



HAL
open science

Association of caffeine consumption with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A BALTAZAR cohort study

David Blum, Emeline Cailliau, H el ene B ehal, Jean-s ebastien Vidal, Constance Delaby, Luc Bu ee, Bernadette Allinquant, Audrey Gabelle, St ephanie Bombois, Sylvain Lehmann, et al.

► To cite this version:

David Blum, Emeline Cailliau, H el ene B ehal, Jean-s ebastien Vidal, Constance Delaby, et al.. Association of caffeine consumption with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A BALTAZAR cohort study. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: the Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*, 2024, Online ahead of print. 10.1002/alz.14169 . hal-04669727

HAL Id: hal-04669727

<https://u-picardie.hal.science/hal-04669727v1>

Submitted on 4 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin ee au d ep ot et  a la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi es ou non,  emanant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche fran ais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv es.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association of caffeine consumption with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A BALTAZAR cohort study

David Blum^{1,2} | Emeline Cailliau³ | H  l  ne B  hal³ | Jean-S  bastien Vidal⁴ |
Constance Delaby^{5,6} | Luc Bu  e^{1,2} | Bernadette Allinquant⁷ | Audrey Gabelle⁸ |
St  phanie Bombois^{2,9} | Sylvain Lehmann⁵ | Susanna Schraen-Maschke^{1,2} |
Olivier Hanon⁴ | on behalf of BALTAZAR study group

¹University of Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, UMR-S1172 Lille Neuroscience & Cognition (LilNCog), Lille, France

²Alzheimer and Tauopathies, LabEx DISTALZ, Lille, France

³Department of Biostatistics, CHU Lille, Lille, France

⁴Universit   Paris Cit  , INSERM U1144, GHU APHP Centre, Hopital Broca, Memory Resource and Research Centre de Paris-Broca-Ile de France, Paris, France

⁵Laboratoire et Plateforme de Prot  omique Clinique, Universit   de Montpellier, INM INSERM, IRMB CHU de Montpellier, 80 av Fliche, Montpellier, France

⁶Sant Pau Memory Unit, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau - Biomedical Research Institute Sant Pau - Universitat Aut  noma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁷Universit   Paris Cit  , Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience, Inserm, UMR-S 1266, Paris, France

⁸Universit   de Montpellier, CHU Montpellier, Memory Research and Resources Center, Department of Neurology, Inserm INM NeuroPEPs Team, Excellence Center of Neurodegenerative Disorders, Montpellier, France

⁹Assistance Publique-H  pitaux de Paris (AP-HP), D  partement de Neurologie, Centre des Maladies Cognitives et Comportementales, GH Piti  -Salp  triere, Paris, France

Correspondence

David Blum and Susanna Schraen-Maschke,
University of Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille,
UMR-S1172 Lille Neuroscience & Cognition
(LilNCog), Lille, France.

Email: david.blum@inserm.fr and
susanna.schraen@inserm.fr

David Blum, Susanna Schraen-Maschke, and
Olivier Hanon co-directed this work.

Funding information

French Ministry of Health (Programme
Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique, PHRC
(Programme Hospitalier de Recherche
Clinique), Grant/Award Numbers:
PHRC2009/01-04, PHRC-13-0404;
Foundation Plan Alzheimer; ANR JANUS,
Grant/Award Number: ANR-21-CE14-0053;
PHRC-I CAFCA; LabEx DISTALZ

Abstract

INTRODUCTION: We investigated the link between habitual caffeine intake with memory impairments and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients.

METHODS: MCI ($N = 147$) and AD ($N = 116$) patients of the Biomarker of Amyloid pepTide and Alzheimer's disease Risk (BALTAZAR) cohort reported their caffeine intake at inclusion using a dedicated survey. Associations of caffeine consumption with memory impairments and CSF biomarkers (τ , p-tau181, amyloid beta 1-42 [$A\beta_{1-42}$], $A\beta_{1-40}$) were analyzed using logistic and analysis of covariance models.

RESULTS: Adjusted on Apolipoprotein E ($APOE \epsilon 4$), age, sex, education level, and tobacco, lower caffeine consumption was associated with higher risk to be amnesic (OR: 2.49 [95% CI: 1.13 to 5.46]; $p = 0.023$) and lower CSF $A\beta_{1-42}$ ($p = 0.047$), $A\beta_{1-42}/A\beta_{1-40}$ ($p = 0.040$), and $A\beta_{1-42}/p\text{-tau181}$ ($p = 0.020$) in the whole cohort.

DISCUSSION: Data support the beneficial effect of caffeine consumption to memory impairments and CSF amyloid markers in MCI and AD patients.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

   2024 The Author(s). *Alzheimer's & Dementia* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, caffeine, CSF biomarkers, memory, mild cognitive impairment

Highlights

- We studied the impact of caffeine consumption in the BALTAZAR cohort.
- Low caffeine intake is associated with higher risk of being amnesic in MCI/AD patients.
- Caffeine intake is associated with CSF biomarkers in AD patients.

1 | BACKGROUND

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is characterized by a progressive cognitive decline linked to both extracellular deposits of aggregated amyloid beta (A β) peptides into plaques and the intraneuronal aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins.¹ Besides aging, AD risk depends on various genetic and environmental factors.^{2,3}

Caffeine is the most widely consumed psychoactive agent worldwide via dietary intake from coffee, tea, or soda beverages.⁴ Coffee consumption has been inversely associated with total and cause-specific mortality in a large prospective cohort of participants aged 50 to 71 years at baseline (National Institutes of Health—AARP Diet and Health Study) with an 8-year follow-up.⁵ Compelling evidence supports acute caffeine's ability to increase/improve wakefulness, alertness, and memory ([6]; for a review see Cunha⁷ and van Dam et al.⁸). Various longitudinal, cross-sectional, and retrospective studies support the idea that habitual coffee/caffeine consumption reduces cognitive decline in the elderly^{9–18} (for reviews see ref. Cunha⁷ and Yelanchezian et al.¹⁹). Further, other works suggest that coffee/caffeine intake reduces dementia or AD risk.^{20–23} Caffeine consumption has also been associated with a decrease of behavioral symptoms in patients with dementia.²⁴ All these observations, obtained mostly during follow-up on non-demented elderly populations, have been acknowledged in meta-analysis studies.^{25–28}

Although experimental works using both amyloid and tau models show the beneficial impact of caffeine on the development of AD lesions^{29–31} (for a review see Cunha⁷ and Yelanchezian et al.¹⁹), it remains largely unclear whether caffeine consumption is associated with brain levels of amyloid and tau in MCI or AD individuals. Two studies support, in cognitively normal aged individuals, a significant association between lower coffee intake and a higher A β positivity, as seen by positron emission tomography (PET),^{32,33} but these data have been discussed elsewhere.³⁴ Notably, the link between caffeine intake and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, including amyloid peptides A β and tau protein, in individuals presenting with MCI and AD has been largely overlooked. Only one study could not find an association of caffeine consumption, caffeine concentration in plasma, or caffeine concentration in the CSF with the levels of the core AD CSF biomarkers, in a cohort that included 88 patients with AD or mild cognitive impairment (MCI).³⁵

In this context, the present study aimed to reinvestigate the link between habitual caffeine intake with CSF levels of amyloid A β 40, A β 42, tau, and p-tau in the clinically defined Biomarker of Amyloid peptide and Alzheimer's disease Risk (BALTAZAR) cohort, including non-amnesic MCI (naMCI), amnesic MCI (aMCI), and AD patients.

2 | METHODS**2.1 | Study population**

This study is ancillary to BALTAZAR, a multicenter (23 memory centers) prospective cohort study (ClinicalTrials.gov, Identifier #NCT01315639) including participants with MCI and AD at baseline from September 2010 to April 2015 and with an ongoing 3-year follow-up. All participants or their legal guardians gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Paris Ethics Committee (CPP Ile de France IV Saint Louis Hospital). All participants were Caucasian community dwellers and had caregivers. Inclusion criteria for AD participants were age ≥ 45 years, probable AD based on the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th Edition, Text Revision (DSM IV-TR), and the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria³⁶ and from mild to moderate stage (Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE] score ≥ 15). Inclusion criteria for MCI participants were age ≥ 70 years with MCI diagnostic criteria according to Petersen.³⁷ Exclusion criteria were non-AD dementia (ie, vascular dementia, Lewy body or Parkinson's disease dementia, and frontotemporal dementia); genetic forms of AD; major depression according to DSM IV-TR or geriatric depression scale $> 20/30$; other diseases that could interfere with cognitive evaluation; diseases with short-term survival; use of cholinesterase inhibitors or methyl-D-aspartate receptor partial antagonists before inclusion (for participants with MCI); and illiterateness or less than 4 years of education.

