

# Climate change increases global risk to urban forests

Manuel Esperon-Rodriguez, Mark Tjoelker, Jonathan Lenoir, John Baumgartner, Linda Beaumont, David Nipperess, Sally Power, Benoît Richard, Paul Rymer, Rachael Gallagher

# ▶ To cite this version:

Manuel Esperon-Rodriguez, Mark Tjoelker, Jonathan Lenoir, John Baumgartner, Linda Beaumont, et al.. Climate change increases global risk to urban forests. Nature Climate Change, 2022, 12 (10), pp.950-955. 10.1038/s41558-022-01465-8 . hal-04011032

# HAL Id: hal-04011032 https://u-picardie.hal.science/hal-04011032v1

Submitted on 26 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## A worldwide climate-change risk analysis for urban forests

Manuel Esperon-Rodriguez<sup>1\*</sup>, Mark G. Tjoelker<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Lenoir<sup>2</sup>, John B. Baumgartner<sup>3</sup>, Linda J. Beaumont<sup>4</sup>, David A. Nipperess<sup>4</sup>, Sally A. Power<sup>1</sup>, Benoît Richard<sup>5</sup>, Paul D. Rymer<sup>1</sup>, Rachael V. Gallagher<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2751 Australia.

<sup>2</sup> UMR CNRS 7058 'Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés' (EDYSAN), Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France.

<sup>3</sup> Centre of Excellence for Biosecurity Risk Analysis (CEBRA), School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University North Ryde, NSW 2109, Australia.

<sup>5</sup> Normandie University, UNIROUEN, INRAE, ECODIV, 76000 Rouen, France.

\*Corresponding author: Manuel Esperon-Rodriguez

Email: m.esperon-rodriguez@westernsydney.edu.au

#### 1 Abstract

2 Urban forests provide benefits to more than half of the global population, but climate change 3 threatens the delivery of these benefits. We assess climate-change risk of 3,129 tree and 4 shrub species found in 164 cities across 78 countries, using three metrics: exposure; safety 5 margin; and risk. Exposure expresses changes in climate in each city, safety margin 6 measures species' sensitivity to climate change, and risk is the difference between exposure 7 and safety margin. We found 1,759 (56%) and 2,045 (65%) species currently experiencing 8 climate conditions that exceed their safety margin for mean annual temperature (MAT) and 9 annual precipitation (AP), respectively. By 2050, 2,387 (76%) and 2,112 (68%) species will 10 be at high risk from projected changes in MAT and AP, respectively, with greater risk in 11 cities at low latitudes. Our results aid the evaluation of the impacts of climate change on 12 urban forests to secure long-term benefits provided by these forests.

13

### 14 Introduction

15

Urban areas span ~3% of the Earth's land surface area<sup>1</sup>, and accommodate more than 4.2 billion people (55% of the global population)<sup>2</sup>. Within cities, urban forests (i.e. all trees and shrubs in a city, present in streets, parks, woodlands, abandoned sites and residential areas<sup>3,4</sup>) provide environmental services and socio-economic benefits<sup>5</sup>. By 2050, cities are expected to expand in size around the globe, with predictions of 6.6 billion people living in cities by this time (~70% of the predicted global population)<sup>2</sup>. As the human population grows, so too will the societal demands on urban forests.

Planting and preserving climate-resilient urban forests can play an essential role in people's connection to nature<sup>5</sup> and have the potential to mitigate the adverse effects of global climate change by: (i) shading buildings and paved surfaces as well as reducing energy usage for cooling<sup>6</sup>; (ii) dissipating urban heat through evapotranspiration; and (iii) capturing greenhouse gases and storing carbon through photosynthesis<sup>7</sup>. However, the pace at which climate is changing<sup>8</sup> poses a serious threat to the continued persistence of urban forests globally.

Natural and urban ecosystems are already impacted by climate change resulting in sub-optimal tree growth and increased mortality<sup>9,10</sup>. Climate change is predicted to increase the frequency and severity of extreme events – such as heatwaves, fire and drought<sup>8,11,12</sup> – which contribute to extensive tree dieback and mortality globally<sup>9,13</sup>. Additionally, features of urban environments, including impervious surfaces and the urban heat island (UHI) effect, can locally exacerbate climatic extremes<sup>8</sup>. 36 Urban tree mortality has environmental and socio-economic consequences for governments and urban residents<sup>13,14</sup>. Therefore, urban greening policies target the strategic 37 delivery of ecosystem services and benefits<sup>15</sup>. However, for these services to be delivered 38 39 effectively over the coming decades, it is crucial to quantify exposure to climate change in 40 cities and identify the most vulnerable tree species by quantifying their risk of poor growth 41 and mortality under current and future climates<sup>16</sup>. Unfortunately, studies of tree mortality 42 driven by climate change in cities are rare and limited in scope and broad applicability<sup>16</sup>. This 43 limits the capacity to assess climate risk for species that are currently experiencing conditions that exceed their climatic tolerance<sup>17</sup>. Given the slow growth rates of trees and 44 45 the importance of promoting tree longevity, successful urban greening must be strategically 46 planned with future climatic conditions in mind to secure the persistence of urban forests into 47 the future.

48 Here, we present a worldwide climate-risk analysis for urban forests. We assessed 49 the potential impacts of future climate change on 3,129 tree and shrub species present in 50 164 cities across 78 countries. We calculated three climate-impact metrics: (1) exposure — 51 the extrinsic degree to which a city is exposed to changes in climate; (2) safety margin —the 52 intrinsic sensitivity of each species to climate change in each city according to its climatic 53 tolerance; and (3) risk, calculated as the difference between exposure and safety margin<sup>17,18</sup>. 54 We calculated species' realised climatic tolerances from their current geographical 55 distributions, while climate risk was determined by the projected future climate in cities 56 where the species are currently present. Because of the mismatch and asynchrony between 57 the speed of contemporary climate change and the time required for long-lived trees and 58 shrub species to respond to climate change<sup>19,20</sup>, aka the macroclimatic debt<sup>21</sup>, we expect 59 that significant proportions of species in cities are already at risk or partially decoupled from 60 macroclimatic conditions as a result of costly management practices (e.g. water supply). 61 Hence, contemporary urban planning and tree species selection are required to ensure a 62 successful climate mitigation strategy for the future.

