

# Antenatal ultrasound features of isolated recurrent copy number variation in 7q11.23 (Williams syndrome and 7q11.23 duplication syndrome)

Cécile Courdier, John Boudjarane, Valérie Malan, Christine Muti, Brian Sperelakis-Beedham, Sylvie Odent, Sylvie Jaillard, Chloé Quelin, Cédric Le Caignec, Olivier Patat, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Cécile Courdier, John Boudjarane, Valérie Malan, Christine Muti, Brian Sperelakis-Beedham, et al.. Antenatal ultrasound features of isolated recurrent copy number variation in 7q11.23 (Williams syndrome and 7q11.23 duplication syndrome). Prenatal Diagnosis, 2023, 43 (6), pp.734-745. 10.1002/pd.6340. hal-04032330

## HAL Id: hal-04032330 https://u-picardie.hal.science/hal-04032330

Submitted on 30 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

**Title**: Antenatal ultrasound features of isolated recurrent copy number variation in 7q11.23 (Williams syndrome and 7q11.23 duplication syndrome)

Running title: Antenatal ultrasound features of isolated recurrent CNVs in 7q11.23

Word count: 3104

Figures: 1

Tables: 4

References: 32

### Authors

Cécile Courdier<sup>1</sup>, John Boudjarane<sup>2</sup>, Valérie Malan<sup>3</sup>, Christine Muti<sup>4</sup>, Brian Sperelakis-Beedham<sup>4</sup>, Sylvie Odent<sup>5</sup>, Sylvie Jaillard<sup>6</sup>, Chloé Quelin<sup>5</sup>, Cédric Le Caignec<sup>7</sup>, Olivier Patat<sup>7</sup>, Charlotte Dubucs<sup>7</sup>, Sophie Julia<sup>7</sup>, Caroline Schluth-Bolard<sup>8,9</sup>, Carole Goumy<sup>10</sup>, Sylvia Redon<sup>11</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Gaillard<sup>12</sup>, Minh Tuan Huynh<sup>13</sup>, Céline Dupont<sup>14</sup>, Anne-Claude Tabet<sup>14</sup>, Guillaume Cogan<sup>14</sup>, François Vialard<sup>15</sup>, Rodolphe Dard<sup>15</sup>, Guillaume Jedraszak<sup>16,17</sup>, Florence Jobic<sup>18</sup>, Mathilde Lefebvre<sup>19</sup>, Geneviève Quenum<sup>20</sup>, Saori Inai<sup>21</sup>, Mélanie Rama<sup>22</sup>, Fanny Sauvestre<sup>23</sup>, Frédéric Coatleven<sup>24</sup>, Julie Thomas<sup>25</sup>, Caroline Rooryck<sup>1,26</sup>

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> Service de Génétique médicale, Hôpital Pellegrin, CHU de Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Cytogénétique, Département de Génétique Médicale, Hôpital Timone Enfants, AP-HM, Marseille, France

<sup>3</sup> Service de Médecine Génomique des Maladies Rares, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, AP-HP, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup> Unité de Génétique, Centre hospitalier de Versailles, Versailles, France

<sup>5</sup> Service de Génétique clinique, CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France

<sup>6</sup> Service de Cytogénétique et Biologie Cellulaire, CHU de Rennes, F-35033 Rennes, France

<sup>7</sup> Service de Génétique médicale, Hôpital Purpan, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/pd.6340.

<sup>8</sup> Service de Génétique, Laboratoire de Cytogénétique Constitutionnelle, Centre de Biologie et de Pathologie Est, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Bron, France

<sup>9</sup> Laboratoire de Diagnostic Génétique, Institut de Génétique Médicale d'Alsace, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

<sup>10</sup> Service de Cytogénétique Médicale, CHU d'Estaing, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

<sup>11</sup> Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire et d'histocompatibilité, INSERM U1078, CHRU Morvan, Brest, France

<sup>12</sup> Unité de Génétique chromosomique, CHU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

<sup>13</sup> Laboratoire de Génétique médicale, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

<sup>14</sup> Unité fonctionnelle de Cytogénétique, Département de Génétique, Hôpital Robert Debré, AP-HP, Paris, France

<sup>15</sup> Département de Génétique, Laboratoire de Biologie médicale, CHI de Poissy/Saint-Germain-en-Laye, Poissy, France

<sup>16</sup> Laboratoire de Génétique Constitutionnelle, CHU d'Amiens, Amiens, France

<sup>17</sup> HEMATIM UR4666, Centre Universitaire de Recherche en Santé, Université de Picardie Jules Verne, France

<sup>18</sup> Service de Génétique Clinique et Oncogénétique, CHU d'Amiens, Amiens, France

<sup>19</sup> Service de Foetopathologie, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Paris, France

<sup>20</sup> Laboratoire de cytogénétique, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Paris, France

<sup>21</sup> Service de Gynécologie, CH de Libourne, Libourne, France

<sup>22</sup> Institut de Génétique Médicale, Hôpital Jeanne de Flandre, CHRU Lille, Lille, France

<sup>23</sup> Unité de Fœtopathologie, Service de Pathologie, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