At baseline, all the participants underwent clinical, neuropsychological, and biological assessments, and participants without contraindications underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain examinations. Cognitive evaluations were performed by neuropsychologists after training programs to harmonize the evaluation. At inclusion, MCI and AD participants underwent a neuropsychological test battery that included global cognitive assessment with the MMSE (normal score

30/30), Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale (CDR sum of boxes: normal score 0/18). Functional disability was assessed using instrumental activities of daily living (IADL, normal score 14/14) (for the description of all tests performed in the cohort see Hanon et al.³⁸). MCI participants were then categorized as aMCI or naMCI according to the presence of memory impairment on the free and cued selective recall reminding test related to age, sex, and educational level.³⁹ Conversion to dementia in the naMCI group was very low (2.5%) compared to the aMCI group (22.4%).

2.2 | Habitual caffeine consumption

This study enrolled 263 patients (see flowchart in Figure S1) in which habitual caffeine intake was assessed using an in-house validated self-survey,⁴⁰ completed together by the patients and their caregivers. At inclusion, the daily intake of caffeine-containing items (coffee, tea, chocolate, sodas) was reported. The daily caffeine intake at inclusion was then calculated as milligrams per day. Participants were dichotomized according to their median caffeine consumption (216 mg/day), defining "low caffeine consumption" (≤ 216 mg/day) and "high caffeine consumption" (> 216 mg/day) groups.

2.3 | CSF and plasma biomarker measurements

Blood and CSF samples were collected at the same time. Investigators involved in the biological analysis were blinded to other assessments. A standard protocol was established beforehand and used throughout the study. CSF samples (> 4 mL) were centrifuged ($1000 \times g$, $+4$ C, 10 min) less than 4 h after collection using the same 10-mL polypropylene tube (Catalogue No. #62.610.201; Sarstedt, Germany), aliquoted into polypropylene protein low-binding tubes (LoBind microtube-ref 022431064; Eppendorf), and stored at -80°C . CSF tau, p-tau181, A β 40, and A β 42 were measured in duplicate using the same aliquot in a single centralized laboratory (IRMB, Montpellier, France) using commercially available ELISA kits (Euroimmun A β ₁₋₄₀ and A β ₁₋₄₂, Innostest httau and Innostest p-tau181). It is noteworthy that about half of patients did not accept lumbar puncture, which explains the missing data on CSF biomarkers.

Regarding plasma, all centers used the same 10 mL collection tube with EDTA (BD Vacutainer K2E Catalogue No. 367,525, Becton Dickinson, Rungis, France). After centrifugation ($2500 \times g$, 10 min), the supernatants were aliquoted into polypropylene protein low-binding tubes (LoBind-microtube, Ref #022431064; Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and stored at -80°C . Plasma A β 40 and A β 42 peptide assay was performed in a single centralized laboratory (Inserm UMR51172, Lille) using the INNO-BIA kit (Fujirebio Europe NV, formerly Innogenetics NV, Belgium), based on a multiplex xMAP technique with a LABScan-200 system (Luminex BV). Plasma p-tau181 was determined using a commercial Simoa plasma p-tau181 Advantage V1 kit, and plasma neurofilament light (NFL) using a commercial Simoa NF-light Advantage Kit (Quanterix, Billerica, MA, USA) in a single centralized laboratory

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- 1. Systematic review:** The authors reviewed the literature using traditional (eg, PubMed) sources, meeting abstracts, and presentations. While the impact of habitual caffeine consumption on age-related cognitive decline has been addressed in longitudinal studies and meta-analyses, its impact in mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients remains ill defined, in particular regarding cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers.
- 2. Interpretation:** Our data support an association of lower caffeine consumption with a higher risk of being amnesic as well as with deleterious changes in CSF biomarkers of MCI and AD patients.
- 3. Future directions:** The article proposes a framework for future interventional clinical studies aimed at evaluating the effect of caffeine on clinical progression and biomarker changes during the course of AD and at better understanding the associated biological mechanisms.

(IRMB, Montpellier, France). Internal quality controls (IQCs) represented by serum pool aliquots were used to monitor the accuracy of Simoa. All samples were measured after a single thaw.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are reported as frequency (percentage). Continuous variables are reported as mean (standard deviation [SD]) in the case of normal distribution or median (interquartile range [IQR]) otherwise. Normality of distributions was assessed using histograms and the Shapiro–Wilk test.

Patient characteristics and CSF biomarkers were compared between the three diagnostic groups (naMCI, aMCI, and AD) using chi-squared test (or Fisher's exact test in the case of an expected value < 5) for categorical variables and using analysis of variance or Kruskal–Wallis test for quantitative variables. CSF data were not imputed as the proportion of missing data was too important and not random. For MCI patients, association between biomarkers and conversion to AD were evaluated using a Cox proportional hazards model. The proportional hazards assumption and the log-linearity assumption for quantitative variables were assessed by examining the scaled Schoenfeld residuals plots and the Martingale residual plots. Hazard ratios were estimated with their 95% confidence interval (CI).

The impact of low or high caffeine consumption on the diagnostic group was evaluated using a multinomial logistic regression model adjusted on predefined confounding factors (Apolipoprotein E [APOE ϵ 4], age, sex, education level, and tobacco consumption). Odds ratios (ORs) were derived from models with their 95% CIs. The same

analysis was performed by regrouping aMCI and DA groups using a logistic regression model adjusted on predefined confounding factors.

The impact of low or high caffeine consumption on biomarkers was evaluated using an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) adjusted on predefined confounding factors and after applying a log transformation of the CSF biomarkers and plasma NfL levels. Mean difference was derived from models with their 95% CIs.

All statistical tests were done at the two-tailed α -level of 0.05 using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline

Two hundred and sixty-three participants of the BALTAZAR cohort with available caffeine data were included (40 naMCI, 107 aMCI, and 116 AD; Table 1). At baseline, among the 263 participants, 38.0% ($N = 100$) were males, 40.8% ($N = 106$) had at least a high school diploma, and 74.8% ($N = 193$) never smoked. The median MMSE score was 26 (IQR: 23 to 28) and 47.7% ($N = 114$) were APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers. The median caffeine consumption was 216 (IQR: 84 to 374) mg/day. During the clinical follow-up period (6 to 36 months), 24 MCI participants developed dementia and converted to probable AD. Analysis of CSF biomarkers at inclusion showed, as expected, higher CSF total tau (tau) and phospho-tau (p-tau181) levels and lower amyloid A β 42 levels, A β 42/A β 40, and A β 42/p-tau181 ratios in AD patients compared to naMCI and aMCI individuals. As shown in Table S1, we also described CSF and plasma biomarker changes in the MCI patients converting to dementia (mainly AD) versus non-converters: as in the whole BALTAZAR MCI cohort,⁴¹ we found higher CSF tau and p-tau181 levels; lower CSF A β 42 levels and A β 42/A β 40 and A β 42/p-tau181 ratios, and lower plasma A β 42/A β 40. Similarly, hippocampal volume, MMSE, and IADL were significantly lower, while CDR was higher in AD versus aMCI and naMCI (Table 1).

3.2 | Association of caffeine consumption with the diagnostic groups at baseline according to the cognitive status (AD, aMCI, naMCI)

Participants were dichotomized according to their median caffeine consumption (216 mg/day) in the overall cohort as well as in each subgroup (AD, aMCI, naMCI). Body mass index (BMI) was not significantly different between low and high caffeine consumers (mean BMI: 25.3 ± 3.8 in the low vs 25.2 ± 3.5 in the high consumer group; $p = .93$, Student's t test). At baseline, we did not find a statistical difference in caffeine intake between naMCI, aMCI, and AD patients (Table 1), suggesting that the development of AD is not associated with a change in consumption, at least versus MCI patients. Also, the median caffeine consumption calculated for MCI patient that convert (199.5 mg/day) or not (217.7 mg/day) was not found significantly different ($p = 0.88$).