63

#### 64 **Results**

65

#### 66 Exposure

Exposure (*E*) is the degree to which a city is exposed to projected climatic change<sup>22,23</sup> and

68 measures the magnitude of change in climate in a given city between baseline (average

during 1979-2013) and future (2050 or 2070) climatic conditions. Under the Representative

70 Concentration Pathway (RCP) 6.0 (for RCP 4.5 see **Table S1**) and according to an

ensemble of 10 Global Circulation Models (GCMs), all 164 studied cities are predicted to

- <sup>72</sup> undergo increases in all temperature variables (mean annual temperature, MAT; maximum
- temperature of the warmest month, MTWM; and minimum temperature of the coldest month,
- 74 MTCM) (Tables 1, S1). The increase in MAT and MTWM is predicted to exceed 2°C for 54
- cities in 2050 and increase to 119 cities in 2070. Regarding changes in precipitation, cities
- are predicted to become drier in 2050 in terms of annual precipitation (AP, *n* =138) and
- precipitation of the driest quarter (PDQ, n = 96). Cities towards the Equator will be exposed
- to larger decreases in AP, whereas cities at high latitudes will be subject to larger increases
- in MAT, MTWM and MTCM (Table S2; Figs. 1, S1-S2).

#### 80 Safety margin

81 The safety margin (S) describes intrinsic species sensitivity to climate change and indicates potential tolerance to changing climate conditions<sup>18,24</sup> within a given city. The safety margin 82 83 is calculated as the difference between baseline climate conditions (e.g. MAT or AP) for the 84 city and the species' tolerance limit in relation to the direction of change for the climate 85 variable being examined (e.g. the upper limit in case of warmer MAT or the lower limit in 86 case of drier AP) (Fig. S3). For each climate variable, we found species that are currently 87 exceeding their safety margins in all cities in which they are currently planted: (1) MAT, 532 88 species (17% of all study species); (2) MTWM, 465 (15%); (3) MTCM, 430 (14%); (4) AP, 89 789 (25%); and (5) PDQ, 665 (21%) (Appendix 1). We also identified cities that currently 90 have all their species exceeding their safety margins; across all 164 cities, the mean 91 proportion of species subject to unsafe current climate conditions was 53% (Table 1; Figs. 92 2, S4).

93 Notably, although we found high numbers of species exceeding their safety margins 94 in at least one city, for most of the species, safety margins were narrow. A narrow safety margin indicates that baseline climate conditions are close to the species' upper or lower 95 96 tolerance limit in relation to the direction of change (e.g. baseline MAT is too close to the 97 species' warm limit under the expectation of a warmer climate). Median values of safety 98 margins were 0.2°C for MAT, 0.32°C for MTWM, -6.1°C for MTCM, 56.5 mm for AP and 99 7.78 mm for PDQ. For MAT, 1,277 species (41%) are exceeding their S by <1°C, while 149 100 species (5%) exceed their S by >10°C. Similarly, for MTWM and MTCM, 1,189 (38%) and 101 153 (5%) species, respectively, are exceeding their S by <1°C. For AP and PDQ, 692 (22%) 102 and 838 (27%) species, respectively, are exceeding their S by <50 mm for AP and by <10 103 mm for PDQ (Fig. 2; Fig S4).

104 **Risk** 

Risk (*R*) refers to the potential for adverse consequences on biological systems<sup>25,26</sup>, and is defined here as the difference between cities' exposure and species' safety margins (R = E- *S*). By 2050, under RCP 6.0 (for RCP 4.5, see **Table S3**), projected changes in climate will result in an increase in numbers of species at risk (i.e. city's future climate will exceed the species' safety margin; R > 0) in at least one city where they are planted in terms of changes in MAT, MTWM and AP. However, warmer and wetter projections of MTCM and PDQ in some cities will benefit species by decreasing their future risk (**Table 1**; **Fig. 3**).

112 By 2050, several species are projected to be at risk in all cities where they are 113 currently planted and this is true for all climate variables we considered: (1) MAT, 1,200 114 (38%); (2) MTWM, 862 (29%); (3) MTCM, 688 (22%); (4) AP, 1,006 (32%); and (5) PDQ, 115 661 (21%). For all climate variables, the plant families with the largest number of species at 116 risk were Myrtaceae, Fabaceae and Rosaceae, while 62 families had 100% of their species 117 at risk (e.g. Dipterocarpaceae, Cunoniaceae and Taxaceae). Conversely, we found 742 (24%; MAT), 989 (32%; MTWM), 1,241 (40%, MTCM), 929 (30%; AP) and 1,280 (41%; 118 119 PDQ) species at no risk in all cities where they are currently planted (Appendix 1).

120 We found a tendency for the mean climate-change risk to increase towards the 121 Equator (Fig. 3, Table S4). For all climate variables, except MTCM, the proportion of 122 species at risk in each city is predicted to increase in 2050 and 2070 (Tables 1, S3), with 123 65% being the mean proportion of species at risk across all 164 cities in 2050 under RCP6.0 124 (Figs. 3, S5-S6). Comparing risk profiles across climate variables by 2050, 287 (9%) 125 species were identified to be at risk for all five climatic variables simultaneously and 1,832 126 (59%) species were predicted to be at risk because of changes in at least three climatic 127 variables. No risk was observed for 772 species (25%) in any city where they are currently 128 planted (Fig. S7).

By 2050 and RCP6.0, the magnitude of risk for most of the species reached median values of 1.8°C (MAT), 1.4°C (MTWM), 7.3°C (MTCM), 102.9 mm (AP) and -9 mm (PDQ). We found 1,006 (32%) and 1,060 (34%) species at risk by <1°C increase of MAT and MTWM, respectively. While 716 (23%) and 811 (26%) species are predicted to be at risk by <50 mm for AP and by <10 mm for PDQ (**Fig. S6**).

For each country, we obtained their 2019 Readiness score quantified by the Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative (ND-GAIN)<sup>27</sup>. ND-GAIN is an index of a country's vulnerability to climate change and its capacity for investment in adaptation actions<sup>27</sup>. We found climate risk for urban forests was higher in cities projected to undergo decreases in precipitation, increases in temperature and in countries with low ND-GAIN scores (**Table**  **S5**). This finding implies that these cities may have limited capacity to cope with the impactsof future climate change on their urban forests.

141

#### 142 Discussion

143 Climate-driven changes to urban forests will have adverse consequences for city dwellers 144 and governments globally, although the magnitude of these consequences will vary across 145 cities. The mitigation of climate change impacts ultimately will depend on the available 146 resources in each city and its capacity to respond and cope with climatic changes as they 147 occur.