<sup>24</sup> Service de Gynécologie Obstétrique, CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

<sup>25</sup> Service des maladies Cardio-vasculaires congénitales, Hôpital Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux, France

<sup>26</sup> Univ. Bordeaux, Maladies Rares : Génétique et Métabolisme (MRGM), Unité INSERM U1211, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

#### Correspondence

Pr Caroline Rooryck, Service de Génétique Médicale, Groupe hospitalier Pellegrin, CHU de Bordeaux, Place Amélie Raba Léon, 33076 Bordeaux cedex, France

Email : caroline.rooryck-thambo@chu-bordeaux.fr

Tel : +33 557 820 363

Conflict of interest: None

Fundings: None

## What is already known on this topic?

- Deletion of the 7q11.23 region causes the Williams Beuren syndrome (WBS). The reciprocal duplication is responsible for a distinctive although less severe neurodevelopmental disorder
- Diagnosis of WBS is usually suspected postnatally in infants but its prenatal presentation remains challenging

#### What does this study add?

- Largest series of fetuses with a 7q11.23 deletion or a 7q11.23 duplication
- Antenatal ultrasound findings of prenatal 7q11.23 copy number variations

## Abstract

Objective: We aimed to gather fetal cases carrying a 7q11.23 copy number variation (CNV) and collect precise clinical data to broaden knowledge of antenatal features in these syndromes.

Methods: We retrospectively recruited unrelated cases with 7q11.23 deletion, known as Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS), or 7q11.23 duplication who had prenatal ultrasound findings. We collected laboratory and clinical data, fetal ultrasound, cardiac ultrasound and fetal autopsy reports from 18 prenatal diagnostic centers throughout France.

Results: 40 fetuses with WBS were collected and the most common features were intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR) (70,0%, 28/40), cardiovascular defects (30,0%, 12/40), polyhydramnios (17,5%, 7/40) and protruding tongue (15,0%, 6/40). Fetal autopsy reports were available for 11 cases and were compared with ultrasound prenatal features. Four cases of fetuses with 7q11.23 microduplication were collected and prenatal ultrasound signs were variable and often isolated.

Conclusion: This work strengthens the fact that 7q11.23 CNVs are associated with a broad spectrum of antenatal presentations. IUGR and cardiovascular defects were the most frequent ultrasound signs. By reporting the biggest series of antenatal WBS, we aim to better delineate distinctive signs in fetuses with 7q11.23 CNVs.

Keywords: Williams syndrome, 7q11.23 microduplication, prenatal diagnosis

Acknowledgment

None

## Main text

#### Introduction

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a well-known genetic disorder that occurs in about 1/7500– 1/20000 births, caused by a heterozygous recurrent deletion of WBS region at 7q11.23

ranging from 1.55 to 1.84 Mb<sup>1-3</sup>. In its typical form, patients display dysmorphic features, intellectual deficiency with a singular behavioral profile, cardiovascular and endocrine defects. Mean age at diagnosis is 5.38 years according to Ferrero et al. Prenatal diagnosis of WBS remains challenging because no specific ultrasound (US) signs have emerged with only 30 cases described with antenatal manifestations to date 4-11. The most relevant features reported in WBS fetuses were intra-uterine growth retardation (IUGR), cardiovascular defects including elastin aortopathy (narrowing of the aorta, right aortic arch and persistent left superior vena cava) and ventricular septal defect (VSD) <sup>4,5</sup>. One case with premature closure of the ductus arteriosus (PCDA) was reported by Srinivasan et al<sup>12</sup>. About 80% of WBS patients suffer from cardiovascular defects, which is the main cause of morbidity and mortality in WBS<sup>1,13–16</sup>. These patients require early diagnosis and treatment. The Elastin (ELN) gene, included in WBS critical region (WBSCR), encodes a component of elastic fibers and plays a critical role in arterial morphogenesis<sup>17</sup>. It has been established that *ELN* is responsible for cardiovascular and connective tissue defects in WBS patients, as heterozygous nucleotide variants were also described in patients with non-syndromic supravalvular aortic stenosis (SVAS)<sup>18,19</sup>. The most common cardiovascular features of ELN haploinsufficiency include (in order of frequency): SVAS, peripheral pulmonary artery stenosis, pulmonary valve stenosis, supravalvular pulmonary stenosis, aortic stenosis, coarctation of the aorta, bicuspid aortic valve, mitral valve anomaly and renal artery stenosis<sup>17-19</sup>.

Duplication of the WBSCR is known to cause the 7q11.23 duplication syndrome associated with developmental delay, hypotonia, seizures, anxiety, craniofacial features and aortic dilation<sup>20</sup>. It occurs less frequently than WBS, and its lack of intrauterine phenotype explain that only three cases of 7q11.23 duplication with prenatal signs have been reported<sup>5,8</sup>.

Here, we report 40 cases of WBS and 4 cases of reciprocal 7q11.23 microduplication with prenatal manifestations. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest series of WBS prenatal phenotyping with contribution of fetopathology. The aim of the study was to possibly better delineate distinctive signs and derive a recognizable more precise phenotype in fetuses

with copy number variations (CNVs) in 7q11.23 by collecting medical record from a large series.