However, after adjustment on APOE $\epsilon 4$, age, sex, education level, and tobacco consumption, and using the naMCI group as reference, we found a significant association of a lower caffeine consumption with a higher risk to be aMCI (OR: 2.72 [95% CI: 1.17 to 6.30]) and a similar effect size, even non-significant, for higher risk of being AD (OR: 2.31 [95% CI: 0.98 to 5.40]; Table 2). When aMCI and AD groups were combined, the association of a lower caffeine consumption with higher risk of being amnesic was significant (OR: 2.49 [95% CI: 1.13 to 5.46]; $p = 0.023$) (Table 2). Nevertheless, a Kaplan–Meyer analysis indicated that the rate of MCI conversion to AD was not modified regarding the caffeine consumption class ($p = 0.94$; not shown).

3.3 | Association of caffeine consumption with CSF and plasma biomarkers at baseline

Among the whole cohort, after adjustment on APOE $\epsilon 4$, age, sex, education level, and tobacco consumption, lower caffeine consumption was found to be associated with lower CSF A β 42 levels ($p = 0.047$) as well as lower A β 42/A β 40 ($p = 0.040$) and A β 42/p-tau181 ratio ($p = 0.020$; Table 3). No significant difference was observed regarding CSF total tau and p-tau181 levels based on caffeine consumption (Table 3). We also evaluated plasma biomarkers (p-tau181, A β 42, A β 40, and NfL) but did not observe significant differences per the caffeine consumption group (Table S2).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the impact of caffeine consumption on clinical outcomes and biomarkers at baseline in the BALTAZAR cohort, including MCI and AD patients. Our data show an association of a lower caffeine consumption with memory disorders related to AD and aMCI at inclusion. Importantly, our data also demonstrate that caffeine is associated with changes in CSF biomarkers of AD patients, particularly with regard to the amyloid component.

The association fits well with few, and potentially underpowered, previous retrospective studies investigating the impact of caffeine consumption on populations of patients presenting with MCI or AD. Maia and de Mendonça²¹ showed a significantly lower average consumption of caffeine (74 mg/day, $N = 54$) during the 20 years preceding AD development in subjects as compared with age-matched non-demented subjects (approximately 200 mg/day, $N = 54$). In the same way, Cao et al.⁴² suggested that plasma caffeine concentrations were approximately 50% lower in subjects converting to dementia ($N = 15$) (presumably comparable to our aMCI group at high risk of converting to dementia) versus subjects that did not convert ($N = 9$) (presumably comparable to our naMCI group). Interestingly, one study,⁴³ in line with experimental data,⁴⁴ found that higher caffeine intake was associated with better function in overall cognition, encompassing episodic memory, executive function, semantic categorization, and working memory, in a cohort of approximately 600 subjects presenting with diabetes, known to be a significant risk factor for dementia,⁴⁵

TABLE 1 General characteristics, global cognitive assessment, and CSF biomarkers at baseline.

	Overall		naMCI		aMCI		AD		p-value
	N	N = 263	N	N = 40	N	N = 107	N	N = 116	
Age (years)	263	77 ± 6	40	76 ± 5	107	77 ± 5	116	78 ± 7	0.11
Male (N, %)	263	100 (38.0)	40	9 (22.5)	107	50 (46.7)	116	41 (35.3)	0.019
Education level (N, %)	260		38		106		116		0.038
Primary		47 (18.1)		8 (21.1)		13 (12.1)		26 (22.6)	
Secondary		107 (41.2)		10 (26.3)		45 (42.1)		52 (45.2)	
High school diploma or above		106 (40.8)		20 (52.6)		49 (45.8)		37 (32.2)	
Tobacco (N, %)	258		38		105		115		NA
Never		193 (74.8)		29 (76.3)		73 (69.5)		91 (79.1)	
Current		7 (2.7)		2 (5.3)		3 (2.9)		2 (1.7)	
Former		58 (22.5)		7 (18.4)		29 (27.6)		22 (19.1)	
BMI (kg/m²)	262	25.2 ± 3.6	40	24.5 ± 3.2	106	24.9 ± 3.3	116	25.8 ± 3.9	0.058
Caffeine (mg/day)	263	216 (84 to 374)	40	266 (182 to 427)	107	197 (79 to 332)	116	216 (76 to 385)	0.16
Low-caffeine group (≤216 mg/day)		132 (50.2)		15 (37.5)		59 (55.1)		58 (50.0)	
High-caffeine group (>216 mg/day)		131 (49.8)		25 (62.5)		48 (44.9)		58 (50.0)	
Comorbidity (N, %)									
Hypertension	261	190 (72.8)	38	28 (70.0)	107	82 (76.6)	116	80 (70.2)	0.51
Mellitus diabetes	238	35 (14.7)	38	4 (10.5)	99	14 (14.1)	101	17 (16.8)	0.63
Dyslipidemia	260	106 (40.8)	39	20 (50.0)	105	45 (42.5)	116	41 (36.0)	0.27
History of stroke or TIA	262	18 (6.9)	39	0 (0.0)	107	9 (8.5)	116	9 (7.8)	0.16
History of depression	261	59 (22.6)	38	11 (27.5)	107	25 (23.8)	116	23 (19.8)	0.56
Relative hippocampal volume	229	0.33 ± 0.09	35	0.40 ± 0.05	91	0.35 ± 0.08	103	0.29 ± 0.09	<0.001
APOE ε4 carrier (N, %)	239	114 (47.7)	40	12 (30.0)	105	50 (47.6)	94	52 (55.3)	0.027
Global cognitive assessment									
CDR sum of boxes (/18)	263	2.0 (0.5 to 4.0)	40	0.5 (0.5 to 0.6)	107	1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)	116	4.3 (2.5 to 5.6)	<0.001
MMSE (/30)	252	26 (23 to 28)	37	28 (27 to 30)	105	27 (25 to 28)	110	23 (20 to 25)	<0.001
IADL score (/14)	257	13 (11 to 14)	39	14 (14 to 14)	105	14 (12 to 14)	113	11 (7 to 13)	<0.001
CSF biomarkers									
Aβ40 (pg/mL)	107	6963 (5925 to 8647)	18	6801 (6293.0 to 9547)	49	7350 (5925 to 9023)	40	6799 (58278 to 8182)	0.48
Aβ42 (pg/mL)	107	589 (411 to 956)	18	853 (673 to 1030)	49	667 (431 to 1183)	40	471 (403 to 624)	<0.001
Aβ42/Aβ40 (%)	107	8.2 (6.7 to 13.2)	18	11.2 (8.3 to 14.3)	49	9.3 (6.7 to 15.6)	40	7.3 (6.1 to 8.7)	<0.001
tau (pg/mL)	118	399 (309 to 625)	18	311 (214 to 410)	56	371 (280 to 600)	44	596 (392 to 710)	<0.001
p-tau181 (pg/mL)	120	61 (48 to 82)	18	52 (45 to 60)	57	56 (48 to 77)	45	69 (63 to 98)	<0.001
Aβ42/p-tau	103	9.2 (5.7 to 19.3)	18	15.5 (11.6 to 22.8)	47	11.5 (6.5 to 21.9)	38	7.0 (4.8 to 9.5)	<0.001

Note: Values are presented as mean ± SD or median (interquartile range) for quantitative variables and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; aMCI: amnesic mild cognitive impairment; BMI, body mass index; CDR SOB, Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; naMCI, non-amnesic mild cognitive impairment; N, number of available observations; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

as are aMCI individuals.⁴⁶ These data support a benefit of habitual caffeine consumption in at-risk individuals that would warrant extending more broadly cognitive endophenotypes at MCI inclusion and follow-up regarding caffeine consumption. Besides an impact on brain lesions (see following discussion), association between caffeine consumption and memory might primarily relate to the ability of

caffeine to act on synaptic plasticity. Indeed, in rodents, caffeine's effect on hippocampal slices is to enhance basal synaptic transmission, long-term potentiation (LTP), and sharp wave-ripple complexes, which underlie memory consolidation.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ Caffeine also controls neuronal excitability and LTP-like effects in the human cortex.^{51,52} More recently, we also demonstrated that regular caffeine intake acted

TABLE 2 Association of caffeine consumption according to cognitive status (naMCI, aMCI, AD).