148 Urbanization affects the UHI effect and water cycling inputs via alteration in 149 interception, infiltration and runoff, which are likely to shape species' growth and survival, in 150 and around the city<sup>34,35</sup>. Depending on the location of trees within cities, such changes in 151 UHI and water cycling may affect species safety margins and increase their future risk. 152 However, projections of UHI and urban precipitation changes are still lacking at a global 153 extent, limiting the incorporation of these urban effects. Nevertheless, urban forests that 154 experience declines in precipitation will be more vulnerable than those facing higher rainfall, 155 although significant increases in precipitation might also represent a risk factor, i.e. 156 flooding<sup>28</sup>. Human management, such as irrigation or stormwater capture, can aid in 157 mitigating the effects of low precipitation by providing supplemental water during periods of 158 severe climate stress<sup>29</sup> and promoting evapotranspiration (local cooling effect), which will be 159 crucial to mitigate heatwaves in cities<sup>30</sup>. However, it may become increasingly difficult to 160 mitigate the adverse effects of climate change through management actions to offset soil 161 water deficits, particularly under limited urban water supply and in places where water is 162 increasingly scarce<sup>31,32</sup>. These types of costly management actions may explain why so 163 many tree species are currently present in cities with climates that already exceed their 164 current safety margins at the dry margin for precipitation.

165 Urban forests are often water stressed or closely coupled to regional precipitation 166 and water balance; hence, species growing under hydrologically stressful conditions are 167 more vulnerable to extreme climate events<sup>33</sup>, resulting in dieback and higher mortality 168 rates<sup>34</sup>. However, our results show that cities currently harbour many species living beyond 169 their safety margins suggesting there are additional management actions (e.g. irrigation) and 170 biological factors (e.g. trait plasticity) facilitating species' presence in cities and decoupling 171 them from macroclimatic fluctuations. Being planted in an area, however, does not 172 necessarily mean that a species is performing well in that location. There is also a difference

between being able to tolerate and persist in certain conditions and being able to grow well
and maintain ecological functions. That is, species whose safety margins are exceeded may
be able to locally survive through local compensation effects, but would not have the
capacity to function and remain healthy under those conditions<sup>35,36</sup>. The long-term stability of
urban forests depends on the identification of species that are resilient to long-term climate
change and are able to thrive and survive<sup>37</sup>.

179 Global warming impacts urban vegetation by increasing dieback and mortality and altering species phenology<sup>13,38,39</sup>. Future risk is higher in cities located closer to the equator 180 181 where economic resources to mitigate climate change are generally more limited<sup>40</sup>. 182 Management options for altering or mitigating rising temperatures, particularly the extremes 183 (maxima and minima), are limited<sup>41</sup>. As such, vulnerable species will require more intensive 184 and costly management actions and replacement if they cannot cope with climate change. 185 We found cities with high proportions of species at risk located in countries identified as 186 vulnerable by the ND-GAIN index (e.g. India, Niger, Nigeria and Togo). Noteworthy, for 187 those low-latitude cities at high risk, climate warming (MAT exposure) is lower at low 188 latitudes compared to cities at higher latitudes, particularly in the Northern Hemisphere; 189 therefore, species' comparatively narrow safety margins at low latitudes might be driving the 190 more elevated risk there. This highlights a potential mismatch between species selected and 191 planted in those cities and the changing climatic conditions that have occurred over the last 192 few decades. Furthermore, differences in risk among cities, particularly those that are close 193 geographically, might be driven by the species composition of each city (Fig. S8). Presently, 194 species selection during urban planning has been based on past and current climate, 195 without accounting for future climate change<sup>16</sup>, and also on human management, prioritizing 196 particular characteristics, such as canopy size or aesthetics<sup>42</sup>, leading to poor considerations 197 of potentially narrow safety margins. Therefore, considering future climate change in species 198 selection as a prospective strategy should become a priority in cities worldwide, but 199 particularly so in low-latitude cities near the equator.

200 Risk associated with increases in MTWM highlights that extreme heat represents a 201 significant threat to urban forests. Cities with the highest risk for heatwaves might be those 202 with a current high UHI effect<sup>43</sup>. However, we found no correlation between current climate 203 variables and current daytime average maximum land surface temperatures (i.e., a proxy for 204 the UHI effect<sup>43</sup>), probably related to the decoupling between cities' macro and microclimate. 205 Predicted changes in extreme seasonal variables (i.e. MTWM, PDQ), therefore, impose 206 thermal and hydrological stress on plant species. However, warmer temperatures in MTCM 207 indicate that species will be relieved from cold stress as the urban environment may become 208 more favourable in the future. Nonetheless, some species require a winter cold period (i.e.

vernalization) for proper functioning<sup>44</sup>, and future climate change may represent a risk for
those species.

211 Our climate risk assessment method targets two key goals. First, the metrics are 212 easy to interpret and reproducible in cities with access to tree occurrence data. Second, it 213 provides a useful approach for identifying which species are most at risk of future climate 214 change (Fig. S9). The safety margin and risk metrics can help to guide prioritization and 215 substitution with more resilient species (i.e. lower risk) in the coming decades. For example, 216 planted species far exceeding their safety margin may be prioritized over others for 217 monitoring and potential replacement. In contrast, planted species with positive safety 218 margins and low risk may be monitored without need of replacement as they could 219 potentially tolerate new climate conditions. Species identified as "no risk" across all cities 220 where they are planted represent a valuable resource for creating climate-proof urban 221 forests. These resilient species provide a palette of potential candidates to be planted in 222 cities where they currently occur, and in those with similar climates after assessing the 223 broader risks associated with introductions (e.g., invasiveness)<sup>33</sup>. Therefore, our method can 224 provide a path forward to inform local governments, prioritise monitoring, improve species 225 selection, prioritize mitigation actions for urban forests under future climates, and maximise 226 societal benefits in a warmer world.

227 While we have assessed the global climatic risk of urban forests, there are some 228 limitations to consider when interpreting findings derived from our method. First, species' 229 realised niches (as assessed here) depend, partly, on sampling effort. We used occurrence 230 records that we related to bioclimatic variables to approximate species' realised climatic 231 niches. However, biotic (e.g. competition and facilitation), other abiotic (e.g. soil and 232 nutrients) factors and dispersal limitations are not accounted for, meaning that one may 233 underestimate or overestimate the true species' climatic niches. Second, the safety margin 234 describes a species' potential tolerance to changing climate conditions within a given city. 235 However, species distribution data are often incomplete, potentially leading to the 236 under/overestimation of the actual realised niche, and individuals at the margin of their 237 geographical range may exist there because of peculiar but highly suitable microclimate 238 conditions (i.e. "source" populations) or as climate relicts (i.e. persisting amidst unsuitable 239 conditions and thus being "sink" populations). Furthermore, artificial selection for horticultural 240 production may change the breadth of climatic conditions tolerated by species as has 241 occurred in agricultural crops<sup>45</sup>r, with flow-on effects where different species' cultivars or 242 varieties are used in urban forests. Third, our approach does not fully consider species' 243 adaptive capacity and trait plasticity, which facilitate species' resilience to climate change. 244 and the potential feedback mechanisms between climate and biota (e.g. the role of

vegetation in modulating temperature). Other environmental factors can also mitigate (e.g.
presence of water bodies) or exacerbate (e.g. pollution) the effects of climate change.
Finally, given that in many cities comparatively few tree species are abundant, weighted
climate risk could be employed to correct for any influence of rare species.