#### Material and methods

#### Study Population

We retrospectively recruited 44 unrelated fetuses affected by 7q11.23 deletion or duplication showing prenatal ultrasound findings and diagnosed either prenatally or postnatally between 1996 and 2022. This work was conducted thanks to the AchroPuce network (https://acpa-achropuce.com/) and the network of French cytogenetics laboratories (www.eaclf.org). A total of 18 French centers (university and regional hospitals) contributed to this work. Demographic data, obstetrical history, intrauterine phenotype, pregnancy outcome and genotypes were collected from local geneticists and obstetricians. US fetal examination and autopsy reports were collected, when available, to provide a precise description of the phenotype. Pregnant women were systematically referred to a fetal cardiologist when abnormal cardiac features were suspected during US follow up, or after 7q11.23 CNVs was identified. All cases had prenatal abnormalities detected by routine ultrasound examination and 21 fetuses underwent specific echocardiography. Post-natal evaluation and follow-up were also retrieved from pediatricians. Ethical approval was not required for this retrospective study.

In France, all the pregnant women (735196 live births in 2020) were offered a trisomy 21 screening by first-trimester biochemical serum markers, then a non-invasive prenatal testing was offered if the trisomy 21 combined risk is >1/1000. About 2% of pregnant women underwent an invasive prenatal diagnosis in France in 2020. All the chorionic villi samples (CVS)/amniocenteses performed for US fetal anomalies are analyzed by chromosomal microarray (CMA). Medical termination of pregnancy (TOP) can be requested at any time during pregnancy if an incurable and severe disease is diagnosed by genetic testing or antenatal imaging. In case of twin pregnancy, selective TOP can be performed in the affected fetus. Fetal autopsy was proposed to all pregnant women who chose medical TOP.

After informed consent of parents for genetic testing, amniotic fluid or CVS were obtained by obstetricians using their standard clinical procedures in the context of prenatal chromosomal diagnosis. In case of CVS before cytogenetic examinations (FISH, conventional karyotyping, and CMA), a double enzymatic digestion (trypsin then collagenase) was applied to the CVS in order to ensure adequate cell dissociation and avoid any possible cytogenetic discrepancy associated with the presence of cytotrophoblast cells. Blood samples were collected in postnatal diagnosis and from parents. Different cytogenetic techniques were then performed according to local laboratory's routine namely CMA (array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) or Single Nucleotide Polymorphism array (SNPa)), specific WBS FISH or prenatal BACs-on-BEADS<sup>™</sup> (BoB's). There is no consensus on the cytogenetic methodology for CNVs detection but all are related to standard of care over the time period of the study.

#### Results

The median maternal age was 31 years, ranging from 20 to 42. No relevant familial history was noted. Mean weeks of gestation (WG) at diagnosis was 28.2±6.3. Two patients (case#2 and #6) had post-natal diagnosis but were included because antenatal signs were detected during pregnancy. Indications for genetic investigations included IUGR (47,8%, 21/44), cardiovascular defects (25%, 11/44), polyhydramnios (11,4%, 5/44), short long bones (SLB) and/or short fetal femur length (SFFL) (9,1%, 4/44) and increased nuchal translucency (6,8%, 3/44). Fetal autopsy was performed in 11 cases (Table 1, Table 2).

Cytogenetics

Among the 44 fetuses, 38 thirty-nine genetic analyses were performed after amniocentesis, 4 were done after choriocentesis, 1 on fetal cordonal blood sampling and 1 was done postnatally on blood sampling. In 34 cases, deletions detected by aCGH encompassed the WBSCR, sizes ranged from 1.37 to 1.7 Mb, whereas 2 deletions were identified by BoB's, 2 by FISH using specific WBS probes and 2 by SNPa (size 1.4 and 1.87 Mb) (Table 1). All duplications were detected by aCGH and also encompassed the WBSCR with sizes ranging from 1.41 to 1.8 Mb (Table 2). All fetuses had isolated 7q11.23 CNVs.

Parental analysis of 7q11.23 deletion showed that deletions occurred *de novo* in 24 cases. In 16 cases the segregation couldn't be provided due to missing data or unavailable parents' samples. Parental analysis of 7q11.23 duplication showed that two CNVs occurred *de novo* (case#42 and #44), and two were inherited (parental phenotype not available).