Overall	N = 263	Low caffeine consumption N = 132	High caffeine consumption N = 131	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
naMCI_aMCI_AD (N, %)					
naMCI	40 (15.2)	15 (11.4)	25 (19.1)	1.00 (réf.)	–
aMCI	107 (40.7)	59 (44.7)	48 (36.6)	2.72 (1.17 to 6.30)	0.019
AD	116 (44.1)	58 (43.9)	58 (44.3)	2.31 (0.98 to 5.40)	0.054
naMCI_aMCI+AD (N, %)					
naMCI	40 (15.2)	15 (11.4)	25 (19.1)	1.00 (réf.)	–
aMCI+AD	223 (84.8)	117 (88.6)	106 (80.9)	2.49 (1.13 to 5.46)	0.023

Note: Values are presented as frequency (percentage). Odds ratios and p values were adjusted for APOE ε4, age, sex, education level, and tobacco consumption. Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; aMCI, amnesic mild cognitive impairment; CI, confidence interval; naMCI, non-amnesic mild cognitive impairment; N, number of available observations.

TABLE 3 Association of caffeine consumption with CSF biomarkers at baseline.

CSF biomarkers	N	Low caffeine consumption N = 132	N	High caffeine consumption N = 131	Mean difference (95% CI)	p-value
tau (pg/mL)	55	400 (311 to 627)	63	385 (287 to 622)	0.14 (–0.06 to 0.35)	0.17
p-tau181 (pg/mL)	56	62 (49 to 84)	64	60 (47 to 80)	0.13 (–0.03 to 0.30)	0.11
Aβ42 (pg/mL)	50	577 (411 to 953)	57	658 (431 to 956)	–0.21 (–0.41 to 0.00)	0.040
Aβ40 (pg/mL)	50	7075 (5934 to 8647)	57	6795 (5874 to 9007)	–0.05 (–0.18 to 0.09)	0.47
Aβ42/Aβ40 (%)	50	8.1 (6.7 to 14.0)	57	8.4 (6.8 to 12.9)	–0.17 (–0.32 to –0.01)	0.047
CSF Aβ42/p-tau	49	8.5 (5.7 to 17.2)	54	10.5 (6.5 to 21.3)	–0.34 (–0.61 to –0.06)	0.020

Note: Values are presented as median (IQR). Mean differences and p values were calculated on log transformed data and were adjusted for APOE ε4, age, sex, education level, and tobacco consumption, using high caffeine consumption as reference.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; N, number of available observations.

on epigenomic and transcriptional processes that would improve the signal-to-noise ratio during information encoding, favoring the functioning of circuits involved in learning.⁵³ The beneficial effect of caffeine on synaptic plasticity during MCI and AD would be particularly ascribed to its ability to block adenosine receptors,^{4,7} particularly the A_{2A}R subtypes.⁵⁴

Importantly, we showed a significant association across the BALTAZAR cohort between lower caffeine intake and lower CSF levels of Aβ42 as well as Aβ42/Aβ40 and Aβ42/p-tau181 ratios. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report an association between caffeine and CSF AD biomarkers. Only one previous study addressed, unsuccessfully, such an association in another population.³⁵ However, this study included a more limited number of subjects (37 MCI and 51 AD), the biomarker assay techniques were different, and, for an unclear reason, the median caffeine consumption was two times lower (around 100 mg/day) than in our population. Using our in-house survey – developed to obtain more precise information on consumption habits than caffeine unit or mugs – and considering all types of caffeine consumption and volume ingested, we estimated the median consumption of our population to be 216 mg/day. This amount was in line with previous studies in cohorts of a similar age^{18,32,43} and the average consumption in French population as shown by Fredholm et al.⁴ Our CSF data

point to a particular impact of caffeine on amyloid burden. This is in accordance with a Korean study³³ that included elderly subjects (mean age 71 years old) without dementia (282 cognitively normal and 129 with MCI), showing an association between coffee consumption greater than two cups/day (ie, about 200 mg) with amyloid Pittsburgh compound B (PIB)-PET positivity. Another recent study involving only cognitively normal subjects (mean age 71 years) also found a significant association between higher caffeine consumption and slower accumulation of cerebral amyloid load measured by PIB-PET during a 126-month follow-up.³²

We also evaluated plasma biomarkers, except plasma total tau (t-tau) levels, as it has been acknowledged that they do not provide valuable information regarding tau brain levels. Indeed, plasma t-tau concentrations do not correlate with CSF t-tau or PET and neuropathological evidence of AD.^{55–58} Evaluation of plasma p-tau181 and Aβ did not reveal differences in connection with caffeine consumption. Such a lack of a difference could be explained by the smaller variations observed in amyloid and p-tau levels in plasma compared to CSF. Indeed, in the BALTAZAR cohort, we previously observed an association between CSF biomarkers and plasma amyloid levels as well as plasma p-tau.^{38,59} However, the difference or lack thereof in mean levels between MCI converters was respectively for plasma and

CSF: -2.1 and -283 pg/mL for $A\beta_{1-42}$ and $+0.9$ and $+21.7$ pg/mL for p-tau181.^{41,59} Therefore, the lack of difference in the present study could be simply due to a lack of statistical power linked to an insufficient number of patients with known caffeine consumption. Alternatively, this could also suggest that caffeine mainly has a direct central effect on brain processes rather an indirect effect through peripheral inflammation, amyloid clearance, or metabolism. Regarding plasma NFL levels, also not associated with caffeine consumption, we previously showed in the BALTAZAR cohort that they were not linked to conversion to dementia.⁶⁰ Therefore, the observed variations of CSF amyloid levels based on caffeine consumption in our study are compatible with the lack of plasma NFL variations. NFL is well known as a non-specific biomarker for neurodegeneration.⁶¹ The lack of difference observed for plasma NFL also therefore suggests a lack of caffeine protection on a terminal neurodegenerative process but an upstream effect on amyloidogenic pathway and/or neuronal plasticity.

Several mechanisms might indeed explain the effect of caffeine on amyloid pathology revealed by our CSF biomarker results. In vitro data by Sharma et al.⁶² showed that the hydrophobic core-recognition motif of amyloid formation was physically blocked by caffeine, thereby abolishing self-assembly formation. Also, Janitschke et al.⁶³ showed that caffeine was able to decrease $A\beta$ levels by shifting the amyloid precursor protein (APP) processing from the $A\beta$ -producing amyloidogenic to the non-amyloidogenic pathway. Accordingly, several studies showed that amyloid mice treated with chronic caffeine administration had lower hippocampal $A\beta$ levels associated with a reduced presenilin 1 (PS1) and β -secretase (BACE1) levels.^{29,30,64} These observations are likely in line with the ability of the A_{2A} receptor, an important pharmacological target of caffeine, to modulate $A\beta$ production in vitro⁶⁵ and in vivo.⁶⁶ Further, in addition to acting on processes driving amyloid peptide formation and/or aggregation, caffeine could also enhance brain amyloid clearance in C57Bl6/J mice.⁶⁷ In agreement with this, as hypothesized, caffeine would be likely to increase the production of CSF,⁶⁸ enhancing CSF turnover and, subsequently, presumably improving $A\beta$ brain clearance (for a review see Mehta and Mehta⁶⁹). This attractive hypothesis, however, awaits definitive verification. Non-mutually exclusively, the effect of caffeine on amyloid load might also rely on its ability to restrain neuroinflammatory processes, which are known to impair the clearance of amyloid lesions by glial cells (see Launay et al.⁷⁰ and Hansen et al.⁷¹ for reviews).

Puzzlingly, caffeine does not seem to impact CSF tau and p-tau. The underlying reasons remain unclear. Actually, in sharp contrast to amyloid model studies, only a few works tried to link caffeine to tau. In a previous in vitro study,⁷² caffeine was shown to block the cell cycle at the G1 phase in neuroblastoma cells and lead to a decrease in tau phosphorylation. But the model used might not be relevant to AD. Only one study by our team demonstrated the beneficial impact of caffeine in a mouse model of AD-like tauopathy.³¹ In that work, we showed that caffeine improved memory, slightly decreasing hippocampal p-tau, without any effect on tau aggregation, but showing rather a strong impact on tau truncation. However, another study performed in a diabetes model showing increased p-tau supported the notion that caffeine exacerbates tau hyperphosphorylation by pro-

moting hypothermia.⁷³ Therefore, the link between caffeine and tau remains unclear and warrants further investigation.