249 Ideally, to improve species selection in relation to climate, one would utilise information on environmental tolerances, as well as ecological functional approaches<sup>46</sup> and 250 trait-based analysis<sup>47-49</sup>. However, robust trait data are limited and not readily available for all 251 252 species worldwide, which increases uncertainty around decision making. To maintain 253 healthy urban forests in a changing climate, it will be necessary to address economic 254 constraints, the provision of adequate time and effort for establishing and maintaining urban 255 plantings and filling the knowledge gaps in appropriate species selection. We highlight the 256 importance of using prospective — rather than retrospective — strategies to preserve urban 257 forests to ensure resilience to climate change. We emphasize the importance of taking 258 immediate actions in terms of the climate emergency<sup>8</sup> to secure the survival and persistence 259 of urban forests globally and the benefits provided by these socio-ecological systems.

260

#### 261 Materials and Methods

#### 262 Urban forests composition and urban areas

We obtained data of tree and shrub species present in cities from the Global Urban Tree Inventory (GUTI) database<sup>50</sup>. This database compiles presence data for 4,734 tree and shrub species found in 473 urban areas globally and includes data from published and unpublished tree inventories, online data portals and tree species lists contained in studies published in the scientific literature. Details on the compilation of these data are given elsewhere<sup>50</sup>.

269 Across the 473 cities, the average number of species per city reported in GUTI was 270 92 species (standard deviation  $\pm$  106), with 72 cities having fewer than 10 species. A small 271 number of recorded species for a city likely represents under sampling of the diversity of 272 species and their respective climate niches than is present. To assess possible sampling 273 bias of climate niches for cities with small numbers of species, we randomly sampled the full 274 set of species in the dataset to get a mean climate variable expected for X number of 275 species (i.e. simulating cities with varying numbers of species). We then assessed the 276 stabilisation of the mean (and variance around the mean) as we increase the number of 277 species sampled. High instability of the mean at low numbers of species would indicate

potential bias in the range of climate niches represented by the sample. Based on a visual
assessment, we removed cities with fewer than 50 species (Fig. S10) as well as cities that
were identified as municipalities or local areas within major cities. These resulted in 164
cities from 78 countries and 3,129 tree and shrub species (165 families).

282 For all 3,129 tree and shrub species, we obtained occurrence records from two 283 sources: (1) the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF.org; 18 December 2019 GBIF 284 Occurrence Download https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.cpwlwc); and (2) sPlotOpen, an 285 environmentally balanced, open-access, global dataset of vegetation plots (German Centre 286 for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv): https://doi.org/10.25829/idiv.3474-40-3292). 287 Vascular plant species recorded in this dataset represent cover or abundance of naturally 288 co-occurring species within delimited areas<sup>51</sup>. For sPlotOpen, we only retained occurrence 289 information (i.e. plot coordinates) and for GBIF, we only retained occurrence records with 290 sufficient information on geographical coordinates. Records were overlaid on a 1×1 km 291 raster grid and reduced to a single point per species within each cell. Additionally, 292 occurrence records were filtered and cleaned by removing spatially invalid or suspect 293 records that could lead to miscalculation of species' climatic niches and duplicate records using the CoordinateCleaner package<sup>52</sup> in R version 4.0.5<sup>53</sup>. We retained only species with 294 295 more than 20 occurrence records. We found 2,555 species (82%) shared between GBIF and 296 sPlotOpen (data from 95,104 vegetation plots). The average number of occurrence records 297 per species (GBIF + sPlotOpen) was 1,041 (± 518), with a maximum of 92,331 occurrences 298 (Quercus robur). Taxonomy was standardized and verified against GBIF and then against 299 The Plant List (TPL; www.theplantlist.org) using *Taxonstand* package<sup>54</sup> in R<sup>53</sup>.

300 Polygons defining the spatial boundaries of 6,018 urban areas (i.e. cities) globally were obtained from Kelso and Patterson<sup>55</sup> as a shapefile (WGS84; 1:10 million; 301 302 EPSG:4326). These data were projected to the Mollweide projection, an equal-area 303 pseudocylindrical map projection (ESRI:54009). Additionally, we obtained population size<sup>56</sup> 304 of all 164 cities, daytime average maximum land surface temperatures (i.e. representative of the urban heat island effect)<sup>43</sup> for 122 cites, and for each country the 2019 Readiness score 305 306 quantified by the Notre Dame Global Adaptation Initiative (ND-GAIN). ND-GAIN is an index 307 of a country's vulnerability to climate change and its capacity for investment in adaptation 308 actions<sup>27</sup>. This index measures a country's exposure, sensitivity and ability to adapt to the 309 negative impact of climate change based on six life-supporting sectors (food, water, health, 310 ecosystem services, human habitat and infrastructure)<sup>27</sup>.

311 Similarities of species composition among cities were evaluated using non-metric 312 multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on a presence-absence matrix. The NMDS

- 313 approach projects multivariate data along latent axes based on distances between
- 314 assemblages but preserves the underlying dissimilarity structure of the original dataset<sup>57</sup>.
- 315 The distance between cities in the ordination space reflects the dissimilarity in species
- composition, such that cities with similar scores are expected to have similar species
- composition. For this analysis, we used the *vegan* package<sup>58</sup>, and the function *metaMDS*,
- 318 without auto transformation and Jaccard coefficient as the metric for the presence-absence
- 319 community by site matrix.