#### Clinical findings

#### 7q11.23 microdeletion

Routine US follow up was collected for all 40 cases and specific fetal echocardiography was available for 21 fetuses. The most frequent abnormal features were IUGR (70,0%, 28/40), cardiovascular defects (30,0%, 12/40), SLB and/or SFFL (25,0%, 10/40), polyhydramnios (17,5%, 7/40) and protruding tongue (15,0%, 6/40) (Table 3, Fig1). The latter was noted after 32 WG in all fetuses. Acute estimation of fetal weight and its follow up was available for 16 cases. Of note, growth restriction began at 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester in 81,3% (13/16) fetuses and at 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester in 18,8% (3/16) fetuses. Upon the 16 cases with documented estimated fetal weight (EFW), 56,3% (9/16) had EFW below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile, 31,3% (5/16) had EFW below the 3<sup>rd</sup> percentile and 12,5% (2/16) had EFW below the 1<sup>st</sup> percentile. Of note, IUGR could not be definitely assessed in three fetuses (case#1 #11 and #26) with a gestational age below 18 WG. Other signs were less frequent and are summarized in Table 3. The most common cardiovascular defects were left-sided obstructive lesions of various severity, observed in

11/14 (78,6%) fetuses. Severe hypoplastic aortic arch was the most prevalent cardiovascular defect (42.9%, 6/14). SVAS was diagnosed postnatally in 2 cases (case#5 and #36) but detected on prenatal echocardiography solely in one fetus (case#34). One fetus (case#5) presented with PCDA complicated by severe biventricular failure, hydrops fetalis, fetal distress necessitating emergency delivery by caesarian section. Two fetuses (case#12 and #29) with complex cardiopathy were also reported (Table 4). Prenatal cardiovascular defects were found isolated in two cases, but most of the time it was associated with extra-cardiac features (83,3%, 10/12) such as IUGR (50%, 6/12) or protruding tongue (16,7%, 2/12).

Thirty pregnancies ended by a medical TOP and fetal autopsy reports were available for 11 cases (Table 1). The most recurrent pathology features were facial dysmorphism (long philtrum, infra-orbital crease, flat midface, depressed nasal bridge, anteverted nares, macrostomia, low-set ears), cardiovascular defects and fetal hypotrophy.

#### 7q11.23 microduplication

Four cases of 7q11.23 microduplication were also collected (Table 2). US signs were moderate and nonspecific. Notably, each feature was isolated except in one fetus (case#44) who harbored hyperechogenic kidneys, confirmed in postnatal follow up, and transitory echogenic fetal bowel. No fetal autopsy was performed.

#### Discussion

In this work we report prenatal manifestations of 40 cases with 7q11.23 microdeletion (WBS) and 4 cases with 7q11.23 microduplication. It appears that WBS is associated with a broad range of US signs with IUGR (70,0%), cardiovascular defects (30,0%), short long bone and/or short fetal femur length (25,0%) and polyhydramnios (17,5%) being the most frequent. In most cases (72,5%), more than two US features were identified. It is relevant to note that IUGR, that remains the major WBS US sign, generally occurs early in our series, from the 2nd trimester, with various severity. It is difficult to obtain universal criteria for IUGR as its definition can be

different among countries, but we have retained EFW at 10th percentile as threshold, following French guidelines and experience<sup>21–23</sup>. We observed unusual features as protruding tongue (15,0%) and buried penis (5,0%)<sup>4,5,11</sup>. Protruding tongue could be an emerging WBS specific US sign thanks to the improved quality of ultrasound evaluation; indeed, all the cases were recently diagnosed, from 2017 to 2020. It could correspond to a premature sign of future WBS neonate's hypotonia. Macroglossia, which can be assessed by tongue circumference measurement<sup>24</sup>, is commonly associated with protrusion of the tongue. However, it was not reported in our fetuses. Fetal autopsy provided supplemental features that were not detected by US, notably facial dysmorphism (case#16, #25, #28, #29 and #36) or cardiovascular defects (case#10 and #36). Conversely, US features were confirmed by autopsy in most cases (90,9%), suggesting the presence of a 'good' correlation of ultrasound images resolution to macroscopic pathology exam. Only 4 fetuses with a 7q11.23 duplication could be gathered and showed heterogeneous and isolated US signs.

Present series on the largest number of unrelated fetuses with CNVs in 7q11.23 confirms the diagnosis features already reported (IUGR, cardiac defects, polyhydramnios)<sup>4, 5, 11</sup> but underlines distinctive and so far unreported presentation. Indeed, some US features are more prevalent in our series compared to the literature, namely IUGR (70,0% VS 53,3%), and polyhydramnios (17,5% VS 3,3%)<sup>4,5,11</sup>. On the other hand, cardiovascular defects are less frequent in our series than in the literature (30,0% VS 56,7%)<sup>4,5,11</sup>. This could be explained by the following factors. First, the limited number of patients per series could reach to an inaccurate estimation of the prevalence of an anomaly (our prenatal WBS series being the largest ever published). Also, some TOP were decided on the basis of the genetic results, available earlier and earlier, whatever cardiovascular defects. Finally, the presence of cardiovascular defects cannot be strictly excluded in the fetuses that did not have echocardiography or autopsy. However, we expect that no cardiovascular defects have been missed in these fetuses as they all had US examination by a fetal referent practitioner. The main cardiovascular defects of WBS fetuses described so far included, in order of frequency:

SVAS, ventricular septal defects, aortic coarctation, pulmonary artery stenosis and right aortic arch <sup>4–12</sup>. Most of our WBS fetuses' cardiac features were left-sided obstructive lesions (79% of cardiac defects). Only one fetus was prenatally diagnosed with SVAS and no case with peripheral pulmonary stenosis, whereas these two features are the most frequent cardiac lesions described postnatally in WBS (50% and 22% respectively)<sup>16</sup>. The common aspect of the hourglass-shaped lesion described postnatally could be difficult to detect in a fetus whose ascending aorta is smaller.