Overall, the strength of the present study is that it allows for the possibility of addressing the impact of habitual caffeine consumption in a cohort including only MCI and AD patients very well described at the clinical, neuropsychological, MRI, and CSF biomarker levels, as well as for the possibility of adjusting statistical analyses of known confounding factors for AD (APOE ϵ 4, age, sex, education level) and caffeine intake (smoking). However, our study had several limitations. First, we used a survey previously used in patients with Huntington's disease (HD). As indicated in our initial paper,⁴⁰ the reliability of the survey was assessed by a retest and found to be excellent. However, that survey was not re-validated in the present population of MCI and AD patients. Nevertheless, it is particularly noteworthy that the survey was completed by both patients and caregivers, minimizing the risk of error. We are therefore confident of the reliability of our survey, since coffee intake is less prone to being misreported due to its long-term habitual nature.

Notably, the median consumption measured in the BALTAZAR cohort (216 mg/day) is very close to what we that measured in our previous HD study (median 190 mg/day) as well as in another ongoing yet unpublished study on patients diagnosed with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (median 250 mg/day; not shown). One limit of the present study is, however, that we only estimated the intake at inclusion and did not determine consumption of the previous 10 or 20 years, but our consumption data fit with the reported habitual caffeine consumption in the overall French population by Fredholm et al. (239 mg/day⁴). This supports the idea that, despite our survey being only used to quantify caffeine consumption at inclusion, the values may very well reflect long-lasting habitual caffeine consumption. This question is, however, relevant since patients may radically change their lifestyles with the diagnosis of life-threatening diseases but also because caffeine-associated neuroprotection may result from long-term caffeine intake rather than from the actual caffeine intake. In a retrospective case-control study by Maia and Mendonca²¹ in Portuguese individuals, daily caffeine consumption was calculated for the period from early adulthood (age 25 years old) to 20 years before diagnosis of AD, but also for the period after the diagnosis of AD up to the time of the survey at inclusion. In this study, patients with AD had an average daily caffeine intake during the 20 years that preceded diagnosis of AD of 73.9 ± 97.9 mg, whereas the controls had an average daily caffeine intake of 198.7 ± 135.7 mg during the corresponding 20 years of their lifetime, the latter amount being actually comparable to our patients' consumption levels. During the period from young adulthood (25 years old) to 20 years before diagnosis, in Maia's study, AD patients already had a lower average daily caffeine intake. The average daily caffeine intake further declined in AD patients after the diagnosis, reaching a value of 36.3 ± 64.1 mg, while matched controls remained stable.

In another study by Cao et al.,⁴² MCI patients converting to AD exhibited lower plasma caffeine levels compared with non-converter MCI patients. Therefore, differences in caffeine consumption before the onset of any memory decline may in fact exist. Nevertheless, our

current data do not fit at all with these observations. Indeed, at baseline, we did not find a statistical difference in caffeine intake between naMCI, aMCI, and AD patients, suggesting that the development of AD is not associated with a change in consumption, at least when compared with MCI patients. Further, the median caffeine consumption calculated for MCI patients that convert to AD (199.5 mg/day) or not (217.7 mg/day) was similar ($p = 0.88$). Therefore, in our cohort, it seems that we did not observe a drop in caffeine consumption linked to the development of memory deficits or AD. Our results are rather in line with the study of Solfrizzi and al.,¹⁴ where no significant variations of caffeine consumption could be observed in MCI patients during a mean follow-up of 3 years.

The BALTAZAR cohort is a prospective study, and although the existing literature mostly evaluates the impact of caffeine at baseline,¹⁹ evaluating cognition over 3 years from inclusion of MCI and AD patients would have been essential to estimate the potential consumption changes versus cognitive decline as suggested by Solfrizzi et al.¹⁴ The lack of consumption data during follow-up visits in the present multicentric study precludes performing an association study between caffeine intake and decline without bias. This is another limit, and prospective studies on cognition, biomarkers, and pathological evolution in MCI and AD patients should be carefully paired with a longitudinal evaluation of caffeine consumption. Finally, while in our study the sample size was larger than in the previous study of Travassos et al.,³⁵ it remained limited, in particular regarding the naMCI group. Another limitation of our cross-sectional study is that the associations observed did not allow us to establish with certainty a cause-and-effect relationship between caffeine consumption and the effects observed. Causality can only be demonstrated by a randomized double-blind interventional trial comparing patients treated with a caffeine arm or a placebo. This is the reason why we set up the interventional Effect of CAFFEINE on Cognition in Alzheimer's Disease clinical phase 3 trial (NCT04570085), which is currently recruiting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The BALTAZAR study is supported by two grants from the French Ministry of Health (Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique, PHRC), PHRC2009/01-04 and PHRC-13-0404, and by the Foundation Plan Alzheimer. DB is supported by ANR JANUS (ANR-21-CE14-0053) and PHRC-I CAFCA. LB and DB are supported by grants from Programmes d'Investissements d'Avenir LabEx (excellence laboratory) DISTALZ (Development of Innovative Strategies for a Transdisciplinary approach to ALZheimer's disease).

Collaborators: The BALTAZAR Study Group

Olivier Hanon [1], Frédéric Blanc [2], Yasmina Boudali [1], Audrey Gabelle [3], Jacques Touchon [3], Marie-Laure Seux [1], Hermine Lenoir [1], Catherine Bayle [1], Stéphanie Bombois [4], Christine Delmaire [4], Xavier Delbeuck [5], Florence Moulin [1], Emmanuelle Duron [6], Florence Latour [7], Matthieu Plichart [1], Sophie Pichierri [8], Galdric Orvoën [1], Evelyne Galbrun [9], Giovanni Castelnuovo [10], Lisette Volpe-Gillot [11], Florian Labourée [1], Pascaline Cassagnaud [12], Claire Paquet [13], Françoise Lala [14], Bruno Vellas [14], Julien Dumurgier [13], Anne-Sophie Rigaud [1], Christine Perret-Guillaume

[15], Eliana Alonso [16], Foucaud du Boisgueheneuc [17], Laurence Hugonot-Diener [1], Adeline Rollin-Sillaire [12], Olivier Martinaud [18], Clémence Bouilly [1], Yann Spivac [19], Agnès Devendeville [20], Joël Belmin [21], Philippe Robert [22], Thierry Dantoine [23], Laure Cail-lard [1], David Wallon [24], Didier Hannequin [18], Nathalie Sastre [14], Sophie Haffen [25], Anna Kearney-Schwartz [15], Jean-Luc Novella [26], Vincent Deramecourt [12], Valérie Chauvire [27], Gabiel Abitbol [1], Nathalie Schwald [19], Caroline Hommet [28], François Sellal [29], Marie-Ange Cariot [16], Mohamed Abdellaoui [30], Sarah Benisty [31], Salim Gherabli [1], Pierre Anthony [29], Frédéric Bloch [32], Nathalie Charasz [1], Sophie Chauvelier [1], Jean-Yves Gaubert [1], Guillaume Sacco [22], Olivier Guerin [22], Jacques Boddaert [33], Marc Paccalin [17], Marie-Anne Mackowiak [12], Marie-Thérèse Rabus [9], Valérie Gissot [34], Athanase Benetos [15], Candice Picard [20], Céline Guillemaud [35], Gilles Berrut [8], Claire Gervais [22], Jacques Hugon [13], Jean-Marc Michel [29], JeanPhilippe David [19], Marion Paulin [12], Pierre-Jean Ousset [14], Pierre Vandel [36], Sylvie Pariel [21], Vincent Camus [37], Anne Chawakilian [1], Léna Kermanac'h [1], Anne-Cécile Troussiere [12], Cécile Adam [23], Diane Dupuy [20], Elena Paillaud [16], Hélène Briault [9], Isabelle Saulnier [38], Karl Mondon [37], Marie-Agnès Picat [23], Marie Laurent [16], Olivier Godefroy [20], Rezki Daheb [16], Stéphanie Libercier [29], Djamilia Krabchi [1], Marie Chupin [39], JeanSébastien Vidal [1], Edouard Chaussade [1], Christiane Baret-Rose [40], Sylvain Lehmann [41], Bernadette Allinquant [40], Susanna Schraen-Maschke [4].