#### 320 Climate data

- 321 Baseline and future climate data were obtained from CHELSA Version 1.2 climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas<sup>59</sup>, at a spatial resolution of 30 arc-seconds 322  $(\sim 1 \text{ km at the equator})$ . A detailed description of the generation of these data is given in 323 324 Karger, et al.<sup>59</sup>. We selected five climate variables; two of them describing mean conditions: 325 (1) mean annual temperature (MAT) and (2) annual precipitation (AP); and three variables 326 describing extremes of climate: (3) maximum temperature of the warmest month (MTWM); 327 (4) minimum temperature of the coldest month (MTCM); and (5) precipitation of the driest 328 quarter (PDQ) (**Table S6**). These variables are known for their biological relevance and 329 influence on species distributions, ecological interactions and species survival<sup>60,61</sup>. All 330 climate data were projected to the Mollweide projection system (ESRI:54009) at a 1-km 331 resolution using bilinear interpolation. Throughout the text, we refer to "baseline climate" as 332 the average climate conditions during the baseline period 1979-2013.
- 333 For future climate data, we downloaded projections for 10 General Circulation 334 Models (GCMs): (1) bcc-csm1-1; (2) CCSM4; (3) CESM1-CAM5; (4) CSIRO-Mk3-6-0; (5) 335 GFDL-CM3; (6) HadGEM2-AO; (7) IPSL-CM5A-MR; (8) MIROC-ESM-CHEM; (9) MIROC5; 336 and (10) NorESM1-M (Table S7). We extracted values of the five climate variables from all 337 10 GCMs and estimated the median for all our analyses. By selecting multiple GCMs, we 338 aimed to capture the uncertainty and variability around future climate scenarios. We selected 339 two time periods: 2050 (average for 2041–2060) and 2070 (average for 2061–2080) and the 340 two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) 4.5 and 6.0, which project a peak in 341 emissions around 2040 and 2080, respectively, followed by a decline<sup>62</sup>. Of all GCMs, 342 CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 showed the greatest variability for AP and PDQ (Fig. S11). Climate in urban 343 areas is complex and its future projections can be uncertain. However, recent research has enhanced model projections<sup>43,63-65</sup>; therefore, we downloaded global multi-model projections 344 of local urban climates at a resolution of 0.9° latitude × 1.25° longitude<sup>63</sup> for the time period 345 346 2040-2060 and RCP8.5 and estimated the maximum temperature of the warmest month 347 using the same set of GCMs.

348 When we compared future climate changes between global circulation models and 349 global multi-model projections of local urban climates, we found the latter were 0.42 °C 350 (median) warmer than future projections from CHELSA (Fig. S13). This finding gives 351 confidence in our estimation of the cities' future climate for 2050 and RCP6.0. However, we 352 highlight that the global multi-model projections of local urban climates do not consider the 353 effects of future urban population growth and future urban land use changes. Therefore, 354 additional effects of future changes in human population and urban land use are not 355 included in our analyses and could further amplify the risk, suggesting that our future 356 estimates are very conservative.

357 Importantly, we acknowledge that climate data based on coarse-grained spatial 358 interpolations from weather stations that are shielded from direct solar radiation, as used 359 here, can fail to identify areas where conditions are more benign or cooler due to the buffering effect of vegetation cover (i.e. microclimatic processes)<sup>55</sup> or areas where harsh 360 conditions can be exacerbated by the UHI<sup>56</sup>. We found the current daytime average 361 362 maximum land surface temperatures (UHI; see details below) can be 8.4°C (median) 363 warmer than air temperature based on future climate changes in 2050 as provided by global 364 circulation models (Fig. S12). Therefore, the risks we calculated are likely conservative 365 leaving the possibility of greater risks than what we are reporting if urban warming is 366 intensified in the future.

#### 367 Species' realized climate niche and cities' climate

For all species, we extracted values of the aforementioned climate variables from all global occurrence records to characterise species' realised climate niches under baseline climatic conditions. For each city, we placed a grid (1 km × 1 km) over its area and extracted the values of all four variables at each cell for both baseline and future climates using the function "exact\_extract" from the *exactextractr* package<sup>66</sup>. For global multi-model projections, we extracted climate data from the grid cells closest to the cities' polygon, where the median distance was 25.6 km.

375 Then, for all species, we estimated their niche breadths (Appendix 2) and the upper 376 and lower limits of the temperature and precipitation variables, respectively, based on the 377 global geographic range for each species, whereas cities' climate values were estimated 378 using all grid cells of each city. Spatial autocorrelation of climate variables associated with the species occurrences was assessed using the raster package <sup>67</sup> based on Moran's *I*. We 379 380 used kernel density functions to estimate the upper and lower bounds of the distribution of 381 values across the species range to determine whether cities are likely to exceed species' 382 limits. For this, we selected the threshold of the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of MAT and MTWM and the

383 5<sup>th</sup> percentile of MTCM, AP and PDQ. We used these thresholds to assess the extremes of

- these variables as indicative of species safety margin (i.e. species' thermal and drought
- 385 stress tolerance for survival and growth)<sup>17</sup> and towards the main direction of change for the
- variable being examined (e.g. the warm limit in case of warmer MAT or the dry limit in case
- of drier AP). Throughout the text, when referring to these climate variables, we imply the use
- of the 95<sup>th</sup> (MAT, MTWM) and 5<sup>th</sup> (MTCM, AP, PDQ) percentiles, accordingly (**Fig. S3**).

## 389 Climate change impact metrics

We selected three climate change impact metrics for our analysis: exposure; safety margin;
and risk<sup>18,23</sup>. These metrics were calculated for all five climate variables, time periods
(baseline and future [2050 and 2070]) and RCPs (4.5 and 6.0).

393 Exposure (*E*) is the degree to which a city is exposed to climatic change<sup>22</sup> and is a 394 measure of how much the climate is projected to change (e.g. warmer or drier) between 395 current and future time periods in a given location; thus, it is calculated as the difference 396 between the city's future and baseline climate as follows:

$$E = City_{FutureClimate} - City_{BaselineClimate}$$

A positive exposure (E > 0) indicates that warmer (or wetter) conditions are expected under future climate change scenarios, while negative exposure (E < 0) indicates that colder (or drier) conditions are expected under future climate change. Here we are more specifically interested in the positive exposure for MAT, MTWM and MTCM, as expected under warmer climates, but negative exposure for AP and PDQ as expected under drier climates.