In our series, the four fetuses harboring a 7q11.23 duplication had a milder phenotype than the three previously reported antenatal presentations, those cases were associated with choroid plexus cyst, ventriculomegaly and lissencephaly<sup>5,8</sup>. More cases would be needed to draw a precise phenotype. The high variability of these prenatal phenotypes increases the importance of genetic testing by CMA. Before the edge of routine CMA, targeted FISH required US specific signs for the diagnosis of microdeletion syndromes, hence prenatal diagnosis of WBS was unusual. On the other hand, variants of unknown significance newly identified by prenatal CMA has become an important issue<sup>25</sup>.

It has been hypothesized that *ELN* haploinsufficiency leads to the increase of proliferation of arterial smooth muscular cells, explaining arterial occlusion<sup>17,26</sup>. A more recent work raised the implication of a vascular deficient circumferential growth in aortic obstruction associated with elastin deficiency<sup>27</sup>. Interestingly we reported a case of PCDA that was associated with severe biventricular dysfunction. It is worth noting that PCDA is not a common finding in WBS. The DA takes part to the parallel circulation pattern by bypassing the non-compliant lungs during the *in utero* life<sup>28,29</sup>. After birth, inflation of the lungs and the consequent increase of oxygen levels lead to DA closure. PCDA, can occur secondary to maternal drug exposure or be idiopathic, most of time<sup>30</sup>. Only four cases of genetic PCDA were previously reported by Srinivasan et al<sup>12</sup>. They described 4 patients with a history of PCDA, one of them was diagnosed with WBS and the three other cases were diagnosed with Alagille syndrome. In several patients with 7q11.23 duplication, patent DA have been described<sup>31,32</sup>. We can

hypothesize that the *ELN* gene could be involved in DA physiology, as duplication leads to patent DA and deletion leads to PCDA. Clinicians following patients with point mutations in *ELN* should be aware of their potential effect on premature fetal DA closure during their future pregnancies.

To conclude, we bring additional data on 7q11.23 CNVs prenatal phenotype with the biggest series described so far. We confirmed that WBS often associates early IUGR and cardiovascular defect, with left-sided obstructive lesions being the most frequent. Technical improvement of prenatal imaging allowed to identify more abnormal features with strong postnatal findings correlation. Therefore, considering systematic reference to fetal cardiology in these cases could be relevant to predict postnatal morbidity. Few specific features have emerged including protruding tongue and DA abnormalities. DA should be monitored carefully in fetuses with 7q11.23 deletion or duplication. Further studies are needed to explore the possible implication of *ELN* gene in DA closure.

## **Figure legends**

**Figure 1: Ultrasound examination of fetus 4 at 33 weeks and 2 days of gestation**. Front view of 3D ultrasonography (A) and side view (B) showed typical WBS facial dysmorphia with protruding tongue, short upturned nose, large mouth, thick lips and long prominent philtrum. All features persisted at 35 weeks of gestation. Short long bone was also noticed.

Table 1: Demographic, clinical and genetic data from fetuses with 7q11.23 microdeletion. Increased nuchal translucency measurements are underlined. IUGR: intra uterine growth retardation, LSVC: left superior vena cava. ND: not determined. NP: not performed. NT: nuchal translucency measurement. PT: protruding tongue. SLB: short long bone. SUA: single umbilical artery. TOP: termination of pregnancy. UK: unknown. VSD: ventricular septal defect.

Table 2: Demographic, clinical and genetic data from fetuses with 7q11.23microduplication.NP: not performed.NT: nuchal translucency measurement.TOP:termination of pregnancy.

 Table 3: Fetal ultrasound features of fetuses with 7q11.23.
 IUGR: intrauterine growth

 retardation. SFFL: short fetal femur length.
 SLB: short long bone.

Table 4: Cardiovascular phenotype of fetuses with 7q11.23 microdeletions andmicroduplications. Grey boxes indicate post-natal findings. VSD: ventricular septal defect.AV: atrioventricular.

## Data availability statement

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and/or its supplementary materials.

#### References

1. Ferrero GB, Biamino E, Sorasio L, et al. Presenting phenotype and clinical evaluation in a cohort of 22 Williams–Beuren syndrome patients. Eur J Med Genet. 2007 Sep;50(5):327–37.

2. Bayés M, Magano LF, Rivera N, et al. Mutational Mechanisms of Williams-Beuren Syndrome Deletions. Am J Hum Genet. 2003 Jul;73(1):131–51.

3. Palacios-Verdú MG, Segura-Puimedon M, Borralleras C, et al. Metabolic abnormalities in Williams–Beuren syndrome. J Med Genet. 2015 Apr 1;52(4):248–55.

4. Yuan M, Deng L, Yang Y, et al. Intrauterine phenotype features of fetuses with Williams–Beuren syndrome and literature review. Ann Hum Genet. 2020 Mar;84(2):169–76.