- [1] Université de Paris, EA 4468, APHP, Hôpital Broca, Memory Resource and Research Centre of de Paris-Broca-Ile de France, F-75013 Paris, France.
- [2] Université de Strasbourg, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, CM2R, pôle de Gériatrie, Laboratoire ICube, FMTS, CNRS, équipe IMIS, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.
- [3] Université de Montpellier, CHU Montpellier, Memory Research and Resources center of Montpellier, department of Neurology, Inserm INM NeuroPEPs team, excellence center of neurodegenerative disorders, F-34000 Montpellier, France.
- [4] Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U1172-LiNCog, LiCEND, LabEx DISTALZ, F-59000 Lille, France.
- [5] Univ. Lille, Inserm U1171 Degenerative and Vascular Cognitive Disorders, F-59000 Lille, France.
- [6] Université Paris-Saclay, APHP, Hôpital Paul Brousse, département de gériatrie, Équipe MOODS, Inserm 1178, F-94800 Villejuif, France.
- [7] Centre Hospitalier de la Côte Basque, Department of Gerontology, F-64100 Bayonne, France.
- [8] Université de Nantes, EA 4334 Movement-Interactions-Performance, CHU Nantes, Memory Research Resource Center of Nantes, Department of clinical gerontology, F-44000 Nantes, France.
- [9] Sorbonne Université, APHP, Centre Hospitalier Dupuytren, Department of Gérontology 2, F-91210 Draveil, France.
- [10] CHU de Nîmes, Hôpital Caremeau, Neurology Department, F-30029 Nîmes, France.

- [11] Hôpital Léopold Bellan, Service de Neuro-Psycho-Gériatrie, Memory Clinic, F-75014 Paris, France.
- [12] Univ. Lille, CHU de Lille, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Lille, Department of Neurology, F-59000 Lille, France.
- [13] Université de Paris, APHP, Groupe Hospitalier Saint Louis-Lariboisière-Fernand Widal, Center of Cognitive Neurology, F-75010 Paris, France.
- [14] Université de Toulouse III, CHU La Grave-Casselardit, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Midi-Pyrénées, F-31300 Toulouse, France.
- [15] Université de Lorraine, CHRU de Nancy, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Lorraine, F-54500 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.
- [16] Université de Paris, APHP, Hôpital européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Gériatrie, F-75015, Paris, France.
- [17] CHU de Poitiers, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Poitiers, F-86000 Poitiers, France.
- [18] CHU Charles Nicolle, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Haute-Normandie, F-76000 Rouen, France.
- [19] APHP, Centre Hospitalier Émile-Roux, Department of Gerontology 1, F-94450 Limeil-Brévannes, France.
- [20] CHU d'Amiens-Picardie, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Amiens-Picardie, F-80000 Amiens, France.
- [21] Sorbonne Université, APHP, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitie-Salpêtrière-Charles-Foix, Service de Gériatrie Ambulatoire, F-75013 Paris, France.
- [22] Université Côte d'Azur, CHU de Nice, Memory Research Resource Center of Nice, CoBTek lab, F-06100 Nice, France.
- [23] CHU de Limoges, Memory Research Resource Center of Limoges, F-87000 Limoges, France.
- [24] Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, Inserm U1245, CHU de Rouen, Department of Neurology and CNR-MAJ, Normandy Center for Genomic and Personalized Medicine, CIC-CRB1404, F-76000, Rouen, France.
- [25] CHU de Besançon, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Besançon Franche-Comté, F-25000 Besançon, France.
- [26] Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, EA 3797, CHU de Reims, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Champagne-Ardenne, F-51100 Reims, France.
- [27] CHU d'Angers, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Angers, F-49000 Angers, France.
- [28] CHRU de Tours, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Tours, F-37000 Tours, France.
- [29] Université de Strasbourg, CHRU de Strasbourg, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Strasbourg/Colmar, Inserm U-118, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.
- [30] Univ Paris Est Creteil, EA 4391 Excitabilité Nerveuse et Thérapeutique, CHU Henri Mondor, Department of Neurology, F-94000 Créteil, France.
- [31] Hôpital Fondation Rothschild, Department of Neurology, F-75019 Paris, France.
- [32] CHU d'Amiens-Picardie, Department of Gerontology, F-80000 Amiens, France.
- [33] Sorbonne Université, APHP, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitie-Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, Memory Resource and Research Centre, Centre des Maladies Cognitives et Comportementales IM2A, Inserm UMR 8256, F-75013 Paris, France.
- [34] Université François-Rabelais de Tours, CHRU de Tours, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Tours, Inserm CIC 1415, F-37000 Tours, France.
- [35] Sorbonne Université, APHP, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitie-Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, Memory Resource and Research Centre, Centre des Maladies Cognitives et Comportementales IM2A, F-75013 Paris, France.
- [36] Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Laboratoire de Recherches Intégratives en Neurosciences et Psychologie Cognitive, CHU de Besançon, Memory Resource and Research Centre of Besançon Franche-Comté, F-25000 Besançon, France.
- [37] Université François-Rabelais de Tours, CHRU de Tours, UMR Inserm U1253, F-37000 Tours, France.
- [38] Université de Limoges, EA 6310 HAVAE, CHU de Limoges, Memory Research Resource Center of Limoges, F-87000 Limoges, France.
- [39] Université Paris-Saclay, Neurospin, CEA, CNRS, cati-neuroimaging.com, CATI Multicenter Neuroimaging Platform, F-91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- [40] Université de Paris, Institute of Psychiatric and Neurosciences, Inserm UMR-S 1266, F-75014 Paris, France.
- [41] Université de Montpellier, CHU Montpellier, LBPC, Inserm, F-34000 Montpellier, France.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

David Blum DB is a (non-appointed) member of the scientific advisory board of Marvel Biosciences Corp. developing an A_{2A}R antagonist but has no conflict of interest regarding the present work. Olivier Hanon received personal payment from Bayer, Servier, AstraZeneca, Boston Scientific, Vifor, BMS, Boehringer-Ingelheim, and Pfizer for lectures and/or consulting services. Jean Sebastien Vidal received payment from Bayer for lectures made to a non-profit medical association. Sylvain Lehmann received for his institution support from the following: H2020 MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE "MIRIADE Multi-omics Interdisciplinary Research Integration to Address DEmentia diagnosis," ANR Flash Covid: "ProteoCOVID: Clinical proteomic characterization of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein to optimize its detection and the development of serological assays," ANR "Silk_road: The Stable Isotope Labeling Kinetics (SILK) road to investigate human protein turnover in blood and cerebrospinal fluid," EUROMET EMPIR "NeuroMet2 project: Metrology and Innovation for early diagnosis and accurate stratification of patients with neurodegenerative diseases." During the past 36 months, he had a patent issued for "Procédé de préparation d'un échantillon peptidique" Brevet INPI n°1905247 du 20/05/2019 du CHU DE MONTPELLIER, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTPELLIER and SPOT TO LAB. He received personal payment for participating on the Roche Diagnostic board on CSF biomarkers. Stéphanie Bombois, Bernadette Allinquant, Christiane Baret-Rose, Jean-Marc Tréluyer, Hedy Abdoul, Patrick Gelé, Christine Delmaire, Jean-François