The safety margin (*S*) describes a species' sensitivity to climate change (i.e. warmer and drier, on average, here) and indicates its potential tolerance to changing climate conditions that may exceed either of the species' upper or lower climatic limits (i.e., its upper limit for MAT and MTWM and or its lower limit for MTCM, AP and PDQ) within a given city and indicates how much warmer (or drier), a city could become before the upper or lower tolerance limits of its resident species have been exceeded, and was calculated as follows:

410  $S = \begin{cases} Species_{ClimateVariable[i]} - City_{BaselineClimate} & (MAT, MTWM, MTCM) \\ City_{BaselineClimate} - Species_{ClimateVariable[i]} & (AP, PDQ) \end{cases}$ 

For *S*, a species' climatic limit (Species<sub>ClimateVariable[i]</sub>) was measured as the 95<sup>th</sup> (MAT,
MTWM) and the 5<sup>th</sup> (MTCM, AP, PDQ) percentiles of the species' climate niche based on its
global occurrence records and baseline climatic conditions from CHELSA. The difference

414 between Species<sub>ClimateVariable[i]</sub> and the long-term average climatic conditions experienced in 415 the focal city (i.e. City<sub>BaselineClimate</sub>) is calculated as the 'safety margin' (S) for each focal 416 species-by-city combination<sup>17</sup>. That is, a positive safety margin (S > 0) indicates that the 417 species has a climatic tolerance limit which exceeds current baseline climatic conditions in 418 the focal city (e.g. cooler or wetter and thus safer under warmer and drier future conditions); 419 whereas a negative value (S < 0) indicates that the species is already now experiencing 420 "unsafe" climatic conditions under the baseline (e.g. warmer than the warm limit or drier than 421 the dry limit) that the species can actually withstand according to its known limits for 422 temperature or precipitation) (Fig. S3).

The risk (R) refers to the potential for adverse consequences on biological systems<sup>30</sup> and is calculated as the between the city's extrinsic exposure to future climate change and the species' intrinsic safety margin. Thus, if *R* is positive (R > 0), the exposure to future climate is greater than the current safety margin for the focal species in a focal city (i.e. high risk). Yet, if the difference is negative (R < 0) then exposure (*E*) to future climate change is still within the range of values allowed by the safety margin (*S*), thus it is "safe" under future conditions (i.e. low risk) (**Fig. S3**). Risk to climate change (*R*) was calculated as:

430 
$$R = \begin{cases} E - S_{(MAT,MTWM,MTCM)} \\ S - E_{(AP,PDQ)} \end{cases}$$

431 Linear regressions were fitted to evaluate the relationship between: (1) climate 432 exposure and cities' latitude; (2) species' risk and cities' latitude (assessing Northern and 433 Southern hemispheres independently); and (3) species' risk and UHI, using independent 434 linear models (i.e. the Im function in R). The relationship between species' risk with ND-435 GAIN score and climate exposure was analysed using linear mixed-effects models (i.e. the 436 *Imer* function from the Ime4 package<sup>68</sup>) followed by ANOVA analysis, using country and 437 species as random intercept terms. Models were developed for each climatic variable as a 438 response variable and model performance was evaluated through the calculation of the F-439 statistic at a significance level of P < 0.05. All analyses were conducted using the statistical 440 software R version 4.0.5<sup>53</sup>.

441

#### 442 Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to disclose.

444

#### 445 Acknowledgments

- 446 We gratefully acknowledge Leigh Staas for her support of the project. MER, LJB, SAP, PDR,
- 447 MGT and RVG received funding from the Hort Frontiers Green Cities Fund, part of the Hort
- 448 Frontiers strategic partnership initiative developed by Hort Innovation, with co-investment
- 449 from Macquarie University, Western Sydney University and the NSW Department of
- 450 Planning, Industry and Environment and contributions from the Australian Government. We
- 451 thank Peter B. Reich for his feedback and insightful comments from three anonymous
- reviewers. All code and data for all species and cities will be available per request with the
- 453 authors.
- 454

# 455 Author contribution

- 456 MER, RVG, PDR, SAP and MGT conceived the article. MER, RVG, LJB, PDR, SAP and
- 457 MGT designed the research. MER, JBB, JL and BR collected and analysed data. MER wrote
- the article. All authors contributed to the discussion of the content and reviewed or edited the
- 459 manuscript before submission. All authors, except for MER, MTG, JL and RVG, are listed
- 460 alphabetically.
- 461

# 462 **References**

- Liu, Z., He, C., Zhou, Y. & Wu, J. How much of the world's land has been urbanized,
  really? A hierarchical framework for avoiding confusion. *Landscape Ecology* 29, 763771 (2014).
- 466 2 UN. United Nations: The World's cities in 2018. Department of Economic and Social
  467 Affairs, Population Division, World Urbanization Prospects, 1-34 (2018).
- 4683Miller, R. W., Hauer, R. J. & Werner, L. P. Urban forestry: planning and managing469urban greenspaces. 3rd edition edn, (Waveland Press, Inc., 2015).
- 470 4 Escobedo, F. J., Kroeger, T. & Wagner, J. E. Urban forests and pollution mitigation:
  471 Analyzing ecosystem services and disservices. *Environmental Pollution* **159**, 2078472 2087 (2011).
- 473 5 Keeler, B. L. *et al.* Social-ecological and technological factors moderate the value of 474 urban nature. *Nature Sustainability* **2**, 29 (2019).
- Petri, A. C., Koeser, A. K., Lovell, S. T. & Ingram, D. How green are trees?—Using
  life cycle assessment methods to assess net environmental benefits. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture* 34, 101-110 (2016).
- 478 7 Bastin, J.-F. *et al.* The global tree restoration potential. *Science* **365**, 76-79 (2019).
- 479 8 IPCC. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
  480 Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
  481 Change. (2021).
- Van Mantgem, P. J. *et al.* Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western
  United States. *Science* 323, 521-524 (2009).
- Nowak, D. J. & Greenfield, E. J. Declining urban and community tree cover in the
  United States. *Urban forestry & Urban Greening* 32, 32-55 (2018).
- 486 11 Easterling, D. R. *et al.* Climate extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts.
  487 Science 289, 2068-2074 (2000).
- 488 12 Zscheischler, J. *et al.* Future climate risk from compound events. *Nature Climate* 489 *Change* 8, 469–477 (2018).
- 490 13 Yan, P. & Yang, J. Performances of Urban Tree Species under Disturbances in 120
  491 Cities in China. *Forests* 9, 50 (2018).