5. Dang Y, Wan S, Zheng Y, et al. The Prenatal Diagnosis of Seven Fetuses with 7q11.23 Microdeletion or Microduplication. Fetal Pediatr Pathol. 2019 Aug 12;1–8.

6. Kontos H, Manolakos E, Malligiannis P, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of a fetus with 7q11.23 deletion detected by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) screening. Prenat Diagn. 2008 Jun;28(6):556–8.

7. Krzeminska D, Steinfeld C, Cloez JL, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of Williams syndrome based on ultrasound signs. Prenat Diagn. 2009 Jul;29(7):710–2.

8. Marcato L, Turolla L, Pompilii E, et al. Prenatal phenotype of Williams-Beuren syndrome and of the reciprocal duplication syndrome. Clin Case Rep. 2014 Apr;2(2):25–32.

9. Popowski T, Vialard F, Leroy B, et al. Williams-Beuren syndrome: the prenatal phenotype. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011 Dec;205(6):e6–8.

10. Kobalka AJ, Mrak RE, Gunning WT. A Case Report of in Utero Williams Syndrome Arterial Malformation. Fetal Pediatr Pathol. 2017 Nov 2;36(6):452–6.

11. Huang R, Zhou H, Fu F, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of Williams-Beuren syndrome by ultrasound and chromosomal microarray analysis. Mol Cytogenet. 2022 Jun 28;15(1):27.

12. Srinivasan S, Howley LW, Cuneo BF, et al. In-utero idiopathic ductal constriction: a prenatal manifestation of Alagille and Williams syndrome arteriopathy. J Perinatol. 2018 Nov;38(11):1453–6.

13. Collins RT, Kaplan P, Somes GW, et al. Cardiovascular abnormalities, interventions, and long-term outcomes in infantile Williams syndrome. J Pediatr. 2010 Feb;156(2):253-258.e1.

14. De Rubens Figueroa J, Rodríguez LMO, Hach JLP, et al. Cardiovascular Spectrum in Williams-Beuren Syndrome. Tex Heart Inst J. 2008;35(3):279–85.

15. Pasqua AD, Rinelli G, Toscano A, et al. New Findings concerning Cardiovascular Manifestations emerging from Long-term Follow-up of 150 patients with the Williams-Beuren-Beuren syndrome. Cardiol Young. 2009 Dec;19(6):563–7.

16. Honjo RS, Monteleone VF, Aiello VD, et al. CARDIOVASCULAR FINDINGS IN WILLIAMS– BEUREN SYNDROME : Experience of a single center with 127 cases. Am J Med Genet A. 2022 Feb;188(2):676–82.

17. Li DY, Brooke B, Davis EC, et al. Elastin is an essential determinant of arterial morphogenesis. Nature. 1998 May;393(6682):276–80.

18. Metcalfe K, Rucka AK, Smoot L, et al. Elastin: mutational spectrum in supravalvular aortic stenosis. Eur J Hum Genet. 2000 Dec;8(12):955–63.

19. Min S, Kinnear C, D'Alessandro LCA, et al. Genetic Diagnosis and the Severity of Cardiovascular Phenotype in Patients With Elastin Arteriopathy. Circ Genomic Precis Med [Internet]. 2020 Dec [cited 2022 Jun 2];13(6). Available from: https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCGEN.120.002971

20. Morris CA, Mervis CB, Paciorkowski AP, et al. 7q11.23 Duplication syndrome: Physical characteristics and natural history. Am J Med Genet A. 2015 Dec;167(12):2916–35.

21. Vayssière C, Sentilhes L, Ego A, et al. Fetal growth restriction and intra-uterine growth restriction: guidelines for clinical practice from the French College of Gynaecologists and Obstetricians. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2015 Oct 1;193:10–8.

22. Brun S, Pennamen P, Mattuizzi A, et al. Interest of chromosomal microarray analysis in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal intrauterine growth restriction. Prenat Diagn. 2018 Dec;38(13):1111–9.

23. Monier I, Receveur A, Houfflin-Debarge V, et al. Should prenatal chromosomal microarray analysis be offered for isolated fetal growth restriction? A French multicenter study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2021 Dec 1;225(6):676.e1-676.e15.

24. Achiron R, Ben Arie A, Gabbay U, et al. Development of the fetal tongue between 14 and 26 weeks of gestation: *in utero* ultrasonographic measurements: In utero tounge measurements. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 1997 Jan 1;9(1):39–41.

25. Vanakker O, Vilain C, Janssens K, et al. Implementation of genomic arrays in prenatal diagnosis: the Belgian approach to meet the challenges. Eur J Med Genet. 2014 Mar;57(4):151–6.

26. Urbán Z, Riazi S, Seidl TL, et al. Connection between elastin haploinsufficiency and increased cell proliferation in patients with supravalvular aortic stenosis and Williams-Beuren syndrome. Am J Hum Genet. 2002 Jul;71(1):30–44.

27. Jiao Y, Li G, Korneva A, et al. Deficient Circumferential Growth is the Primary Determinant of Aortic Obstruction Attributable to Partial Elastin Deficiency. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2017 May;37(5):930–41.