Mangin, and Evelyne Galbrun have no conflicts of interest. Frédéric Blanc received honoraria from Roche and Biogen for presentations. He received payment to his institution as the national coordinator for the clinical trial DELPHIA for patients with dementia with Lewy bodies (Eisai). He received payment to his institution as the national coordinator for the clinical trial GRADUATE for patients with AD. Luc Buée received support for the present manuscript from LabEx DISTALZ. He received grants or contracts from the French National Research Agency (ANR) Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM). In the past 36 months, he had a patent on anti-tau therapy issued. Jacques Touchon received payment or honoraria as chairman of CTAD. He received contracts from Regenlife and consulting fees from Regenlife. He is chairman of JT Conseil society. Jacques Hugon received grants or contracts from Protekt Therapeutics and consulting fees from Protekt Therapeutics. He is principal investigator of RECAGE project European Union H20/20 program and he is member of the scientific board of Fondation Philippe Chatrier, Paris, France. Bruno Vellas received grants or contracts from Biogen, Roche, and Lilly; consulting fees from Roche, Lilly, Biogen, and Cerellis; and is part of WHO's ICOPE program (unpaid position). AthanBase Benetos is the president of the European Geriatric Medicine Society (unpaid position). He received support for attending meetings and/or travel from Fukuda company, for the Congress of the European Society of Hypertension, and received royalties or licenses from Cambridge University Editions. Gilles Berrut received a grant from Boehringer Ingelheim and consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Smart macadam Institut, bien vieillir Korian. Elena Paillaud has no conflicts of interest. David Wallon, Giovanni Castelnuovo, Lisette Volpe-Gillot, Marc Paccalin, Philippe Robert, and Vincent Camus have no conflicts of interest. Olivier Godefroy received support to his institution for attending meetings and/or travel from BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB, ROCHE SAS, BIOGEN FRANCE SAS. Joël Belmin received consulting fees from Pfizer and honoraria from Novartis Pharmaceuticals. Pierre Vandell is president of the "Société Francophone de Psychogériatrie et Psychiatrie de la Personne Agée" (SF3PA) and received consulting fees from Eisai. Jean-Luc Novella, Emmanuelle Duron, Anne-Sophie Rigaud, Susanna Schraen-Maschke, Bernard Sablonnière, and Audrey Gabelle have no conflicts of interest.

CONSENT STATEMENT

All participants or their legal guardians gave written informed consent.

REFERENCES

- De Strooper B, Karran E. The cellular phase of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell*. 2016;164:603-615. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.12.056
- Lambert J-C, Ramirez A, Grenier-Boley B, Bellenguez C. Step by step: towards a better understanding of the genetic architecture of Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2023;28:2716-2727. doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02076-1
- Reitz C, Brayne C, Mayeux R. Epidemiology of Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2011;7:137-152. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2011.2
- Fredholm BB, Bättig K, Holmén J, Nehlig A, Zvartau EE. Actions of caffeine in the brain with special reference to factors that contribute to its widespread use. *Pharmacol Rev*. 1999;51:83-133.
- Freedman ND, Park Y, Abnet CC, Hollenbeck AR, Sinha R. Association of coffee drinking with total and cause-specific mortality. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366:1891-1904. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1112010
- Borota D, Murray E, Keceli G, et al. Post-study caffeine administration enhances memory consolidation in humans. *Nat Neurosci*. 2014;17:201-203. doi:10.1038/nn.3623
- Cunha RA. How does adenosine control neuronal dysfunction and neurodegeneration? *J Neurochem*. 2016;139:1019-1055. doi:10.1111/jnc.13724
- van Dam RM, Hu FB, Willett WC. Coffee, Caffeine, and Health. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383:369-378. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1816604
- Ritchie K, Carrière I, de Mendonça A, et al. The neuroprotective effects of caffeine: a prospective population study (the Three City Study). *Neurology*. 2007;69:536-545. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000266670.35219.0c
- van Gelder BM, Buijssse B, Tijhuis M, et al. Coffee consumption is inversely associated with cognitive decline in elderly European men: the FINE study. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2007;61:226-232. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602495
- Santos C, Lunet N, Azevedo A, de Mendonça A, Ritchie K, Barros H. Caffeine intake is associated with a lower risk of cognitive decline: a cohort study from Portugal. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;20(Suppl 1):S175-185. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-091303
- Arab L, Biggs ML, O'Meara ES, Longstreth WT, Crane PK, Fitzpatrick AL. Gender differences in tea, coffee, and cognitive decline in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2011;27:553-566. doi:10.3233/JAD-2011-110431
- Vercambre M-N, Berr C, Ritchie K, Kang JH. Caffeine and cognitive decline in elderly women at high vascular risk. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2013;35:413-421. doi:10.3233/JAD-122371
- Solfrizzi V, Panza F, Imbimbo BP, et al. Coffee consumption habits and the risk of mild cognitive impairment: the Italian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;47:889-899. doi:10.3233/JAD-150333
- Sugiyama K, Tomata Y, Kaiho Y, Honkura K, Sugawara Y, Tsuji I. Association between coffee consumption and incident risk of disabling dementia in elderly Japanese: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2016;50:491-500. doi:10.3233/JAD-150693
- Haller S, Montandon M-L, Rodriguez C, et al. Caffeine impact on working memory-related network activation patterns in early stages of cognitive decline. *Neuroradiology*. 2017;59:387-395. doi:10.1007/s00234-017-1803-5
- Iranpour S, Saadati HM, Koohi F, Sabour S. Association between caffeine intake and cognitive function in adults; effect modification by sex: data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2013-2014. *Clin Nutr*. 2020;39:2158-2168. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2019.09.003
- Dong X, Li S, Sun J, Li Y, Zhang D. Association of coffee, decaffeinated coffee and caffeine intake from coffee with cognitive performance in older adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011-2014. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:840. doi:10.3390/nu12030840
- M Yelanchezian YM, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RLM, Kwakowsky A. Neuroprotective effect of caffeine in Alzheimer's disease. *Molecules*. 2022;27:3737. doi:10.3390/molecules27123737
- Lindsay J, Laurin D, Verreault R, et al. Risk factors for Alzheimer's disease: a prospective analysis from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;156:445-453. doi:10.1093/aje/kwf074
- Maia L, de Mendonça A. Does caffeine intake protect from Alzheimer's disease? *Eur J Neurol*. 2002;9:377-382. doi:10.1046/j.1468-1331.2002.00421.x
- Eskelinen MH, Ngandu T, Tuomilehto J, Soininen H, Kivipelto M. Midlife coffee and tea drinking and the risk of late-life dementia: a population-based CAIDE study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2009;16:85-91. doi:10.3233/JAD-2009-0920
- Paganini-Hill A, Kawas CH, Corrada MM. Lifestyle factors and dementia in the oldest-old: the 90+ Study. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2016;30:21-26. doi:10.1097/WAD.0000000000000087