492	14	Hilbert, D., Roman, L., Koeser, A. K., Vogt, J. & Van Doorn, N. S. Urban Tree
493		Mortality: A Literature Review. Arboriculture & Urban Forestry 45, 167-200 (2019).
494	15	Young, R. F. & McPherson, E. G. Governing metropolitan green infrastructure in the
495		United States. Landscape and Urban Planning <b>109</b> , 67-75 (2013).
496	16	Esperon-Rodriguez, M. et al. Assessing climate risk to support urban forests in a
497		changing climate. <i>Plants People Planet</i> , 1-13, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10240</u>
498		(2022).
499	17	Esperon Rodriguez, M. et al. Assessing the vulnerability of Australia's urban forests
500		to climate extremes. <i>Plants, People, Planet</i> <b>1</b> , 1-11,
501		doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp3.10064</u> (2019).
502	18	Gallagher, R. V., Allen, S. & Wright, I. J. Safety margins and adaptive capacity of
503	40	vegetation to climate change. Scientific Reports 9, 8241 (2019).
504	19	Bertrand, R. <i>et al.</i> Changes in plant community composition lag benind climate
505	00	warming in lowiand forests. <i>Nature</i> <b>479</b> , 517-520 (2011).
506	20	Bertrand, R. <i>et al.</i> Ecological constraints increase the climatic dept in forests. <i>Nature</i>
507	04	Communications 7, 1-10 (2010).
508	21	Richard, B. <i>et al.</i> The climatic debt is growing in the understory of temperate forests:
509	22	stand characteristics matter. Global Ecology and Biogeography Accepted (2021).
510	22	TPCC. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to
511		(Cambridge University Press, 2001)
512	23	Cambridge University Fless, 2001). Dowson T. P. Jackson S. T. Houso, J. J. Prontico, J. C. & Maco, G. M. Boyond
515	23	predictions: biodiversity conservation in a changing climate. Science 332, 53, 58
515		
516	24	Enden W B et al Climate change vulnerability assessment of species WIREs
517	27	<i>Climate Change</i> <b>10</b> , e551, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.551 (2019)
518	25	Pacifici M et al Assessing species vulnerability to climate change. Nature Climate
519	20	Change 5 215-224 (2015)
520	26	Reisinger A et al. The concept of risk in the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report: a
521	20	summary of cross-working group discussions, 15 (Geneva, Switzerland, 2020).
522	27	Chen. C. <i>et al.</i> University of Notre Dame global adaptation index country index
523		technical report. (2015).
524	28	Hirabayashi, Y., Kanae, S., Emori, S., Oki, T. & Kimoto, M. Global projections of
525		changing risks of floods and droughts in a changing climate. <i>Hydrological Sciences</i>
526		Journal <b>53</b> , 754-772 (2008).
527	29	Van der Veken, S., Hermy, M., Vellend, M., Knapen, A. & Verheyen, K. Garden
528		plants get a head start on climate change. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment
529		<b>6</b> , 212-216 (2008).
530	30	Ballinas, M. & Barradas, V. L. Transpiration and stomatal conductance as potential
531		mechanisms to mitigate the heat load in Mexico City. Urban Forestry & Urban
532		<i>Greening</i> <b>20</b> , 152-159 (2016).
533	31	Di Baldassarre, G. et al. Water shortages worsened by reservoir effects. Nature
534		Sustainability <b>1</b> , 617 (2018).
535	32	Hoekstra, A. Y. & Mekonnen, M. M. The water footprint of humanity. Proceedings of
536		the National Academy of Sciences <b>109</b> , 3232-3237 (2012).
537	33	Ordóñez, C. & Duinker, P. Assessing the vulnerability of urban forests to climate
538		change. Environmental Reviews 22, 311-321 (2014).
539	34	Smith, I. A., Dearborn, V. K. & Hutyra, L. R. Live fast, die young: Accelerated growth,
540		mortality, and turnover in street trees. <i>PLOS ONE</i> <b>14</b> , e0215846,
541	25	dol:10.13/1/journal.pone.0215846 (2019).
542	35	Soperon, J. & Peterson, A. I. Interpretation of models of fundamental ecological
543	26	nicnes and species distributional areas. <i>Biodiversity Informatics</i> 2, 1-10 (2005).
544 545	30	rullian, n. k. On the relationship between niche and distribution. Ecology Letters 3, 240.261 (2000)
545		J49-JUT (2000).

546	37	McPherson, E. G., Berry, A. M. & van Doorn, N. S. Performance testing to identify
547		climate-ready trees. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 29, 28-39 (2018).
548	38	Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., Schaaf, C. B., Strahler, A. H. & Schneider, A. The footprint
549		of urban climates on vegetation phenology. Geophysical Research Letters 31, 1-4
550		(2004).
551	39	Meng, L. et al. Urban warming advances spring phenology but reduces the response
552		of phenology to temperature in the conterminous United States. Proceedings of the
553		National Academy of Sciences 117, 4228-4233 (2020).
554	40	Kummu, M. & Varis, O. The world by latitudes: A global analysis of human
555		population, development level and environment across the north-south axis over the
556		past half century. Applied Geography <b>31</b> , 495-507 (2011).
557	41	Norton, B. A. et al. Planning for cooler cities: A framework to prioritise green
558		infrastructure to mitigate high temperatures in urban landscapes. Landscape and
559		Urban Planning <b>134</b> , 127-138 (2015).
560	42	Vogt. J. et al. Citree: A database supporting tree selection for urban areas in
561		temperate climate. Landscape and Urban Planning <b>157</b> , 14-25 (2017).
562	43	Manoli, G. et al. Magnitude of urban heat islands largely explained by climate and
563	-	population. <i>Nature</i> <b>573</b> , 55-60 (2019).
564	44	Kim, DH., Dovle, M. R., Sung, S. & Amasino, R. M. Vernalization: winter and the
565		timing of flowering in plants. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental 25, 277-299
566		(2009).
567	45	Cairns, J. F. & Prasanna, B. M. Developing and deploying climate-resilient maize
568		varieties in the developing world. <i>Current Opinion in Plant Biology</i> <b>45</b> , 226-230
569		(2018)
570	46	Paquette A et al Praise for diversity. A functional approach to reduce risks in urban
571	10	forests Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 62 127157 (2021)
572	47	Esperon-Rodriguez M et al Functional adaptations and trait plasticity of urban trees
572	77	along a climatic gradient Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 54 126771 (2020)
574	48	Hirons A D et al Using botanic gardens and arboreta to beln identify urban trees
575	40	for the future Plants People Planet 3 182-103 (2021)
576	<u>4</u> 9	Watkins H Hirons $\Delta$ Sioman H Cameron R & Hitchmough I D Can Trait-
577	40	Based Schemes Be Used to Select Species in Urban Forestry? Frontiers in
578		Sustainable Cities 3 doi:10.3389/frsc 2021.654618 (2021)
570	50	Ossola A et al. The Global Urban Tree Inventory: A database of the diverse tree
580	00	flora that inhabits the world's cities. <i>Clobal Ecology and Biogeography</i> <b>2020</b> 1-8
581		
501	51	Sabatini E M at al sPlotOpen_An environmentally balanced open-access global
583	51	dataset of vegetation plots. Global Ecology and Biogeography (2021)
202	52	Zizka A at al CoordinateCleaner: Standardized cleaning of accurrence records from
504 E0E	52	biological collection databases. Methods in Ecology and Evolution <b>10</b> 744 751
505		
	52	(2019). B: A language and environment for statistical computing. B Equindation for Statistical
20/ E00	55	Computing Vioppo Austria (2021)
200	54	Computing, Vienna, Austria (2021). Taxonomia Standardization of Plant Spacing Names, Package 'Taxonatand' (CPAN)
203	54	https://grop.r.project.org/web/packageo/Tevenstend/Tevenstend.pdf 2017)
590	55	Keles N. & Detterson T. World Urban Areas LandSoon 1:10 million (2012)
291	55	Keiso, N. & Pallerson, T. Wohd Orban Aleas, Landscan, T. To minion (2012).
592		[Shapenie]. Notifi American Califographic Information Society. Retrieved from
593	FC	The structure of the second state of the secon
594	50	Earlin, N. Populated Places. Retrieved from
595	57	Intp://www.inaturateantinuata.com/downioaus/ (2018).
596	5/	Legenure, P. & Legenure, L. F. <i>Numerical ecology</i> . Third edition ean, (Elsevier, 2012)
59/	EO	2012). Okonnon C. at al Vagan, Community Foolary, Poolary (2010)
598	00 50	Uksanen, G. et al. vegan. Community Ecology Package (2019).
599	29	Narger, D. N. et al. Chimatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface areas.
600		Scientific Data 4, 170122 (2017).