28. Rudolph AM. Fetal and Neonatal Pulmonary Circulation. Annu Rev Physiol. 1979 Oct;41(1):383–95.

29. Crockett SL, Berger CD, Shelton EL, et al. Molecular and mechanical factors contributing to ductus arteriosus patency and closure. Congenit Heart Dis. 2019 Jan;14(1):15–20.

30. Battistoni G, Montironi R, Di Giuseppe J, et al. Foetal ductus arteriosus constriction unrelated to non-steroidal anti-Inflammatory drugs: a case report and literature review. Ann Med. 2021 Jan 1;53(1):860–73.

31. Parrott A, James J, Goldenberg P, et al. Aortopathy in the 7q11.23 microduplication syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2015 Feb;167(2):363–70.

32. Van der Aa N, Rooms L, Vandeweyer G, et al. Fourteen new cases contribute to the characterization of the 7q11.23 microduplication syndrome. Eur J Med Genet. 2009 Jun;52(2–3):94–100.

Case	Maternal age (years)	Gestational age at diagnosis (weeks + days)	Indication for prenatal diagnosis	NT (mm)	Pregnancy outcome	Fetopathology	Genetic tests	ic Genomic coordinates (hg19)		
1	UK	13+2	Increased NT	5,1	ТОР	Isolated neck oedema	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn		
2	28	After birth	IUGR, hypoplastic nasal bone	1,19	Birth	NP	Karyotype, FISH	ND	ND	
3	32	35	Cardiovascular defects	UK	ТОР	IUGR, abnormal facial shape, aortic coarctation, cervical ribs	Array SNP, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72722981 -74138121)x1 dn	1,4 Mb	
4	31	36+6	SLB, protruding tongue	1,3	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(74139390 -72726578)x1	1,41 Mb	
5	36	33	Anasarca	UK	Birth	NP	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb	
6	26	After birth	Polyhydramnios	1,9	Birth	NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,41 Mb	
7	23	29+5	IUGR, facial dysmorphism, gyration delay	1,9	ТОР	conditions) on Wiley Online I	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72766313 -74133332)x1 dn	1,37 Mb	
8	30	32	SLB, polyhydramnios	1,5	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72766313 -74133332)x1 dn	1,37 Mb	
9	30	27+2	IUGR	1,7	TOP	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74119570)x1	1,39 Mb	
10	27	34	Polyhydramnios	1,59	ТОР	Muscular VSD, LSVC, duodenal atresia, annular pancreas	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,41 Mb	
11	31	16	Omphalocele	1,2	ТОР	Omphalocele, bilateral microphthalmia	SNP-array, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72407478 -74281516)x1 dn	1,87 Mb	
12	20	24+4	Cardiovascular defects	2,3	Birth	NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,4 Mb	
13	35	25	Cardiovascular defects	2,1	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb	
14	32	35	IUGR	1,5	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 1 -74139390)x1 dn W		

-									
15	31	34	IUGR, SUA, unilateral renal agenesis	0,9	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74339040)x1	1,6 Mb
16	35	33	Cardiovascular defects	1,4	ТОР	SLB, thin upper lip vermilion, broad philtrum, prominent earlobes, LSVC, asymetric large vessels and ventricles	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,4 Mb
17	30	18	IUGR	1,4	Selective TOP	NP	Array CGH, FISH	I, arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	
18	30	28	IUGR	1,3	UK	UK	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,4 Mb
19	42	23+3	Absent fetal nasal bone, fetal choroid plexus cysts	UK	UK	UK	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb
20	30	32+1	IUGR	1,2	ТОР	NP	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,4 Mb	
21	20	30+1	IUGR, unilateral renal agenesis	1,3	Birth	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726378 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb
22	22	26+5	IUGR	1,0	ТОР	onditions) on Wiley Online L	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,41 Mb
23	37	28	IUGR	0,9	ТОР	NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,41 Mb
24	37	29	IUGR	1,22	Intrauterine fetal death	Ovotestis, micropenis, umbilical cord knot with stricture	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139331)x1 dn	1.4 Mb
25	38	23	Cardiovascular defects	2,2	ТОР	IUGR, facial dysmorphism, abnormal cerebellum, hypoplastic left heart, mitral valve atresia, aortic atresia	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1.4 Mb
26	29	12+6	Increased NT	3,6	ТОР	UK	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726518 -74139450)x1 dn	1.4 Mb
27	33	30	Cardiovascular defects	2,3	ТОР	UK	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72721760 -74142327)x1 dn	1.4 Mb