24. Kromhout MA, Rius Ottenheim N, Putter H, Numans ME, Achterberg WP. Caffeine consumption and behavioral symptoms in nursing home residents: a cross-sectional analysis. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2021;25:100-107. doi:10.1007/s12603-020-1436-y
25. Kyle J, Fox HC, Whalley LJ. Caffeine, cognition, and socioeconomic status. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;20(suppl 1):S151-159. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-1409
26. Araújo LF, Mirza SS, Bos D, et al. Association of coffee consumption with MRI markers and cognitive function: a population-based study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2016;53:451-461. doi:10.3233/JAD-160116
27. Cornelis MC, Bennett DA, Weintraub S, Schneider JA, Morris MC. Caffeine consumption and dementia: are Lewy bodies the link? *Ann Neurol*. 2022;91:834-846. doi:10.1002/ana.26349
28. Fischer K, Melo van Lent D, Wolfsgruber S, et al. Prospective associations between single foods, Alzheimer's dementia and memory decline in the elderly. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:852. doi:10.3390/nu10070852
29. Arendash GW, Schleif W, Rezai-Zadeh K, et al. Caffeine protects Alzheimer's mice against cognitive impairment and reduces brain beta-amyloid production. *Neuroscience*. 2006;142:941-952. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2006.07.021
30. Arendash GW, Mori T, Cao C, et al. Caffeine reverses cognitive impairment and decreases brain amyloid-beta levels in aged Alzheimer's disease mice. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2009;17:661-680. doi:10.3233/JAD-2009-1087
31. Laurent C, Eddarkaoui S, Derisbourg M, et al. Beneficial effects of caffeine in a transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease-like tau pathology. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35:2079-2090. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.03.027
32. Gardener SL, Rainey-Smith SR, Villemagne VL, et al. Higher coffee consumption is associated with slower cognitive decline and less cerebral A β -amyloid accumulation over 126 months: data from the Australian imaging, biomarkers, and lifestyle study. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2021;13:744872. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2021.744872
33. Kim JW, Byun MS, Yi D, et al. Coffee intake and decreased amyloid pathology in human brain. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2019;9:270. doi:10.1038/s41398-019-0604-5
34. Zheng Y-B, Sun J, Shi L, et al. Association of caffeine consumption and brain amyloid positivity in cognitively normal older adults. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2023;93:483-493. doi:10.3233/JAD-220591
35. Travassos M, Santana I, Baldeiras I, et al. Does caffeine consumption modify cerebrospinal fluid amyloid- β levels in patients with Alzheimer's disease? *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;47:1069-1078. doi:10.3233/JAD-150374
36. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group* under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 1984;34:939-939. doi:10.1212/WNL.34.7.939
37. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Kokmen E. Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol*. 1999;56:303-308. doi:10.1001/archneur.56.3.303
38. Hanon O, Vidal J-S, Lehmann S, et al. Plasma amyloid levels within the Alzheimer's process and correlations with central biomarkers. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14:858-868. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.01.004
39. Petersen RC. Mild cognitive impairment as a diagnostic entity. *J Intern Med*. 2004;256:183-194. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2796.2004.01388.x
40. Simonin C, Duru C, Salleron J, et al. Association between caffeine intake and age at onset in Huntington's disease. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2013;58:179-182. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2013.05.013
41. Hanon O, Vidal J-S, Lehmann S, et al. Plasma amyloid beta predicts conversion to dementia in subjects with mild cognitive impairment: the BALTAZAR study. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2022;18:2537-2550. doi:10.1002/alz.12613
42. Cao C, Loewenstein DA, Lin X, et al. High blood caffeine levels in MCI linked to lack of progression to dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2012;30:559-572. doi:10.3233/JAD-2012-111781
43. West RK, Ravona-Springer R, Livny A, et al. Age modulates the association of caffeine intake with cognition and with Gray matter in elderly diabetics. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2019;74:683-688. doi:10.1093/gerona/gly090
44. Duarte JMN, Skoug C, Silva HB, Carvalho RA, Gruetter R, Cunha RA. Impact of caffeine consumption on type 2 diabetes-induced spatial memory impairment and neurochemical alterations in the hippocampus. *Front Neurosci*. 2019;12:1015. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.01015
45. Biessels GJ. Caffeine, diabetes, cognition, and dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;20(suppl 1):S143-150. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-091228
46. Sarazin M, Berr C, De Rotrou J, et al. Amnesic syndrome of the medial temporal type identifies prodromal AD: a longitudinal study. *Neurology*. 2007;69:1859-1867. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000279336.36610.f7
47. Lopes JP, Pliássova A, Cunha RA. The physiological effects of caffeine on synaptic transmission and plasticity in the mouse hippocampus selectively depend on adenosine A1 and A2A receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2019;166:313-321. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2019.06.008
48. Costenla AR, Cunha RA, de Mendonça A. Caffeine, adenosine receptors, and synaptic plasticity. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;20:S25-34. doi:10.3233/JAD-2010-091384
49. Simons SB, Caruana DA, Zhao M, Dudek SM. Caffeine-induced synaptic potentiation in hippocampal CA2 neurons. *Nat Neurosci*. 2012;15:23-25. doi:10.1038/nn.2962
50. Watanabe Y, Ikegaya Y. Caffeine increases hippocampal sharp waves in vitro. *Biol Pharm Bull*. 2017;40:1111-1115. doi:10.1248/bpb.b17-00101
51. Hanajima R, Tanaka N, Tsutsumi R, et al. Effect of caffeine on long-term potentiation-like effects induced by quadripulse transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Exp Brain Res*. 2019;237:647-651. doi:10.1007/s00221-018-5450-9
52. Kerkhofs A, Xavier AC, da Silva BS, et al. Caffeine controls glutamatergic synaptic transmission and pyramidal neuron excitability in human neocortex. *Front Pharmacol*. 2018;8:899. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00899
53. Paiva I, Cellai L, Meriaux C, et al. Caffeine intake exerts dual genome-wide effects on hippocampal metabolism and learning-dependent transcription. *J Clin Invest*. 2022;132:e149371. doi:10.1172/JCI149371
54. Temido-Ferreira M, Ferreira DG, Batalha VL, et al. Age-related shift in LTD is dependent on neuronal adenosine A2A receptors interplay with mGluR5 and NMDA receptors. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2020;25:1876-1900. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0110-9
55. Barthélemy NR, Horie K, Sato C, Bateman RJ. Blood plasma phosphorylated-tau isoforms track CNS change in Alzheimer's disease. *J Exp Med*. 2020;217:e20200861. doi:10.1084/jem.20200861
56. Grothe MJ, Moscoso A, Ashton NJ, et al. Associations of fully automated CSF and novel plasma biomarkers with Alzheimer disease neuropathology at autopsy. *Neurology*. 2021;97. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000000012513
57. Mattsson N, Zetterberg H, Janelidze S, et al. Plasma tau in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2016;87:1827-1835. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000003246
58. Zetterberg H, Wilson D, Andreasson U, et al. Plasma tau levels in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2013;5:9. doi:10.1186/alzrt163
59. Lehmann S, Schraen-Maschke S, Vidal J-S, et al. Plasma phosphorylated tau 181 predicts amyloid status and conversion to dementia stage dependent on renal function. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2023;94:411-419. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2022-330540
60. Lehmann S, Schraen-Maschke S, Vidal J-S, et al. Blood neurofilament levels predict cognitive decline across the Alzheimer's disease continuum. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2023;24:17361. doi:10.3390/ijms242417361

61. Delaby C, Bousiges O, Bouvier D, et al. Neurofilaments contribution in clinic: state of the art. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2022;14:1034684. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2022.1034684
62. Sharma B, Paul S. Action of caffeine as an amyloid inhibitor in the aggregation of A β ₁₆₋₂₂ peptides. *J Phys Chem B.* 2016;120:9019-9033. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b03892
63. Janitschke D, Nelke C, Lauer A, et al. Effect of caffeine and other methylxanthines on A β -homeostasis in SH-SY5Y cells. *Biomolecules.* 2019;9:689. doi:10.3390/biom9110689
64. Cao C, Cirrito JR, Lin X, et al. Caffeine suppresses amyloid- β levels in plasma and brain of Alzheimer's disease transgenic mice. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2009;17:681-697. doi:10.3233/JAD-2009-1071
65. Nagpure BV, Bian J-S. Hydrogen sulfide inhibits A2A adenosine receptor agonist induced β -Amyloid production in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells via a cAMP dependent pathway. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e88508. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088508
66. Faivre E, Coelho JE, Zornbach K, et al. Beneficial effect of a selective adenosine A2A receptor antagonist in the APPswe/PS1dE9 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Front Mol Neurosci.* 2018;11:235. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00235
67. Qosa H, Abuznait AH, Hill RA, Kaddoumi A. Enhanced brain amyloid- β clearance by rifampicin and caffeine as a possible protective mechanism against Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2012;31:151-165. doi:10.3233/JAD-2012-120319
68. Wostyn P, Van Dam D, Audenaert K, De Deyn PP. Increased cerebrospinal fluid production as a possible mechanism underlying caffeine's protective effect against Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis.* 2011;2011:617420. doi:10.4061/2011/617420
69. Mehta RI, Mehta RI. The vascular-immune hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Biomedicines.* 2023;11:408. doi:10.3390/biomedicines11020408
70. Launay A, Nebie O, Vijaya Shankara J, et al. The role of adenosine A2A receptors in Alzheimer's disease and tauopathies. *Neuropharmacology.* 2023;226:109379. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2022.109379
71. Hansen DV, Hanson JE, Sheng M. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease. *J Cell Biol.* 2018;217:459-472. doi:10.1083/jcb.201709069
72. Currais A, Kato K, Canuet L, et al. Caffeine modulates tau phosphorylation and affects Akt signaling in postmitotic neurons. *J Mol Neurosci.* 2011;43:326-332. doi:10.1007/s12031-010-9444-8
73. Gratuze M, El Khoury NB, Turgeon A, et al. Tau hyperphosphorylation in the brain of ob/ob mice is due to hypothermia: importance of thermoregulation in linking diabetes and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Dis.* 2017;98:1-8. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2016.10.004

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Blum D, Cailliau E, Béhal H, et al. Association of caffeine consumption with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A BALTAZAR cohort study. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 2024;1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.14169>