- 60 O'Donnell, M. S. & Ignizio, D. A. Bioclimatic predictors for supporting ecological applications in the conterminous United States. US Geological Survey Data Series
   603 691 (2012).
- 604 61 Field, C. *et al.* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2014).
- 60662Meinshausen, M. *et al.* The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their607extensions from 1765 to 2300. *Climatic Change* **109**, 213-241 (2011).
- 608 63 Zhao, L. *et al.* Global multi-model projections of local urban climates. *Nature Climate* 609 *Change* **11**, 152-157 (2021).
- 64 Huang, K., Li, X., Liu, X. & Seto, K. C. Projecting global urban land expansion and
  heat island intensification through 2050. *Environmental Research Letters* 14, 114037
  (2019).
- 613 65 Žhuang, J. & Wei, S. Additional materials for the rust flora of Hainan Province, China. 614 *Mycosystema* **30**, 853-860 (2011).
- 615 66 Baston, D., ISciences, L. & Baston, M. D. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 616 URL: <u>https://cran</u>. r-project. org ..., 2021).
- 617 67 Package 'raster' (R package version 2.3-33, <u>http://cran.r-</u>
- 618 project.org/web/packages/raster/index.html, 2015).
- 619 68 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models 620 using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1-48 (2015).

### 622 Tables

623

**Table 1**. Predicted mean (standard deviation) changes (i.e. exposure) of mean annual temperature (MAT), maximum temperature of the warmest month (MTWM), minimum temperature of the coldest month (MTCM), annual precipitation (AP), and precipitation of the driest quarter (PDQ) across 164 cities in 2050 and 2070; number of species (and proportion in brackets) currently exceeding their safety margins and at high risk of climate change in at least one city where they are planted; and number of cities predicted to have 100% and >50% of their species at risk of climate change in 2050; species (n = 3,129), cities (n = 164). Data for 2050 and RCP6.0.

Variable	Ехро	osure	Current safety margin					Climate risk in 2050				
	2050	2070	Species	Species 100%	Cities 100%	Species 50% risk	Cities 50% risk	Species	Species 100%	Cities 100%	Species 50% risk	Cities 50% risk
MAT	2.1°C (0.6)	3°C (0.8)	1,759 (56%)	532 (17%)	2	610 (19%)	78	2,387 (76%)	1200 (38%)	12	854 (27%)	133
MTWM	1.7°C (0.5)	2.6°C (0.7)	1,724 (55%)	`465´ (15%)	2	684 (22%)	82	2,140 (68%)	`862´ (28%)	19	`941´ (30%)	106
MTCM	1.3°C (0.5)	2°C (0.7)	1,124 (36%)	`430´ (14%)	0	239 (7%)	11	902 (29%)	688 (22%)	0	`341´ (11%)	6
AP	-57 mm (78.3)	-62 mm (88.7)	2,030 (65%)	789 (25%)	2	897 (29%)	91	2,220 (70%)	1006 (32%)	3	944 (30%)	99
PDQ	-1.3 mm (8.2)	-2 mm (12)	1,880 (60%)	665 (21%)	4	846 (27%)	92	1,849 (59%)	661 (21%)	0	852 (27%)	101





Fig. 1. Exposure to future climate change across the world's cities. Exposure of 164 cities to predicted changes in mean annual
 temperature (MAT) in 2050 relative to baseline mean annual temperature between 1979 and 2013 (A); boxplot of changes in MAT
 averaged across cities in seven geographical regions (as colored in insert map); numbers in brackets indicate the number of cities for
 each region (B). Plots display data for RCP 6.0.





Fig. 2. Contemporary tree and shrub species safety margin across the world's cities. 642 643 Proportion of species currently exceeding their safety margin for mean annual temperature 644 (MAT; A) and annual precipitation (AP; C) in 164 cities. Frequency distribution of mean 645 values of MAT (**B**) and AP (**D**) safety margins of each species (n = 3,129) across all cities. Red lines indicate the median and blue lines the 5<sup>th</sup>/95<sup>th</sup> percentiles. A positive safety margin 646 647 (S > 0) indicates species with an upper (MAT) or lower (AP) climatic tolerance limit greater 648 than that of current climatic conditions; a negative value (S < 0) indicates species under 649 "unsafe" climatic conditions.





## Fig. 3. Species at risk of future climate change impacts across the world's cities.

Proportion of species predicted to be at risk from projected changes in mean annual

temperature (A) and annual precipitation (B) by 2050 in 164 cities. Each point represents the

- 654 proportion of species at risk in a given city. Relationship between the proportion of species
- at risk and cities' latitude (Northern Hemisphere: n = 129 cities; Southern Hemisphere: n =
- 656 35) (**C**). Ribbons indicate the 95% confidence interval for predictions from a linear model.
- 657 Point size indicates human population size<sup>56</sup>. Data for 2050 and RCP6.0.
- 658