28	27	32+5	Cardiovascular defects	2,1	ТОР	IUGR, facial dysmorphism (low set ears, absent antihelix, infra-orbital crease, bulbous nose, depressed nasal bridge, anteverted nares, exaggerated cupid's bow, thick lip, PT), overlapping toes, LSVC, perimembranous VSD, valvular pulmonary stenosis, bilateral ventricular hypertrophy	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390 )x1 dn	1,41 Mb
29	34	23+5	Cardiovascular defects	1	ТОР	Weight at 10th percentile, dysmorphism (microturridolichocephaly, infra-orbital crease, wide nasal bridge, macrostomia, PT, low-set ears, short neck), complex cardiopathy (right atrioventricular valve hypoplasia, large VSD with double outlet right ventricle, pulmonary aortic hypoplasia, retroesophageal right subclavian artery)	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1	1,41 Mb
30	37	33	IUGR, polyhydramnios	UK	ТОР	IUGR, no relevant morphogenic abnormality	Karyotype, FISH	ND	ND
31	31	34+3	Short fetal femur length	UK	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72721760 -74233342)x1	1.5 Mb
32	34	30+2	IUGR	1,4	UK	UK	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72719857 -74142327)x1	1.4 Mb
33	30	34+2	Cardiovascular defects, short fetal femur length	1,2	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH, karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72721760 -74361090)x1 dn	1.6 Mb
34	30	28	IUGR	UK	Birth	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72721760 -74172327)x1	1,4 Mb
35	33	34+6	Cardiovascular defects, IUGR	0,92	ТОР	NP	Bacs-on- Beads	ND	ND
36	31	32+4	IUGR	1	ТОР	Dysmorphism (malar hypoplasia, hypoplastic nasal bone, bulbous nose, wide mouth with thick lower lip, pointed chin, large earlobes, dolichocephalic skull, clinodactyly and brachymesophalangia of the 5 <sup>th</sup> fingers), hematic peritoneal, pleural and pericardial effusion, bilateral ectopic calcifications under the calcaneums, supra-valvular aortic narrowing and tortuosity of the ductus arteriosus.	Bacs-on- Beads	ND	ND

37	38	30+2	IUGR	2	Selective TOP	NP A		arr[hg19]7q11.23(72574585 -74285320)x1 dn	1,71 Mb
38	35	UK	IUGR, SUA	1,4	ТОР	NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(73312582 -74725057)x1 dn	1,4 Mb
39	24	30	IUGR	1,1	ТОР	NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb
40	25	23+5	IUGR, abnormal corpus callosum morphology	0,9	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578 -74139390)x1 dn	1,4 Mb
			Accepted Art						

Case	Maternal age (years)	Gestational age at diagnosis (weeks + days)	Indication for prenatal diagnosis	NT (mm)	Pregnancy outcome	Fetopathology	Genetic tests	Genomic coordinates (hg19)	CNVs Size
41	31	13+1	Increased NT	3,2	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, FISH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72476289- 74285345)x3 dn	1.8 Mb
42	42	33+3	Polyhydramnios	1,5 Birth		NP	Array CGH	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578- 74139390)x3 pat	1,41 Mb
43	39	27+6	Cardiovascular defects	1,67	ТОР	NP	Array CGH, qPCR	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578- 74139390)x3 dn	1,41 Mb
44	35	29	Hyperechogenic kidneys	1,4	Birth	NP	Array CGH; karyotype	arr[hg19]7q11.23(72726578- 74339044)x3 mat	1,6 Mb

Accepted Ar

	Total (40)	
IUGR	28	70,0%
SFFL/SFB	10	25,0%
Hypoplastic/absent nasal bone	3	7,5%
Increased nuchal translucency	2	5,0%
Cardiovascular defects	12	30,0%
Echogenic intracardiac focus	1	2,5%
Single umbilical artery	2	5,0%
Polyhydramnios	7	17,5%
Abnormality of skull size/shape	3	7,5%
Protruding tongue	6	15,0%
Duodenal atresia	1	2,5%
Small stomach	1	2,5%
Omphalocele	1	2,5%
Urinary tract abnormalities	2	5,0%
Buried penis	2	5,0%
Gyration delay	1	2,5%
Aplasia/Hypoplasia of the corpus callosum	1	2,5%
Fetal choroid plexus cysts	1	2,5%

			Deletion									Duplio	cation				
	Case			10	12	13	16	25	27	28	29	33	34	35	36	44	45
	Ventricle dysymmetry at the expand of left ventricle (non hypoplastic)						+			+							
	Supravalvular aortic stenosis		+										+		+		
Left-sided obstructive	Hypoplastic aortic arch	+	+			+	+		+					+			
lesions	Post natal aortic coarctation	+	+														
	Aortic coarctation syndrome (VSD + coarctation)					+								+			
	Interrupted aortic arch				+												
	Not detailed											+					
Hypoplastic left heart syndrome (with mitral and aortic atresia)								+									
Single ventricle	Double oulet right ventricle, hypoplastic right AV valve, pulmonary stenosis, hypoplastic pulmonary arteries, retroesophageal right subclavian artery										+						
Double outlet right ventricle	Transposition of the great arteries type with hypoplastic aortic arch				+				onditions) on W	ley Online Libra	ry for rules of use;	OA articles are g	werned by the ap	licable Creative	Commons License		
Right sided obstructive	Pulmonary valve stenosis		+							+							
lesions	Premature duct closure		+														
Atrioventricular septal defect																+	
	Left superior vena cava			+			+			+							
Others structural anomalies	Ascending aorta dilation																+
	Cardiomegaly		+														
Functional anomalies	Severe biventricular hypertrophy		+							+							
	Severe systolic dysfunction		+														







В