 -	200	248/220 (72)	77/116 (66)	171/002 (77)
no/total no (%)	398	248/339 (73)	77/116 (66)	171/223 (77)
CRP at M12 visit - Median [IQR] – mg/L	323	3 [1; 4]	3 [2; 7]	2 [1; 4]
Persistent PACS 12 months after hospital	27			
admission - no/total no (%) ^b	21			
None		236/710 (33)	62/253 (25)	174/457(38)
1-2		280/710 (39)	93/253 (37)	187/457 (41)
≥3		194/710 (27)	98/253 (39)	96/457 (21)

^a Comorbidities were defined using the Charlson comorbidity index, with the addition of clinician-

418

defined obesity. ^b Number of symptoms among: fatigue, dyspnea, joint pain, myalgia, headache, rhinorrhoea, cough, sore throat, ageusia and anosmia.

			<3 symptoms	\geq 3 symptoms	Bivariate analysis ^a		Multivariate analys	is ^b
		Missing	at M12	at M12	OR [95%CI]	p-value	aOR [95%CI]	p-value
Women	Age <65 years, n (%)	0	83 (54%)	67 (68%)	1.87 [1.11; 3.21]	0.020	1.79 [1.03; 3.15]	0.042
	\geq 3 symptoms at admission, n (%)	19	69 (49%)	64 (69%)	2.30 [1.34; 4.02]	0.003	2.21 [1.28; 3.89]	0.005
	≥2 comorbidities, n (%)	11	54 (37%)	41 (43%)	1.31 [0.77; 2.22]	0.32		
	Antiviral agent, n (%)	26	37 (27%)	17 (19%)	0.63 [0.32; 1.19]	0.16		
	Corticosteroids, n (%)	22	28 (20%)	18 (20%)	0.99 [0.50; 1.90]	0.97		
	ICU/non invasive ventilation/oxygen	31						
	No		34 (25%)	26 (30%)	1 reference			
	Oxygen only (no ICU, no ventilation)		58 (43%)	33 (38%)	0.74 [0.38; 1.45]	0.38		
	ICU or non invasive ventilation		42 (31%)	29 (33%)	0.90 [0.45; 1.81]	0.77		
Men	Age <65 years, n (%)	0	213 (59%)	58 (60%)	1.06 [0.67; 1.69]	0.80		
	\geq 3 symptoms at admission, n (%)	56	170 (54%)	51 (60%)	1.27 [0.78; 2.08]	0.34		
	≥2 comorbidities, n (%)	37	144 (44%)	40 (46%)	1.11 [0.69; 1.78]	0.68		
	Antiviral agent, n (%)	73	84 (28%)	31 (37%)	1.46 [0.87; 2.41]	0.15		
	Corticosteroids, n (%)	71	64 (21%)	23 (27%)	1.39 [0.79; 2.40]	0.24		
	ICU/non invasive ventilation/oxygen	70						
	No		63 (21%)	7 (9%)	1 reference		1 reference	
	Oxygen only (no ICU, no ventilation)		98 (32%)	30 (37%)	2.77 [1.25; 7.03]	0.019	2.70 [1.17; 7.02]	0.028
	ICU or non invasive ventilation		143 (47%)	44 (54%)	2.76 [1.20; 7.16]	0.024	3.08 [1.38; 7.85]	0.010

420	Table 2. Univariate and multivariate	association analyses with 3	or more symptoms at M12	2 visit separately in women and in men

422

OR: odds ratio; aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval ^a Women: n=253, 155 with <3 symptoms at M12 and 98 with ≥3 symptoms at M12. Men: n=457, 361 with <3 symptoms at M12 and 96 with ≥3 symptoms at M12 ^b Women: n=234, 141 with <3 symptoms at M12 and 93 with ≥3 symptoms at M12. Men: n=385, 304 with <3 symptoms at M12 and 81 with ≥3 symptoms at M12

Table 3. Comparison between patients included in the analyses and patients not deceased who

425 did not attend M12 visit

	Included in the analyses	Not included in the analyses	
	(N=737)	(N=2231)	p-value*
Age ≥ 65 years	300 (41%)	973 (44%)	0.12
Female gender	262 (36%)	852 (39%)	0.13
≥ 3 symptoms at admission	366 (56%)	1116 (57%)	0.65
Intensive care unit during acute phase	242 (37%)	581 (30%)	<0.001
≥ 2 comorbidities	293 (43%)	947 (45%)	0.24

* chi-square test

428 Figure legends

- 429 **Figure 1:** COVID-19 related symptoms during the acute phase and during follow-up visits of
- 430 patients with M6 and M12 visits for women (n=235) and for men (n=428) enrolled in the French
- 431 COVID cohort
- 432

433 Note: McNemar paired tests (M6 vs M12) for each symptom among women and men:

- 434 <u>Women</u>: fatigue (p=1, N=213), dyspnea (p=0.11, N=215), joint pain (p=0.11, N=215), myalgia (p=0.37,
- 435 N=209), cough (p=0.007, N=211), headache (p=1, N=206), rhinorrhoea (p=0.026, N=210), ageusia
- 436 (p=0.45, N=205), anosmia (p=0.40, N=205), sore throat (p=0.40, N=209).
- 437 <u>Men</u>: fatigue (p=0.31, N=385), dyspnea (p=0.29, N=385), joint pain (p=0.22, N=381), myalgia (p=1,
- 438 N=381), cough (p=0.55, N=384), headache (p=0.090, N=382), rhinorrhoea (p=0.093, N=379), ageusia
- 439 (p=0.82, N=383), anosmia (p=0.65, N=382), sore throat (p=0.45, N=384).

ournalpre

